

Volume 3

## 2023 Regional Flood Plan Appendices Region 11 / Guadalupe

**DRAFT August 2022** 

## List of Appendices


1-A	List of Previous Studies Considered for Development of the Regional Flood Plan
1-A	Map 1: Existing Flood Infrastructure
1-A	<b>Table 1</b> : Existing flood infrastructure summary table
1-A	Map 3: Non-Functional or Deficient Flood Mitigation Features or Infrastructure
1-B	Map 2: Proposed or Ongoing Flood Mitigation Projects
1-B	Table 2: Summary of proposed or ongoing flood mitigation projects
2-A	Map 4: Existing Condition Flood Hazard
2-A	<b>Table 3</b> : Existing condition flood risk summary table (by county)
2-A	<b>Map 5:</b> Existing Condition Flood Hazard - Gaps in Inundation Boundary Mapping including Identification of Known Flood-Prone Areas
2-A	Map 6: Existing Condition Flood Exposure
2-A	Map 7: Existing Condition Flood Vulnerability including Critical Infrastructure
2-B	Map 8: Future Condition Flood Hazard
2-B	<b>Table 5</b> : Future condition flood risk summary table, by county
2-В	Map 9: Future Condition Flood Hazard - Gaps in Inundation Boundary Mapping including Identification of Known Flood-Prone Areas
2-B	Map 10: Extent of Increase of Flood Hazard Compared to Existing Condition
2-B	Map 11: Future Condition Flood Exposure
2-B	Map 12: Future Condition Flood Vulnerability including Critical Infrastructure
2-C	List of Existing Hydrologic and Hydraulic Models
2-C	Map 22: Model Coverage

3-A	Map 13: Floodplain Management
3-A	Table 6: Existing floodplain management practices
3-B	Table 11: Regional flood plan flood mitigation and floodplain management goals
4-A	Map 14: Greatest Gaps in Flood Risk Information
4-A	Map 15: Greatest Flood Risk
4-B	Map 16: Extent of Potential Flood Management Evaluations and Existing Mapping Needs
4-B	Map 17: Extent of Potential Flood Mitigation Projects
4-B	Map 18: Extent of Potential Flood Management Strategies
4-B	Table 12: Potential flood management evaluations identified by RFPG
4-B	Table 13: Potentially feasible flood mitigation projects identified by RFPG
4-B	Table 14: Potentially feasible flood management strategies identified by RFPG
5-A	Map 19: Recommended Flood Management Evaluations
5-A	Map 20: Recommended Flood Mitigation Projects
5-A	Map 21: Recommended Flood Management Strategies
5-A	Table 15: Flood management evaluations recommended by RFPG
5-A	Table 16: Potentially feasible flood mitigation projects recommended by RFPG
5-A	Table 17: Potentially feasible flood management strategies recommended by RFPG
5-B	FME, FMS, FMP One Pagers
9-A	Table 19: FME, FMS, FMP Funding Survey
10-A	Summary Memorandum of Pre-Planning Meeting, August 4, 2021
10-B	Guadalupe RFPG Stakeholder Survey Form

- 10-C Public Comments
- 10-D Notice and Documentation of September 7, 2022 Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan
- 10-E Public Comments from September 7, 2022 Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan
- 10-F Responses to Public Comments from September 7, 2022 Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan
- 10-G State Agency Comments on Draft Flood Plan
- 10-H Response to Stage Agency Comments on Draft Flood Plan
- 10-I Public Involvement Plan

Appendix 3-A

Map 13: Floodplain Management



**Table 6:** Existing Floodplain ManagementPractices

Entity	Floodplain management regulations (Yes/No/Unknown)	Adopted minimum regulations pursuant to Texas Water Code Section 16.3145? (Yes/No)	NFIP Participant (Yes/No)	Community Rating System Participant (Yes/No) If Yes, CRS Level is indicated	Higher Standards Adopted (Yes/No)	Floodplain Management Practices (Strong/Moderate/ Low/None)	Level of enforcement of practices (High/ Moderate/ Low/None)	Existing Stormwater or Drainage Fee (Yes/No)	Web Link to Entity Regulations
Bandera County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.banderacounty.org/documents/Bandera%20County%2 0Flood%20Order.pdf
Bastrop County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes - 8	Yes	Strong	High	Unknown	https://www.co.bastrop.tx.us/page/dsen.floodplain
Blanco County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.co.blanco.tx.us/upload/page/3972/docs/5-28- 19%20Blanco%20Subdivision%20%20Regulations.pdf
Blanco	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.cityofblanco.com/masterplan/summary
Boerne	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.ci.boerne.tx.us/DocumentCenter/View/14583/FloodD amagePreventionOrd2020-20
Buda	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.ci.buda.tx.us/DocumentCenter/View/5745/Unified- Development-CodeOct-2017?bidId=
Bulverde	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.bulverdetx.gov/documentcenter/view/2697
Caldwell County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.capcog.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/2012-Flood- Damage-Prevention-Ordinance.pdf
Calhoun County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.calhouncotx.org/Floodplain%20Order%209-25- 14%20Original%20with%20signatures.pdf
Cibolo	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/cibolo/codes/code_of_ordinances ?nodeld=PTIICOOR_CH30FL
Comal County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://cceo.org/flood/documents/Flood_Damage_Prevention_Ord er.pdf
Creedmoor	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	-
Cuero	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://z2.franklinlegal.net/franklin/Z2Browser2.html?showset=cuer oset
DeWitt County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.co.dewitt.tx.us/upload/page/1604/docs/DeWitt_Co_Fl ood_Damage_Court_Order_60.3xdx[1].pdf
Fayette County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.capcog.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/10/Flood- Damage-Prevention-Regulations.pdf
Flatonia	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://z2.franklinlegal.net/franklin/Z2Browser2.html?showset=flato niaset
Garden Ridge	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.ci.garden-ridge.tx.us/313/List-of-Ordinances
Gillespie County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	-
Goliad County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.co.goliad.tx.us/upload/page/2538/docs/Subdivision_Re gulations_04-08_10-11[1].pdf
Gonzales County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.co.gonzales.tx.us/upload/page/2427/docs/Permits/Floo dplain%20Order.pdf
Gonzales	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://z2.franklinlegal.net/franklin/Z2Browser2.html?showset=gon zalesset

Guadalupe	
Region 11	

Entity	Floodplain management regulations (Yes/No/Unknown)	Adopted minimum regulations pursuant to Texas Water Code Section 16.3145? (Yes/No)	NFIP Participant (Yes/No)	Community Rating System Participant (Yes/No) If Yes, CRS Level is indicated	Higher Standards Adopted (Yes/No)	Floodplain Management Practices (Strong/Moderate/ Low/None)	Level of enforcement of practices (High/ Moderate/ Low/None)	Existing Stormwater or Drainage Fee (Yes/No)	Web Link to Entity Regulations
Guadalupe County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes - 8	Yes	Strong	High	Unknown	http://www.co.guadalupe.tx.us/eh/pdfs/floodplain_order.pdf
Hays County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Νο	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://hayscountytx.com/download/departments/development_se rvices/regulations/2017-Hays-County-Development-Regulations.pdf
Ingram	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://z2.franklinlegal.net/franklin/Z2Browser2.html?showset=ingr amset
Karnes County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.co.karnes.tx.us/upload/page/1027/docs/Financials/Kar nes%20County%20Subdivision.pdf
Kendall County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.co.kendall.tx.us/upload/page/0069/docs/Kendall%20C ounty%20Engineering%20and%20Development%20Fee%20Schedul e.pdf
Kerr County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.co.kerr.tx.us/engineer/Flood_Damage_Prevention_Ord er_37967_02.24.2020.pdf
Kerrville	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/kerrville/codes/code_of_ordinance s
Kyle	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/kyle/codes/code_of_ordinances
Lavaca County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.co.lavaca.tx.us/upload/page/2457/Check%20List%20S ubdivision%20PDF.pdf
Lockhart	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/lockhart/codes/code_of_ordinanc es?nodeld=PTIICOOR_CH22FL
Luling	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/luling/codes/code_of_ordinances
Marion	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.cityofmariontx.org/government/formsdocuments. php#outer-13
Martindale	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/martindale/latest/martindal e_tx/0-0-0-2122
Mountain City	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://mountaincitytx.com/mountain-city-water-utility-2020-ccr/
Mustang Ridge	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	-
New Braunfels	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes - 8	Yes	Strong	High	Unknown	https://www.nbtexas.org/DocumentView.aspx?DID=1848
Niederwald	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	http://cityofniederwald.org/sites/default/files/SITE-DEVELOPMENT- ORDINANCE.pdf
Nixon	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://nixon.texas.gov/notice-category/ordinances/
Real County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/sugar_land/codes/land_developm ent_code?nodeld=CH8FLDARERE
Refugio County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.co.refugio.tx.us/upload/page/8757/2019/Subdivision% 20Regulations.pdf
San Marcos	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes - 7	Yes	Strong	High	Yes	https://library.municode.com/tx/san_marcos/codes/code_of_ordin ances?nodeId=SPAGEOR_CH39FLDAPR

Entity	Floodplain management regulations (Yes/No/Unknown)	Adopted minimum regulations pursuant to Texas Water Code Section 16.3145? (Yes/No)	NFIP Participant (Yes/No)	Community Rating System Participant (Yes/No) If Yes, CRS Level is indicated	Higher Standards Adopted (Yes/No)	Floodplain Management Practices (Strong/Moderate/ Low/None)	Level of enforcement of practices (High/ Moderate/ Low/None)	Existing Stormwater or Drainage Fee (Yes/No)	Web Link to Entity Regulations
Schertz	Yes	Yes	Yes	Νο	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/schertz/codes/unified_developme nt_code?nodeId=SCUNDECO_ART13LADIACDR_S21.13.3FLDAPR
Seguin	Yes	Yes	Yes	Νο	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/seguin/codes/code_of_ordinances ?nodeld=PTIICOOR_CH54FL
Spring Branch	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://cceo.org/flood/documents/Spring_Branch_Flood_Damage_ Prevention_Ordinance_Interlocal.pdf
Staples	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	-
Travis County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/austin/codes/code_of_ordinances ?nodeId=TIT30AUTRCOSURE_CH30-4DR
Uhland	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://www.cityofuhland.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/12/126- Flood-Damage-Prevention-Ordinance.pdf
Victoria County	Yes	Yes	Yes	Νο	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/victoria/codes/code_of_ordinance s?nodeld=CICO_CH9.5FLDAPR
Victoria	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/victoria/codes/code_of_ordinance s
Waelder	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	·
Wilson County	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Moderate	Unknown	Unknown	http://www.co.wilson.tx.us/upload/page/2300/docs/Dawn/Ordinan ces/WC_Flood_Order_Final_10272010.pdf
Wimberley	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://z2codes.franklinlegal.net/franklin/Z2Browser2.html?showse t=wimberleyset&collection=wimberley&doccode=z2Code_z2000070 2
Woodcreek	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	https://library.municode.com/tx/woodcreek/codes/code_of_ordina nces
Yorktown	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	-
Smiley	Unknown	Unknown	Yes	No	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	
Nordheim	No	No	No	No	N/A	N/A	NA	Unknown	-

## Кеу

Floodplain management practices: None (no floodplain management practices in place), low (regulations meet the minimum NFIP standards), moderate (some higher standards, such as freeboard, detention requirements, or fill restrictions), strong (e.g., significant regulations that exceed NFIP standard with enforcement, or community belongs to the Community Rating System).

Level of enforcement: None (does not enforce floodplain management regulations), low (provides permitting of development in the floodplain, may not perform inspections, may not issue fines or violations), moderate (enforces much of the ordinance, performs limited inspections and is limited in issuance of fines and violations), high (actively enforces the entire ordinance, performs many inspections throughout construction process, issues fines, violations, and Section 1316s where appropriate, and enforces substantial damage and substantial improvement).


Appendix 3-B

**Table 11:** Regional Flood Plan Flood Mitigationand Floodplain Management Goals


Goal ID	RFPG No.	RFPG Name	Goal	Term of Goal	Target Year	Applicable To	Overarching Goal	Associated Goal ID
11000001	11	Guadalupe	Improve safety beyond minimal signage at 35% of low water crossings through automatic flood warning gates and/or flood level passed	Short Term (10-year)	2033	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000002
11000002		Guadalupe	Improve safety beyond minimal signage at 90% of low water crossings through automatic flood warning gates and/or flood level passed	Long Term (30-year)	2053	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000001
11000003	11	Guadalupe	Consider incorporating nature-based practices when acreage exceeds one acre (LID, green infrastructure, natural channel design) in 30% of Flood Mitigation Projects and Flood Management Strategies recommended in the Regional Flood Plan.	Short Term (10-year)	2033	Flood planning region	Include strategies and projects that use nature- based features (362.3.b.17)	11000004
11000004	11	Guadalupe	Consider incorporating nature-based practices when acreage exceeds one acre (LID, green infrastructure, natural channel design) in 100% of Flood Mitigation Projects and Flood Management Strategies recommended in the Regional Flood Plan.	Long Term (30-year)	2053	Flood planning region	Include strategies and projects that use nature- based features (362.3.b.17)	11000003
11000005	11	Guadalupe	Increase adoption of higher standards to 30% of communities in high growth counties.	Short Term (10-year)	2033	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000006
11000006		Guadalupe	Increase adoption of higher standards to 70% of communities in high growth counties.	Long Term (30-year)	2053	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000005
11000007	11	Guadalupe	Increase high growth community CRS participation to 50% of all high growth communities.	Short Term (10-year)	2033	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000008
11000008		Guadalupe	Increase high growth community CRS participation to 75% of all high growth communities.	Long Term (30-year)	2053	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000007
11000009		Guadalupe	Reduce number of vulnerable buildings/structures/critical facilities within the 1% existing flood hazard layer by 20%.	Short Term (10-year)	2033	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000010
11000010		Guadalupe	Reduce number of vulnerable buildings/structures/critical facilities within the 1% existing flood hazard layer by 50%.	Long Term (30-year)	2053	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000009
11000011		Guadalupe	Increase percentage of communities with dedicated funding sources for operations & maintenance and implementation of storm drainage systems to 35% of communities.	Short Term (10-year)	2033	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000012
11000012		Guadalupe	Increase percentage of communities with dedicated funding sources for operations & maintenance and implementation of storm drainage system to 60% of communities	Long Term (30-year)	2053	Flood planning region	Protect against loss of life and property (362.3.b.13-14)	11000011

Appendix 4-A

## Map 14: Greatest Gaps in Flood Risk Information




Map 15: Greatest Flood Risk




Appendix 4-B


Map 16: Extent of Potential Flood Management Evaluations and Existing Mapping Needs



Map 17: Extent of Potential Flood Mitigation Projects



Map 18: Extent of Potential Flood Management Strategies



**Table 12:** Potential Flood ManagementEvaluations Identified by RFPG

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Study Cost	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000009	Center Point ISD Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000018, 11000016, 11000021, 11000017, 11000014, 11000019	Watershed Planning	95.5	Riverine	Center Point ISD	00000011, 00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 11003542, 11000662, 00000022, 11003546, 00000339	No	\$100,000	TBD	462	293	823	0	16	Unknown	22.9	4,927.8	Unknown	Unknown
111000004	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #1 Drainage and Utility Plan	Develop a drainage and utility plan.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell, Hays	12100203	-	11000111, 11000113, 11000112, 11000116, 11000110	Watershed Planning	110.6	Riverine	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #1	00000026, 11003534, 11002680, 11003546, 00000016, 00003189, 11002100, 00003202, 00000307, 0000291, 11003539, 11001856, 00000392, 11003533, 0000028, 00000258, 11002686, 11003538, 11002049, 00002800, 00000034, 11003162	No	\$100,000	TBD	136	74	289	0	13	Unknown	10.9	4,872.8	Unknown	Unknown
111000005	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3 River Crossing Improvements Study	Study solutions to upgrade river crossings throughout the district including but not limited to Scull Road Bridge.		Caldwell	12100203	-	11000105, 11000118, 11000116, 11000109	Watershed Planning	23.6	Riverine	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3	11001975, 00000026, 11003473, 11003534, 11003546, 11003540, 00000016, 11003536, 00000255, 00000291, 11003277, 00000392, 11003533, 0000010, 11003163, 11002343, 00000258, 11001889, 11003538, 11000607	No	\$1,000,000	TBD	465	347	1,390	1	5	Unknown	12.6	3,124.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000006	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3 Repetitive Loss Property Mitigation Study	Study of identify flood-prone and repetitive loss properties through the Texas Water Development Board and identify and study solutions to reduce or eliminate flooding at identified properties.		Caldwell	12100203	-	11000105, 11000118, 11000116, 11000109	Project Planning	23.6	Riverine	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3	11001975, 00000026, 11003473, 11003534, 11003546, 11003540, 00000016, 11003536, 00000255, 0000291, 11003277, 00000392, 11003533, 0000010, 11003163, 11002343, 00000258, 11001889, 11003538, 11000607	No	\$1,000,000	TBD	465	347	1,390	1	5	Unknown	12.6	3,124.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000007	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #4 Fire Station 2 Project Planning	Planning for proposed project to build a swell and raise driveway of Fire Station 2 to prevent inundation of facility and to keep station in service during major storm events.	11000015	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000118.00	Project Planning	0.0	Urban/Local	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #4	11003546, 00000291, 11003541, 11003533, 11003535, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000008	Canyon Regional WA Hays Caldwell Water Treatment Plant Floodwall Project Planning	Project planning for Canyon Regional WA Hays Caldwell Water Treatment Plant Floodwall Project	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000043	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Canyon Regional Water Authority	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002265, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$159,355	TBD	1	0	0	0	Unknown	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000100	Comal County Master WID River Road Low Water Crossing Improvement Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to implement low water crossing improvements at River Road.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000039, 11000037, 11000042	Project Planning	2.9	Riverine	Comal Master WID	00000255, 11003546, 00000014, 11003537, 00000291, 00002670, 11000556	No	\$700,000	TBD	139	121	331	0	8	Unknown	6.1	97.6	Unknown	Unknown
111000119	Hunts ISD Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	Project planning to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009,	Kerr	12100201	-	11000010, 11000005, 11000015, 11000009, 11000007, 11000003, 11000008, 11000012, 11000002, 11000006, 11000011, 11000004	Project Planning	173.8	Riverine	Hunt ISD	00000011, 11003543, 00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003545, 00000022, 11003546, 00000339	No	\$100,000	TBD	629	283	1,001	1	41	Unknown	25.9	5,502.3	Unknown	Unknown
111000120	Ingram ISD Construct New Storm Drainage Infrastructure		11000009,	Kerr	12100201	-	11000010, 11000005, 11000015, 11000013, 11000009, 11000008, 11000012, 11000011, 11000004	Project Planning	208.0	Riverine	Ingram ISD	11003543, 00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003545, 11002585, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 11003486, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	TBD	606	331	974	1	24	Unknown	18.7	4,971.4	Unknown	Unknown
111000121	Ingram ISD Improve Existing Storm Drainage Infrastructure	Project planning to upgrade existing storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.		Kerr	12100201	-	11000010, 11000005, 11000015, 11000013, 1100009, 11000008, 11000012, 11000011, 11000004	Project Planning	208.0	Riverine	Ingram ISD	11003543, 00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003545, 11002585, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 11003486, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	TBD	606	331	974	1	24	Unknown	18.7	4,971.4	Unknown	Unknown
	Kerr ISD Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009,	Kerr	12100201	-	11000018, 11000016, 11000020, 11000015, 11000013, 11000007, 11000021, 11000008, 11000012, 11000014	Project Planning	165.4	Riverine	Kerrville ISD	00000011, 11003543, 00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003545, 11002585, 11003542, 00000307, 00000022, 11003546, 00000339	No	\$100,000	TBD	1,968	1,348	8,499	4	43	Unknown	41.1	2,781.8	Unknown	Unknown
111000001	Blanco County Low Water Crossing Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade and/or raise low water crossing in the county. The low water crossings most frequently and most severely flooded will be assessed for elevation and improvement (e.g., curbed and/or pedestrian walkways) roadways.	11000001,	Blanco	12100203, 12100201	-	11000094, 11000097, 11000095, 11000096, 11000092, 11000031, 11000093, 11000033, 11000034	Watershed Planning	711.0	Riverine	Blanco	00000255, 11002996, 00000026, 00000031, 00000014, 00000034, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 11003532, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$250,000	TBD	167	122	299	0	30	Unknown	14.2	4,091.8	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)		Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000002	Blanco County Soil Conservation Plan	Develop soil conservation plan which provides information on proper land stewardship including diagram, soil map, assessment of vegetation and wildlife fuels, schedule for applying conservation practices; plan for operation and maintenance.	11000003, 11000004	Blanco	12100203, 12100201	-	11000094, 11000097, 11000095, 11000096, 11000092, 11000031, 11000093, 11000033, 11000034	Watershed Planning	711.0	Riverine	Blanco	00000255, 11002996, 0000026, 00000031, 00000014, 00000034, 00000307, 00001401, 0000030, 00000291, 00000017, 11003532, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	167	122	299	0	30	Unknown	14.2	4,091.8	Unknown	Unknown
111000003	Caldwell County Bridge Improvements Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace antiquated bridges built before 1950. These bridges cannot support the weight of emergency vehicles. In addition, upgraded bridge infrastructure would reduce backwater flooding at undersized crossings.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell	12100203, 12100202	-	11000120, 11000119, 11000111, 11000118, 11000114, 1100019, 1100013, 11000061, 11000112, 11000057, 11000115, 11000116, 11000117, 11000121, 11000105, 11000062, 11000122	Project Planning	544.7	Riverine	Caldwell	00000255, 11002996, 00000026, 00000031, 00000014, 00000034, 00000307, 00001401, 0000030, 00000291, 00000017, 11003532, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$256,000	TBD	167	122	299	0	30	Unknown	14.2	4,091.8	Unknown	Unknown
111000012	City of Buda Dam Study	Study to evaluate dam failure risks, planning for structural and nonstructural measures to protect the integrity of the earthen fill dams.		Hays	12100203	-	11000110, 11000111	Preparedness	9.3	Riverine	Buda	11003546, 00001323, 0000026, 00002800, 00002799, 00000862, 00000307, 00000291, 11002217, 11003533, 00000258	No	\$500,000	TBD	3	1	4	0	1	Unknown	0.9	7.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000013	City of Bulverde Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to replace existing culverts with larger ones, improve drainage channels; clear-out existing drainage channels; survey and remove hazardous trees from drainage systems.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202, 12100201	-	11000033, 11000030, 11000040	Watershed Planning	15.8	Riverine	Bulverde	00000255, 00000282, 00002669, 00000014, 00002121, 00000291, 11003532, 11003546	No	\$150,000	TBD	0	0	0	0	1	Unknown	0.6	1.4	Unknown	Unknown
111000014	City of Bulverde Local Flooding Study	Study of solutions to elevate some segments of roadways in various portions of the community to address localized flooding issues.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202, 12100201	-	11000033, 11000030, 11000040	Watershed Planning	15.8	Riverine	Bulverde	00000255, 00000282, 00002669, 00000014, 00002121, 00000291, 11003532, 11003546	No	\$100,000	TBD	0	0	0	0	1	Unknown	0.6	1.4	Unknown	Unknown
111000015	City of Flatonia Drainage Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to make culvert and drainage ditch improvements from just south of the Union Pacific Railroad at US 90 to the north side frontage road of I-10.	11000009, 11000010	Fayette	12100202	-	11000058.00	Project Planning	0.7	Riverine	Flatonia	00000307, 00000019, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$2,739,000	TBD	0	0	0	0	Unknown	Unknown	0.1	15.4	Unknown	Unknown
111000016	City of Flatonia WWTP Floodproofing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to floodproof Waste Water Treatment Plant		Fayette	12100202	-	11000058	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Flatonia	00000019, 00000307, 00003060, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000017	City of Garden Ridge Drainage Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to complete final phase of drainage infrastructure upgrades.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000041, 11000042	Project Planning	7.3	Riverine	Garden Ridge	00000255, 11003538, 00002671, 00001485, 00000014, 00003235, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$100,000	TBD	9	0	20	0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	18.4	Unknown	Unknown
111000018	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Improvement Project Planning	Project planning to upgrade aging infrastructure that was overwhelmed during Hurricane Harvey. Projects may include replacing box culvert bridges, replacing box culvert bridges with clear span bridges, and relocating utilities within the stream bed.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	12100202	-	11000054	Project Planning	6.1	Riverine	Gonzales	00000008, 00000291, 00000264, 11003546, 11002992	No	\$600,000	TBD	532	412	1,282	2	5	Unknown	13.4	127.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000019	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Flood Mitigation Project Planning	Project planning for proposed improvements along Tinsley Creek include replacing a low water crossing at Johnson Street, adding culverts under Johnson Street, and replacing box culvert crossings with free span bridge crossings at several streets.		Gonzales	12100202	-	11000054	Project Planning	6.1	Riverine	Gonzales	00000008, 00000291, 00000264, 11003546, 11002992	No	\$430,000	TBD	532	412	1,282	2	5	Unknown	13.4	127.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000020	City of Ingram Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade existing storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000008, 11000012, 11000011	Watershed Planning	1.5	Riverine	Ingram	00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003486, 0000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	TBD	122	76	208	0	0	Unknown	3.1	24.2	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000022	City of Kerrville Pinto Trail Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to provide flood relief to the properties adjacent to the channel at risk of flooding, including widening existing channels, constructing a grass-lined trapezoidal channel, and seeding the proposed earthen channels.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000023	City of Kerrville Park Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to improve or replace the Park Street Low Water Crossing.	11000001, 11000002	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$340,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000024	City of Kerrville First Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to improve or replace the First Street Low Water Crossing.	11000001, 11000002	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$510,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	Unknown	0.0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000025	City of Kerrville Fourth Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to improve or replace the Park Street Low Water Crossing.	11000001, 11000002	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$180,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000026	City of Kerrville Hill Country Drive at SH 16 Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to raise the roadway profile and regrade Hill Country Drive, and increase the downstream pipe capacity at Hill Country Drive.	11000009,	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$245,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000028	City of Kerrville Harper Street between Culberson Avenue and Lewis Avenue Project Planning	system project to relieve localized	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000013	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$180,000	TBD	1	1	2	0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000029	City of Kerrville Circle Avenue Drainage Channel Project Planning	Project planning for proposed channel and street improvement project to alleviate sedimentation and erosion susues at the intersection of Culberson Avenue and Circle Avenue.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000013	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000030	City of Kerrville Jack Drive - Undersized Inlet Project Planning	Project planning for proposed street and drainage improvements project to relieve road and property flooding from occurring directly downstream of Jack Drive's existing undersized inlet.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000012	Project Planning	0.0	Urban/Local	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$240,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000031	City of Kerrville Harper Road to Town Creek (Fay Drive) Drainage Improvements Study			Kerr	12100201	-	11000013, 11000012	Project Planning	0.2	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$150,000	TBD	4	4	7	0	1	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000033	City of Kyle Prairie and Woodland Restoration Plan		11000003,	Hays	12100203	-	11000102, 11000101, 11000116, 11000110, 11000100	Watershed Planning	31.2	Riverine	Kyle	00000026, 11003534, 00002703, 11003546, 00000307, 00000291, 11002282, 11003539, 00000392, 11003533, 11001438, 11000387, 11003163, 11002051, 00000258, 11003538, 00002800, 00002799, 11002217	No	\$250,000	TBD	422	360	1,474	0	9	Unknown	7.2	727.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000034	Street Drainage	Project planning for proposed project to conduct street reconstruction and drainage improvements to minimize flooding in the downtown area.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000110	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Kyle	11003546, 00000026, 00002800, 00000291, 11003533, 00000258	No	\$983,000	TBD	1	1	3	0	1	Unknown	0.2	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000035	City of Lockhart Drainage Improvements Study	Study to identify Capital Improvements to Municipal Drainage System and study solutions to upgrade system to improve drainage capacity and reduce flood damages.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000112, 11000114, 11000116, 11000113	Watershed Planning	15.6	Riverine	Lockhart	11003546, 11003538, 11003534, 11002343, 00000291, 11003541, 11003539, 11002333, 00000392, 11003533, 11003162, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$2,400,000	TBD	62	43	275	2	6	Unknown	5.9	344.1	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000036	City of Lockhart USACE Study	Undertake a comprehensive study of flood risk and reduction alternatives with USACE, covering all incorporated and unincorporated areas of the city that currently have limited studies with no determined base flood elevations as well as unmapped areas.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000112, 11000114, 11000116, 11000113	Watershed Planning	15.6	Riverine	Lockhart	11003546, 11003538, 11003534, 11002343, 00000291, 11003541, 11003539, 11002333, 00000392, 11003533, 11003162, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$360,000	TBD	62	43	275	2	6	Unknown	5.9	344.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000037	City of Luling Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade undersized stormwater drains and culverts.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe, Caldwell	12100203	-	11000120, 11000119, 11000118, 11000121	Watershed Planning	5.5	Riverine	Luling	00000255, 11003546, 00000010, 00000291, 11003474, 11003533, 11003535, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$150,000	TBD	74	52	338	0	0	Unknown	6.3	209.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000038	City of Martindale Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade undersized stormwater drains and culverts.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000105, 11000109	Watershed Planning	2.1	Riverine	Martindale	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11001975, 11003473, 11003536, 11002343, 0000291, 00000392, 11003546, 11003540, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	196	167	625	1	3	Unknown	5.5	52.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000039	City of Mountain City Repetitive Loss Structure Mitigation Study	Study of solutions to floodproof or otherwise mitigate repetitive loss structures that have been identified by FEMA for the number of flood insurance claims.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000110	Project Planning	0.5	Riverine	Mountain City	00001356, 00000026, 00002800, 00000307, 00002703, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000043	City of New Braunfels - Box Culvert Installation to Reduce Flood Risk on Blieders Creek, Comal River and Landa Park Project Planning	Project planning for proposed drainage improvements project to reduce flooding in the Blieders Creek and German Creek watersheds by conveying flows to the Guadalupe River. The project is also intended to relieve flooding in the Landa Park area.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042	Project Planning	0.4	Riverine	New Braunfels	11000583, 00000255, 00000014, 11003537, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$878,000	TBD	60	30	434	0	4	Unknown	1.0	5.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000044	City of New Braunfels Faust St / Nacogdoches Ave Improvements Project Planning	Study to analyze drainage conveyance and flooding issues within the Faust Street and Nacogdoches Avenue area and project planning for solutions within project area.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000043	Watershed Planning	0.1	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 11002265, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$1,102,000	TBD	2	0	8	0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000045	City of New Braunfels Dry Comal Creek Tributary East Watershed Project Planning	Study to analyze drainage conveyance and flooding issues within the Dry Comal Creek Tributaries East area (Kerlick Lane/Encino Drive/Mission Drive) and project planning for solutions within project area.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042	Watershed Planning	1.1	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$344,000	TBD	77	48	804	0	0	Unknown	1.3	15.3	Unknown	Unknown
111000047	City of New Braunfels Hunters Creek Regional Project Planning			Comal	12100202	-	11000042	Watershed Planning	0.1	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$211,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000048	City of New Braunfels South Guadalupe Tributary Watershed Project Planning	Study to analyze drainage conveyance and flooding issues within the South Guadalupe River tributary area (Mesquite/Eastman/Oleander/Walnut Heights) and project planning for solutions within project area.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042, 11000043	Watershed Planning	0.4	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$168,000	TBD	12	12	35	0	Unknown	Unknown	0.2	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000049	City of New Braunfels Dry Comal Creek West Watershed Project Planning	Project planning for solutions to minimize flooding issues within the Cedar Elm Street, Landa-Madeline drainage area.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042	Watershed Planning	0.1	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$126,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000051	City of Niederwald Engineering Review of City Hall	Contract a consultation from an engineer to review the new City Hall building to ensure its resiliency (modular building that holds community documents and archives).	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell, Hays	12100203	-	11000111, 11000112	Project Planning	3.7	Riverine	Niederwald	11003546, 11003538, 11001856, 00000026, 11003534, 00000291, 11003539, 11002680, 00000392, 11003533, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$10,000	TBD	9	2	24	0	2	Unknown	1.5	150.0	Unknown	Unknown
111000052	City of Nixon Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to develop and implement a program to buyout NFIP repetitive loss properties.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales, Wilson	12100202	-	11000075, 11000078, 11000079	Project Planning	1.6	Riverine	Nixon	00000255, 11003546, 00000282, 00000008, 00000291, 00000100, 00000264, 11002393	No	\$150,000	TBD	13	6	25	0	0	Unknown	0.1	6.2	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)		Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical facilities at flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of road at flood risk (Miles)		Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000054	City of San Marcos Regional Detention Study	Study of solutions for regional detention and water quality strategies.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe,Caldwell ,Hays	12100203	- 11000103, 11000102, 11000101, - 11000106, 11000104, 11000107, 11000105	Watershed Planning	35.6	Riverine	San Marcos	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 00000026, 00002800, 11003534, 11002343, 00000291, 11000387, 11003163, 00000392, 11003546, 11003540, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$200,000	TBD	2,270	1,626	20,199	14	12	Unknown	48.1	822.4	Unknown	Unknown
111000055	City of San Marcos Modeling of Purgatory Creek and Willow Springs Creek Overflow Area	Creek and Willow Springs Creek Overflow		Hays	12100203	- 11000103	Watershed Planning	0.4	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$271,000	TBD	159	130	588	0	0	Unknown	3.0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000056	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Jackman Project Planning	Project planning to replace low water crossing at Jackman	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000103	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	0	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000057	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Mitchell and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	Project planning to replace low water		Hays	12100203	- 11000103	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$200,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	0	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000058	City of San Marcos LWC at River Road and Railroad Trestle/Blanco River Project Planning	Project planning to replace low water crossing at River Road and Railroad	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000101	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000059	City of San Marcos LWC at S LBJ and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	crossing at S LBL and Purgatory Creek	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000103	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	0.0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000060	City of San Marcos - Extension of River Ridge Parkway West Project Planning		11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	- 11000101	Project Planning	0.3	Riverine	San Marcos	11003538,00000026,11002343,11000387,00000291, 11003163,00000392,11003546,00000258	No	\$298,000	TBD	69	59	1,795	1	Unknown	Unknown	3.2	0.4	Unknown	Unknown
111000061	City of Seguin Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to increase drainage capacity, add stormwater detention and/or retention basins, and implement drainage improvements as deemed necessary to reduce flood risk.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	Watershed Planning	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$1,100,000	TBD	846	642	3,190	5	8	Unknown	25.2	1,157.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000062	City of Seguin Low Wate Crossing Improvements Study	r Study of solutions for drainage improvements at low water crossings.	11000001, 11000002	Guadalupe	12100202	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	Watershed Planning	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$1,500,000	TBD	846	642	3,190	5	8	Unknown	25.2	1,157.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000063	City of Seguin Ingress Egress Improvements Project Planning		11000015, 11000016	Guadalupe	12100202	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	Preparedness	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$250,000	TBD	846	642	3,190	5	8	Unknown	25.2	1,157.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000064	City of Seguin City-wide Drainage Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to increase Regional Detention, Channel & Drainage System Improvements.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	Project Planning	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$200,000	TBD	846	642	3,190	5	8	Unknown	25.2	1,157.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000065	City of Seguin Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning		11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	- 11000045, 11000047, 11000044, - 11000043, 11000049	Project Planning	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$300,000	TBD	846	642	3,190	5	8	Unknown	25.2	1,157.1	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000066	City of Seguin Citywide Drainage Project Planning	Project planning for four priority drainage projects within the City of Seguin that would greatly improve the safety of their 25,520 residents. Project areas include North Guadalupe, North Heideke, Mays Creek and Walnut Branch.		Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	Project Planning	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$4,304,000	TBD	846	642	3,190	5	8	Unknown	25.2	1,157.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000067	City of Seguin Sewage Treatment Plant Floodproofing Project Planning	flood-proof sewage treatment plants in		Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000044	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546	No	\$100,000	TBD	8	0	48	0	Unknown	Unknown	0.2	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000068	City of Uhland Drainag Improvement Project Planning		11000009, 11000010	Caldwell,Hays	12100203	-	11000110, 11000111	Project Planning	2.8	Riverine	Uhland	11002686, 11003538, 11003546, 00000026, 11002049, 00000291, 11003539, 00000392, 11003533, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$1,334,000	TBD	27	11	46	0	3	Unknown	1.5	94.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000069	City of Victoria Drainag Improvement Study	Study of solutions to increase dimensions of drainage culverts in areas prone to flooding and/or drainage problems in various City locations.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Watershed Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$1,000,000	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000070	City of Victoria Harden Critical Infrastructure Project Planning		11000015, 11000016	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Project Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$100,000	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
	City of Victoria Volunta Buyout Program Projec Planning		11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Project Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 0000094, 00000264	No	\$150,000	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000072	City of Victoria Flood Gate Project Planning		11000009,	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Project Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$45,000	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000073	City of Victoria Regiona Drainage Solutions Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project for five regional drainage solutions within the al City: the Gardens Apartment diversion, Shenandoah ditch improvements, Anthony Road outfall improvements, Lone Tree Road outfall improvements, and Clegg Ditch outfall.		Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Project Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 0000094, 00000264	No	\$1,327,962	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000074		Project planning for project to replace storm sewer pipe under 18-inch diameter (29-9 miles). As a result of overland flow analysis and Storm Sewer System Level of Service Analysis, it was determined to replace all pipe less than 18-inch diameter.	11000009	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Project Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$3,946,100	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
	City of Victoria Clean an Televise Storm Sewers Project Planning		11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Project Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$1,662,106	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)		Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)		Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)		Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000076	City of Victoria Regrade Priority Ditches and Driveway Culverts Project Planning	Project planning for proposed drainage improvements. As a result of a roadside ditch capacity evaluation, it was determined that 23 miles of ditch and 669 driveway culverts are negatively impacting conveyance capacity and need to be regraded.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Project Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$1,165,853	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000077	City of Victoria Repair Channel Failures & Sediment Removal Project Planning	Project planning for proposed channel improvements. Using field visits and drone footage, it was determined to repair 33,657 sq ft of concreted lined channel, 13,829 sq ft of earthen channel, and remove 227,099 sq ft of sediment.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204		Project Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$276,201	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000078	City of Victoria Stream Restoration Study	Study to implement a stream restoration/channelization program to ensure adequate drainage/diversion of storm water, throughout various City low water crossings, streambeds, creek sheds, tributaries, and riverine areas.	11000010	Victoria	12100204	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	Watershed Planning	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$500,000	TBD	1,139	933	5,112	24	0	Unknown	36.2	110.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000079	City of Waelder Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to develop and implement a program to buyout NFIP repetitive loss properties.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	12100202	- 11000059	Project Planning	1.3	Riverine	Waelder	00000008, 11002395, 00000291, 00000264, 11003546	No	\$150,000	TBD	170	88	264	0	9	Unknown	4.0	4.3	Unknown	Unknown
111000080	City of Wimberley Drainage Master Plan	Creation of drainage master plan for City of Wimberley to mitigate the flood hazard by defining priorities, policies, and strategies to address and remedy the drainage needs and challenges in Wimberley.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	11000099, 11000102, 11000098, 11000100	Watershed Planning	8.9	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 11000387, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	TBD	503	421	1,186	o	6	Unknown	7.7	25.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000081	City of Wimberley FM 1492 at Blanco River Low Water Crossing Project Planning		11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000099	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000082		Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Hidden Valley at Blanco River	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	4	4	12	0	1	Unknown	0.2	0.7	Unknown	Unknown
111000083	City of Wimberley Little Arkansas at Blanco River Low Water Crossing Project Planning		11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	11003546, 00000026, 00000291, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	0	0	0	o	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	0.6	Unknown	Unknown
111000084	City of Wimberley Valley Drive at Pierce Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning			Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000085	City of Wimberley Flite Acres Road Low Water Crossing Project Planning		11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	4	3	11	o	0	Unknown	0.5	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000086	City of Wimberley FM 1492 at Pierce Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at FM 1492 at Pierce Creek		Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	3	3	10	o	1	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000087	City of Wimberley Wilson Creek at River Road Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Wilson Creek at River Road	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000099	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	0	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)		Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000088	City of Wimberley Green Acres Dr. at Fire Station Low Water Crossing Project Planning		11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000099	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000089	City of Wimberley Leveritt's Loop Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Leveritt's Loop	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000099	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	9	9	16	0	Unknown	Unknown	0.2	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000090	City of Wimberley Spoke Hollow Dr. at Spoke Pile Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Spoke Hollow Dr. at Spoke Plie Creek	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	0	0	0	0	1	Unknown	0.1	0.2	Unknown	Unknown
111000091	City of Wimberley River Road at Western City Limit Low Water Crossing Project Planning		11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000099	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	0	Unknown	0.0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000092	City of Wimberley Paradise Hills Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Paradise Hills	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	Unknown	0.1	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000093	City of Wimberley River Road Reconstruction Project Planning			Hays	12100203	- 11000099	Project Planning	0.1	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	23	16	43	0	0	Unknown	1.5	3.8	Unknown	Unknown
111000094	City of Wimberley Little Ranches at Panther Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to reconstruct low water crossing and roadway at Little Ranches at Panther Creek	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000095	City of Wimberley Hoots Holler Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to reconstruct low water crossing and roadway at Hoots Holler	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	- 11000100	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000096	Comal County Evacuation and Dam Safety Plan	Develop evacuation and dam safety plan for coordination with USACE and dam re-enforcement.	11000015, 11000016	Comal	12100203, 12100202, 12100201	11000104, 11000035, 11000107, 11000041, 11000043, 11000103, 11000046, 11000032, 11000033, 11000036, 11000042, 11000034, 11000035, 11000099, 11000030, 11000039, 11000037	Preparedness	573.0	Riverine	Comal	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$50,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000097	Comal County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to upgrade low water crossings with larger culverts and elevated roadways where feasible. Acquire easement and/or right of ways adjacent to River Road for first responder access		Comal	12100203, 12100202, 12100201	11000104, 11000035, 11000107, 11000041, 11000043, 11000103, 11000046, 11000032, 11000034, - 11000036, 11000042, 11000034, 11000036, 11000099, 11000040, 11000039, 11000037	Project Planning	573.0	Riverine	Comal	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000098		Project planning to remediate repetitive losses along the Guadalupe River by acquiring flood damaged structures and converting acquired land to open(green)space.	11000003, 11000004, 11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100203, 12100202, 12100201	11000104, 11000035, 11000107, 11000041, 11000043, 11000103, 11000046, 11000032, 11000033, - 11000036, 11000042, 11000034, 11000035, 11000099, 11000040, 11000039, 11000037	Project Planning	573.0	Riverine	Comal	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$357,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000099	Comal County Retention Dam Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to design and construct 4 retention dams to assist in controlling flash flooding in municipalities and unincorporated areas of the county.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100203, 12100202, 12100201	11000104, 11000035, 11000107, 11000041, 11000043, 11000033, 11000045, 11000032, 11000034, 11000036, 11000042, 11000034, 11000035, 11000097, 11000030, 11000095, 11000097, 11000040, 11000039, 11000037	Project Planning	573.0	Riverine	Comal	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$8,000,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000101	City of Cuero Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to improve drainage and stormwater system to reduce drainage and flooding issues.	11000009, 11000010	De Witt	12100204, 12100202	- 11000071, 11000124	Watershed Planning	6.6	Riverine	Cuero	11002401, 11003546, 00000291, 11000478, 00000264, 00000099	No	\$150,000	TBD	1,991	1,533	5,110	12	2	Unknown	35.2	116.2	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)		Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)		Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000102	City of Cuero City Public Service Station Project Planning		11000015, 11000016	De Witt	12100204, 12100202	- 11000071, 11000124	Project Planning	6.6	Riverine	Cuero	11002401, 11003546, 00000291, 11000478, 00000264, 00000099	No	\$100,000	TBD	1,991	1,533	5,110	12	2	Unknown	35.2	116.2	Unknown	Unknown
111000104	Dewitt County Drainage District Channel Improvements Project Planning	reconstruct drainage channels to control	11000009, 11000010	De Witt	12100204, 12100202	- 11000071, 11000124	Project Planning	6.6	Riverine	Dewitt County Drainage District 1	11002401, 11003546, 00000291, 11000478, 00000264, 00000099	No	\$250,000	TBD	1,991	1,533	5,110	12	2	Unknown	35.2	116.2	Unknown	Unknown
111000103	City of Cuero WWTP Floodproofing Project Planning	Project planning to floodproof/retrofit older components of the Cuero Wastewater Treatment Plant subject to flooding.	11000015, 11000016	De Witt	12100204	- 11000124	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Cuero	00000264, 00000099, 00000291, 11003546	No	\$100,000	TBD	4	0	2	0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	2.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000105	Nordhoim) Flach Flaad	Project planning for proposed project to construct necessary barriers or berms to reduce impact of runoff from flash floods onto neighborhoods, streams, and impacting community water wells from proposed Pilot Knob landfill.		De Witt	12100204	- 11000133, 11000134	Project Planning	0.5	Riverine	Nordheim	11003546, 00000291, 00002402, 00000264, 00000099	No	\$150,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000106	Gillespie County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to place automatic warning signs at 35 documented low water crossings in the county	11000001, 11000002	Gillespie	12100203, 12100201	11000013, 11000012, 11000092, 1000011	Project Planning	1057.2	Riverine	Gillespie	00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 00000031, 00000307, 00001401, 0000030, 00000291, 00000017, 00000022, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$50,000	TBD	8	2	22	0	0	Unknown	0.1	347.9	Unknown	Unknown
111000107	Gonzales County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to develop and implement a program to buyout NFIP repetitive loss properties.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	12100203, 12100201	11000013, 11000012, 11000092, 11000091, 11000011	Project Planning	1057.2	Riverine	Gillespie	00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 00000031, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 00000022, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	TBD	8	2	22	0	o	Unknown	0.1	347.9	Unknown	Unknown
111000108	Technical Partners (CTP)	GBRA has entered into a partnership with g FEMA by which GBRA commissions an engineering firm to perform flood inundation modeling and mapping, and dams in series modeling.	11000009,	Bandera, Bastrop, Blanco, Caldwell, Calhoun, Comal, De Witt, Fayette, Gillespie, Golies, Guadalupe, Hays, Karnes, Kendall, Kerr, Lavaca, Refugio, Travis, Victoria, Wilson	12100203, 12100201	_ 11000013, 11000012, 11000092, _ 11000011	Watershed Planning	1057.2	Riverine	Guadalupe- Blanco River Authority	00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 00000031, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 00000022, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$250,000	TBD	8	2	22	0	0	Unknown	0.1	347.9	Unknown	Unknown
111000109	Guadalupe County Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade undersized stormwater drains and culverts.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100203, 12100202	11000104, 11000075, 11000051, 11000050, 11000107, 11000108, 11000043, 11000048, 11000073, 11000121, 11000048, 11000120, 11000105, 11000042, 11000052, 11000105, 11000074, 11000106, 11000044, 11000074,	Watershed Planning	713.1	Riverine	Guadalupe	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 0000016, 0000255, 0000008, 0000307, 00000002, 00000291, 0000095, 0000019, 0000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$3,000,000	TBD	1,649	760	2,570	4	55	Unknown	123.7	101,450.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000110	Guadalupe County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to develop a land acquisition program in flood hazard areas. Acquire and demolish repetitive loss properties. Acquire high risk vacant land and maintain as open space.	11000009,	Guadalupe	12100203, 12100202	11000104, 11000075, 11000051, 11000050, 11000107, 11000108, 11000043, 11000046, 11000073, 11000121, 11000048, 11000120, 11000109, 11000042, 11000052, 11000105, 11000049, 11000106, 11000045, 11000072, 11000047, 11000044	Project Planning	713.1	Riverine	Guadalupe	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 00000016, 00000255, 0000008, 00000307, 0000002, 00000291, 0000095, 0000019, 0000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$150,000	TBD	1,649	760	2,570	4	55	Unknown	123.7	101,450.5	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)		Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)		Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000111	Guadalupe County LWC Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to mark and place electric gates at low water crossings.	11000001, 11000002	Guadalupe	12100203, 12100202	11000104, 11000075, 11000051, 11000050, 11000107, 11000108, 11000043, 11000046, 11000073, 11000121, 11000048, 11000120, 11000109, 11000042, 11000052, 11000105, 11000049, 11000106, 11000045, 11000072, 11000047, 11000044	Project Planning	713.1	Riverine	Guadalupe	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 0000016, 0000255, 0000008, 0000037, 00000002, 00000291, 0000095, 00000019, 00000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$2,000,000	TBD	1,649	760	2,570	4	55	Unknown	123.7	101,450.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000112	Hays County Dam Inundation Maps	Conduct study and work with TCEQ to continue to develop inundation maps for all High Hazard dams.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	11000103, 11000111, 11000102, 11000101, 11000106, 11000104, 11000098, 11000112, 11000097, 11000116, 11000095, 11000096, 11000107, 11000110, 11000099, 11000105, 11000100	Preparedness	676.0	Riverine	Hays	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 0000016, 00000255, 0000008, 00000307, 00000002, 00000291, 0000095, 00000019, 00000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$500,000	TBD	1,649	760	2,570	4	55	Unknown	123.7	101,450.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000113	Hays County Harden Critical Infrastructure Project Planning	Project planning to ensure new structures are structurally reinforced against natural hazards. To include, flood-proofing (if needed), freeboard, higher levels of soil compaction and proper perimeter drainage systems.	11000015,	Hays	12100203	11000103, 11000111, 11000102, 11000101, 11000106, 11000104, 11000098, 11000112, 11000097, 11000116, 11000095, 11000096, 11000107, 11000100, 11000099, 11000105, 11000100	Project Planning	676.0	Riverine	Hays	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 0000016, 0000255, 0000008, 0000037, 00000002, 00000291, 0000095, 00000019, 00000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$100,000	TBD	1,649	760	2,570	4	55	Unknown	123.7	101,450.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000114	Hays County Drainage Project Planning (Willow Springs Creek between McCarty Lane and Hunter Road)	Project planning for channel improvement and/or property acquisition project to reduce flood damages along Willow Springs Creek from McCarty Lane to Hunter Road.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	- 11000103, 11000106	Project Planning	0.7	Riverine	Hays	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$800,000	TBD	4	1	3	0	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
111000115	Hays County Drainage Project Planning (Willow Springs Creek between Hunter Rd and the Railroad)	Project planning for detention project to reduce flood damages along Willow Springs Creek from Hunter Road to the railroad.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	- 11000106	Project Planning	0.2	Riverine	Hays	00000026, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$1,200,000	TBD	3	3	8	0	0	Unknown	0.1	8.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000116	Hays County Southeastern Property Acquisition Project Planning	Project planning for property acquisition project to mitigate repetitive loss flooding where drainage projects were analyzed and deemed ineffective for cost/ benefit reasons in southeastern Hays County.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203, 12100202	11000103, 11000102, 11000101, - 11000106, 11000104, 11000107, 11000099, 11000105, 11000037	Project Planning	49.1	Riverine	Hays	00000255, 11003538, 00000026, 00000014, 11002343, 00000291, 1100387, 11003163, 00000392, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$800,000	TBD	1,420	1,067	10,645	12	14	Unknown	25.1	1,058.0	Unknown	Unknown
111000118	Hays County Community Flood Mitigation Project Planning	Hays County Community Flood Mitigation Project Planning	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	11000103, 11000111, 11000102, 11000101, 11000106, 11000104, 11000098, 11000112, 11000097, 11000115, 11000095, 11000096, 11000107, 11000110, 11000099, 11000105, 11000100	Project Planning	676.0	Riverine	Hays	00000255, 11003538, 00000026, 00000014, 11002343, 00000291, 1100387, 11003163, 00000392, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$238,035	TBD	1,420	1,067	10,645	12	14	Unknown	25.1	1,058.0	Unknown	Unknown
111000122	Kerr County Center Point Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	draipage infrastructure to reduce the	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	11000001, 11000007, 11000024, 11000018, 11000020, 11000015, 11000009, 11000021, 11000017, 11000014, 11000016, 11000022, 110000013, 11000008, 11000002, 110000010, 11000019, 11000019, 11000010, 11000005, 11000003, 11000012, 11000004	Project Planning	1103.0	Riverine	Kerr	11003543, 11003544, 11002585, 11000662, 11003546, 00000290, 00000255, 00000307, 00000291, 00000015, 00000339, 00000011, 11003545, 11003542, 00001401, 11003486, 00000022, 00000297, 00000268, 00000030, 00000017	No	\$125,000	TBD	3,833	2,315	11,538	6	158	Unknown	124.1	28,070.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000123	Kerr County Dam Integrity Study	Create a dam integrity study and identify repairs to be made to County dams as necessary.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	11000001, 11000007, 11000024, 11000018, 11000020, 11000015, 11000009, 11000021, 11000017, 11000014, 11000016, 11000022, 110000013, 11000008, 11000002, 110000010, 11000019, 11000019, 11000010, 11000005, 11000003, 11000012, 11000004	Preparedness	1103.0	Riverine	Kerr	11003543, 11003544, 11002585, 11000662, 11003546, 00000290, 00000255, 00000307, 00000291, 00000015, 00000339, 00000011, 11003545, 11003542, 00001401, 11003486, 00000022, 00000297, 00000268, 00000030, 00000017	No	\$500,000	TBD	3,833	2,315	11,538	6	158	Unknown	124.1	28,070.5	Unknown	Unknown
111000126	Travis County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to identify and prioritize structures for elevation as flood mitigation. Elevate flood prone structures throughout unincorporated Travis County.	11000000	Travis	12100203	- 11000111, 11000112	Project Planning	1020.8	Riverine	Travis	00001060, 11003546, 00003189, 00000028, 00000026, 0000031, 0000034, 0000862, 0000307, 00003202, 00002700, 00000291, 00001133, 11003539, 11003534, 11003533, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$300,000	TBD	7	7	18	o	1	Unknown	0.1	99.0	Unknown	Unknown

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)		Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)		Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year
11000128		Conduct study for the development and implementation of county wide planning & development standards, sub-division rules, infrastructure rules and building / construction codes.	11000005, 11000006	Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	Watershed Planning	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 0000002, 00000580, 0000291, 00000084, 00002428, 00000260, 00003277, 0000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 0000009, 00000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$100,000	TBD	1,808	1,382	6,566	49	5	Unknown	98.5	33,695.7	Unknown	Unknown
11000129	Victoria County Drainage Improvements Study		11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	Watershed Planning	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003346, 00000002, 00000580, 00000291, 00000084, 00002428, 00000260, 00003277, 00000088, 11001787, 0000094, 0000099, 0000099, 00000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$150,000	TBD	1,808	1,382	6,566	49	5	Unknown	98.5	33,695.7	Unknown	Unknowr
11000130	Victoria County FIRMs	Engineering Studies to revise Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) throughout the County to establish Base Flood Elevations (BFE) in areas that are currently identified as unstudied Zone As.	11000009,	Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	Watershed Planning	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 0000002, 00000580, 00000291, 00000084, 00002428, 00000260, 00003277, 00000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 00000327, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$500,000	TBD	1,808	1,382	6,566	49	5	Unknown	98.5	33,695.7	Unknown	Unknow
11000131	Victoria County Drainage Improvements around County EOC Project Planning	Project planning to improve drainage around County EOC and flood-proof facilities as necessary.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000131	Project Planning	0.0	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$100,000	TBD	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknowr
11000132	Victoria County Bridge Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to raise various County bridges above current Base Flood Elevation (BFE) levels to include such improvements as: box culverts, wingback walls, rip rap, channelization, and road base improvement.		Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	Project Planning	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 0000002, 00000580, 0000291, 00000084, 00002428, 00000260, 00003277, 00000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 00000090, 00000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$500,000	TBD	1,808	1,382	6,566	49	5	Unknown	98.5	33,695.7	Unknown	Unknow
1000133	Victoria County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to implement a voluntary acquisition program for repetitive flood properties.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	Project Planning	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 0000002, 00000580, 0000291, 00000084, 00002428, 0000260, 00003277, 0000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 00000090, 00000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$300,000	TBD	1,808	1,382	6,566	49	5	Unknown	98.5	33,695.7	Unknown	Unknow
1000134	Wilson County Stormwater Management Plan	Develop flood hazard information by collecting information, high water marks, and conduct engineering studies to develop the 100 year and 500 year flood elevation levels.	11000015,	Wilson	12100202	-	11000075, 11000078, 11000079	Watershed Planning	805.8	Riverine	Wilson	00000290, 00000255, 11002393, 00000010, 00000282, 00000392, 00001006, 11003546, 00000008, 00002973, 00000291, 00000100, 00000264, 00000095	No	\$500,000	TBD	18	9	33	0	0	Unknown	4.0	2,120.0	Unknown	Unknow
1000135	Wilson County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to upgrade infrastructure at low water crossings to provide unimpeded access during 100 year base flood event to facilitate evacuation and response by emergency vehicles	11000001, 11000002	Wilson	12100202	-	11000075, 11000078, 11000079	Project Planning	805.8	Riverine	Wilson	00000290, 00000255, 11002393, 00000010, 00000282, 00000392, 00001006, 11003546, 0000008, 0002973, 00000291, 00000100, 00000264, 00000095	No	\$150,000	TBD	18	9	33	0	0	Unknown	4.0	2,120.0	Unknown	Unknow
1000136	Wilson County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning		11000009, 11000010	Wilson	12100202	-	11000075, 11000078, 11000079	Project Planning	805.8	Riverine	Wilson	00000290, 00000255, 11002393, 00000010, 00000282, 00000392, 0000106, 11003546, 00000008, 00002973, 00000291, 00000100, 00000264, 00000095	No	\$150,000	TBD	18	9	33	0	0	Unknown	4.0	2,120.0	Unknown	Unknow
1000137	Emergency power generators at critical infrastructure/key resource locations project planning	Project planning to install emergency generators at critical facilities to provide back-up power from hazard events.	11000015, 11000016	Blanco	12100203, 12100201	-	11000094, 11000097, 11000095, 11000096, 11000092, 11000031, 11000093, 11000033, 11000034	Project Planning	711.0	Riverine	Blanco	00000255, 11002996, 00000026, 00000031, 00000014, 00000034, 00000307, 00001401, 0000030, 00000291, 00000017, 11003532, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	TBD	167	122	299	0	30	Unknown	14.2	4,091.8	Unknown	Unknow

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Study Type	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Estimated number of structures at flood risk	Residential structures at flood risk	Estimated Population at flood risk		Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at flood risk (Miles)	Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)	Existing or Anticipated Models (year)	Existing or Anticipated Maps (year)
111000010	City of Cibolo and Segui Road Access and Conditions Study	Study to evaluate access and road n conditions for response vehicles, develop and implement options to improve access and/or add redundant access routes in high risk areas.		Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000042, 11000043, 11000049	Preparedness	59.2	Riverine	Cibolo, Seguin	00000255, 00002615, 00000010, 00000282, 00002671, 11003538, 00001485, 00002973, 11002616, 11001045, 00003276, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$500,000	TBD	846	642	3,190	5	8	Unknown	25.2	1,157.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000011	City of Cibolo and Segui USACE Study	Undertake a comprehensive study of flood risk and reduction alternatives, with the assistance of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Project planning to implement feasible alternatives for flood reduction.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000042, 11000043, 11000049	Watershed Planning	59.2	Riverine	Cibolo, Seguin	00000255, 00002615, 00000010, 00000282, 00002671, 11003538, 00001485, 00002973, 11002616, 11001045, 00003276, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$1,000,000	TBD	846	642	3,190	5	8	Unknown	25.2	1,157.1	Unknown	Unknown
111000138	Cypress Creek Regiona detention	Regional detention project on Cypress Creek that will reduce flooding through the unincorporated town of Comfort, TX and possibly provide enhanced aquifer recharge.	11000003, 11000004	Kendall	12100201	-	11000019,11000021,11000023	Project Planning	3.2	Riverine	Kendall	00000017,00000022,00000255,00000291,00000297,110 00923,11003546	No	\$113,855	TBD	439	290	882	0	5	Unknown	10.7	745.3	Unknown	Unknown
111000127	Upper Guadalupe Rive Authority Evaluation o Water and Sediment Control Facilities	Study to evaluate the flood benefits and cost-effectiveness of UGRA's existing nine Kerr County facilities. Evaluation would include H&H modeling and financial data to determine flood risk reduction. Results could guide decisions on future facilities.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000001, 11000007, 11000024, 11000018, 11000020, 11000015, 11000019, 11000021, 11000017, 11000014, 11000016, 11000022, 11000014, 11000018, 11000002, 11000006, 11000001, 11000019, 11000012, 11000003, 11000012, 11000004	Watershed Planning	1103.0	Riverine	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	11003543, 11003544, 11002585, 11000662, 11003546, 00000290, 00000255, 0000307, 00000291, 0000015, 00000339, 0000011, 11003545, 11003542, 00001401, 11003486, 00000022, 00000297, 00000268, 00000030, 00000017	No	\$250,000	TBD	3,833	2,315	11,538	6	158	Unknown	124.1	28,070.5	Unknown	Unknown

**Table 13:** Potentially Feasible Flood MitigationProjects Identified by RFPG

																			Flood Risk					
FMP ID	FMP Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Project Type	Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa, Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Project Cos (\$)	t Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Area in 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain	Area in 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Floodplain	Estimated number of structures at 100yr flood risk	Residential structures at 100-year flood risk	Estimated Population at 100- year flood risk	Critical facilities at 100- year flood risk (#)		Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at 100- year flood risk (Miles)	Estimated farm & ranch land at 100- year flood risk (acres)
113000001	Detention on the Blanco River	The proposed dam height of 102 ft. and dam length of 1,840 ft. will provide a maximum storage capacity of approximately 1128 ac-ft.	11000009, 11000010	Blanco, Hays	-	11000059	Dam	6.34	Riverine	Kyle	00000008, 00000264, 00000291, 11002395, 11003546	No	\$933,800	-	3.82	0.91	508	417	1070	0	10	Unknown	-	-
113000006	Plum Creek Tributary 3 Arbor Knot Dr. Improvement	A proposed culvert improvement has been developed to convey a 1% ACE event. The proposed culvert improvement is to add one additional 8ft x 4ft culvert totaling three culverts at this location, and raising the finished deck elevation by 0.5ft.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000098	Infrastructure	0.02	Riverine	Blanco	00000026, 00000258, 00000291, 11002704, 11003546	No	\$557,000	-	0.01	0.00	2	2	4	0	0	Unknown	<null></null>	<null></null>
113000007	Plum Creek Tributary 4 Sledge Rd. Improvement	The proposed culvert improvement resulted in eight (7ft x 4ft) box culverts, needed to clear the roadway and to alleviate additional backwater flooding.	11000015, 11000010	Hays	-	11000107, 11000108, 11000106	Infrastructure	0.04	Riverine	Kyle	00000010, 00000255, 00000291, 00000392, 11000589, 11003538, 11003546	No	\$1,149,000	-	0.03	0.00	0	0	0	0	0	Unknown	0.1	3.5
113000010	65ft Channel Modification and Additional Culvert	The channel modifications consists of 65-ft bottom width channel modifications with 4:1 side slopes spanning from the North I-35 frontage road down past Goforth Road to Kym Way.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000075, 11000079	Comprehensive	0.19	Riverine	Kyle	00000008, 00000264, 00000282, 00000291, 11002393, 11003546	No	\$589,000	-	0.12	0.03	39	34	269	0	1	Unknown	0.8	2.3
113000011	Plum Creek Detention Pond Upstream of IH35	This project consists of a detention pond between the railroad track and the South bound I-35 frontage road. Under this proposed alternative a 13-ft high dam wall would be placed on Plum Creek near Kyle Center Drive.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000130	Detention Pond	0.33	Riverine	Kyle	00000094, 00000264, 00000291, 00000588, 11003546	No	\$864,000	-	0.23	0.05	49	43	312	0	2	Unknown	1.1	8.3
113000013	Wood Road/Landa Street Drainage Improvement	The drainage improvement project captures runoff east of Walnut Avenue and detains it in a 12-acre detention pond with 144 acre-feet of storage capacity. The pond outfall structure discharges to an existing channel south of Wood Road.		Comal	-	11000107, 11000101, 11000102, 11000103, 11000104, 11000105	Other	0.17	Riverine	New Braunfels	0000016,0000026,00000258, 00000291,11000387,00000392, 11001889,11002343,00002800, 11003163,11003534,11003538, 11003546	No	\$35,757,000	-	0.06	0.05	47	23	667	0	0	Unknown	0.7	-
113000015	Improve Flood Warning Systems	Project includes enhancing stream flow gage network by increasing number of gages throughout community by at least six gages.	11000015, 11000016	Hays	-	11000098	Preparedness	25.69	Riverine	Woodcreek	00000026, 00000258, 00000291, 11002704, 11003546	No	\$339,000	-	6.17	2.62	2278	1626	20149	14	12	Unknown	46.9	-
113000026	Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement	Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement Project Preliminary Engineering Report	11000009, 11000010	Hays		11000099	Channel	0.20	Riverine	Waelder	00000026,00000258,00000291,11 003546	No	\$22,391,000	-	0.15	0.04	73	53	433	0	1	Unknown	1.4	<null></null>
113000027	Sherwood/Kingwood Drainage Improvements	Sherwood Drive and Kingwood Street Improvements Preliminary Engineering Report	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000017, 11000016, 11000012, 11000013, 11000014	Infrastructure	0.03	Riverine	Waelder	00000022,00000255,00000297,11 002585,11003544,11003542,1100 3545,11003546	No	\$5,644,000	-	0.01	0.00	15	14	32	0	0	Unknown	0.2	<null></null>
113000035	Guadalupe Street Automatic Flood Gates	Place automatic flood gates with vehicle detection on inside of flooded area to allow for egress.	1.1E+14	Guadalupe	-	11000058, 11000060, 11000059	Preparedness	0.26	Riverine	Woodcreek	00000008,00000264,00000291,11 003546	No	\$115,000	-	0.14	0.03	53	17	287	2	0	Unknown	2.2	-
113000036	Baldridge Creek Regional Detention Pond	Project includes constructing a regional detention pond on Baldridge Creek northwest of the City and would release runoff at a substantially lower flowrate, resulting in lower flood elevations on Baldridge Creek through the City of Waelder	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	-	11000107, 11000101, 11000102, 11000103, 11000104	Comprehensive	0.97	Riverine	Woodcreek	00000016,0000026,00000258,00 000291,11000387,00000392,1100 1889,11002343,00002800,110031 63,11003534,11003538,11003546		\$2,075,000	-	0.55	0.10	-	-	-	-	5	Unknown	2.5	-
113000037	Baldridge Creek Channel and Culvert Improvement and Detention Pond	Project consists of upstream regional detention pond plus a 50 ft. bottom width channel modification with 3:1 side slopes downstream of SH 97 and the addition of two 10 X 10 box culverts under SH 97.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	-	11000103	Comprehensive	0.29	Riverine	Comal	00000026,00000258,00000291,11 000387,11003163,11003546	No	\$3,928,000	-	0.25	0.02	122	68	179	0	4	Unknown	2.2	6.7
113000039	Wilson Creek - Green Acres Dr. Improvement	A proposed updated culvert geometry consists of 11 box culverts (10ft-12ft) and a raised finished deck elevation (3ft rise).	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000110, 11000111	Infrastructure	0.02	Riverine	Gonzales	00000026,0000034,00000258,00 000291,0000307,00000862,0000 1323,11002217,00002700,000027 99,00002800,11003533,11003546	No	\$1,246,000		0.01	0.00	2	2	5	0	1	Unknown	0.1	<null></null>
113000040	Regional Detention South of Mountain Crest Drive	The alternative consists of a 20 ft. tall detention structure with a 175 ac-ft detention capacity. The outflow control would consist of culverts for low flow and an overflow weir for high flow.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000014	Detention Pond	0.10	Riverine	Wimberley	00000022,00000255,00000297,11 002585,11003545,11003546	No	\$946,000	-	0.06	0.01	28	26	60	o	0	Unknown	0.4	-
113000041	Improvements to Brookside Drive Culvert Crossing	The culvert opening will be increased to three 36" concrete pipes to match the culvert capacity just downstream at Brook Meadow Dr. and also involve some minimal re- erading of the stream flowline.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000110	LWC upgrade	0.00	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026,00000258,00000291,00 002800,11003533,11003546	No	\$38,000	-	0.00	0.00	<null></null>	<null></null>	<null></null>	<null></null>	<null></null>	Unknown	0.0	-
113000042	Brookmeadow Drive Drainage Improvements	The proposed alternative consists of a rip rap ditch along the south side of Brookmeadow Drive, under Overbrook Court and down to Hog Creek with capacity to contain the most frequent flows.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000110	Channel	0.00	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026,00000258,00000291,00 002800,11003533,11003546	No	\$65,000	-	0.00	0.00	7	7	14	0	0	Unknown	0.1	-
113000044	Regional Detention on Bear Creek	The proposed dam height of 85 ft. and dam length of 620 ft. will provide a maximum storage capacity of approximately 3,375 ac-ft.	11000009, 11000010	Comal		11000042	Detention Pond	6.74	Riverine	Seguin	00000014,00000255,00000291,00 002670,11003546	No	\$6,973,000	-	2.16	1.21	456	344	2274	0	9	Unknown	10.3	253.9
113000047	Regional Detention on Peach Creek	A 29 ft. high dam with a length of 5780 ft. would provide approximately 41,774 ac-ft of storage. This site would be able to store a large volume of water and greatly reduce the peak from the Peach Creek watershed.		Gonzales	-	11000099, 11000095, 11000096, 11000097, 11000098,	Detention Pond	7.37	Riverine	Kerr	00000014,00000026,00000031,00 000255,00000258,00000291,0000 0307,11002432,11003546	No	\$7,821,000	-	5.87	0.38	22	18	51	0	3	Unknown	1.8	2820.9
113000052	Kerr County Back-up Power Generators	Installing generators at critical facilities will help ensure physical safety for facility occupants and maintain electronic systems functionality during power outages. Portable generators will maintain additional systems functionality	11000015, 11000016	Kerr	-	11000059	Preparedness	23.58	Riverine	San Marcos	00000008,0000264,00000291,11 002395,11003546	No	\$806,000	-	4.26	2.26	1522	1050	7519	4	20	Unknown	30.6	193.3
113000057	Spring Street Erosion at Outfall Project	Project to extend existing 54" storm drain, regrade and compact earthen channel to stabilize against erosion, and construct concrete baffled chute to convey flow down the steep channel embankment with a stilling basin to dissipate excess energy.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	-	11000130, 11000147, 11000131	Storm Drain	0.00	Riverine	Kerrville	00000094,00000264,00000291,00 000314,00000588,00002428,0000 3277,11003546	No	\$800,000	-	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	<null></null>	Unknown	0.0	<null></null>
113000058	Clay Street Drainage and Kroc Center Detention Pond Spillway Improvements	Proposed project to reconfigure and reconstruct the existing Kroc Center outlet structure and Clay Street drainage improvements. No adverse impacts have been identified downstream.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	-	11000131	Comprehensive	0.03	Riverine	Kerrville	00000094,0000264,00000291,00 000588,00002428,00003277,1100 3546		\$9,561,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	<null></null>	Unknown	<null></null>	<null></null>

#### Guadalupe Region 11

							Reduction in Flood Risl	(															, ,
FMP ID	FMP Name	Number of structures with reduced 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk		Number of structures removed from 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Flood risk	Residential structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Estimated Population removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk			in road closure		Estimated farm & ranch land removed from 100yr flood risk (acres)		Estimated reduction in injuries (if available)	Pre-Project Level- of-Service	- Post-Project Level- of-Service	- Cost/ Structure removed	Percent Nature- based Solution (by cost)	Negative Impact (Y/N)	t Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Social Vulnerability Inde: (SVI)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	Traffic Count for Low Water Crossings	Benefit-Cost Ratio
113000001	Detention on the Blanco River	1939	131	165	107	375	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	50-year	100-year	\$71,000	0	No	-	0.18	Yes	-	-
113000006	Plum Creek Tributary 3 Arbor Knot Dr. Improvement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	Not Available	Not Available	10-year	100-year	\$0	0	No	-	0.36	No	-	<null></null>
113000007	Plum Creek Tributary 4 Sledge Rd. Improvement	3	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	1	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	100-year	\$0	0	No	-	0.24	No	-	<null></null>
113000010	65ft Channel Modification and Additional Culvert	9	4	15	4	16	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	50-year	100-year	\$147,000	18.2	No	-	0.34	No	-	1.73
113000011	Plum Creek Detention Pond Upstream of IH35	10	1	8	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	50-year	100-year	\$864,000	8.4	No	-	0.34	Yes	-	1.51
113000013	Wood Road/Landa Street Drainage Improvement	22	27	0	21	144	0	2	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	25-year	100-year	\$1,324,000	0	No	-	0.40	No	-	0
113000015	Improve Flood Warning Systems	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	NA	NA	\$0	0	No	-	0.59	No		0
113000026	Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement	5	27	0	27	56	0	5	0	1	0	Not Available	Not Available	<null></null>	100-year	\$829,000	2.2	No	-	0.54	No	-	<null></null>
113000027	Sherwood/Kingwood Drainage Improvements	8	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	<null></null>	100-year	\$5,644,000	0	No	-	0.63	No	-	<null></null>
113000035	Guadalupe Street Automatic Flood Gates	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	NA	NA	\$0	0	No	-	0.75	No	-	-
113000036	Baldridge Creek Regional Detention Pond	11	48	24	35	72	0	3	0	1	0	Not Available	Not Available	25-year	100-year	\$43,000	2	No		0.72	Yes	-	
113000037	Baldridge Creek Channel and Culvert Improvement and Detention Pond	7	87	42	56	131	0	7	0	1	0	Not Available	Not Available	25-year	100-year	\$45,000	2	No	-	0.72	No	-	0.78
113000039	Wilson Creek - Green Acres Dr. Improvement	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	<null></null>	100-year	\$0	2	No	-	0.23	No	-	<null></null>
113000040	Regional Detention South of Mountain Crest Drive	14	8	0	8	17	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	100-year	\$118,000	0	No		0.11	Yes		-
113000041	Improvements to Brookside Drive Culvert Crossing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	NA	\$0	0	No	-	0.00	No	-	-
113000042	Brookmeadow Drive Drainage Improvements	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	10-year	\$0	0	No		0.11	No		
113000044	Regional Detention on Bear Creek	4825	159	55	112	456	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	50-year	100-year	\$44,000	0	No	-	0.48	Yes	-	3.53
113000047	Regional Detention on Peach Creek	1447	12	1	12	34	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	50-year	100-year	\$652,000	0	No		0.72	Yes	-	0.77
113000052	Kerr County Back-up Power Generators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	NA	NA	\$0	0	No	-	0.56	No	-	0
113000057	Spring Street Erosion at Outfall Project	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	-	\$0	2	No	-	0.00	No	-	-
113000058	Clay Street Drainage and Kroc Center Detention Pond Spillway Improvements	0	0	0	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	-	\$0	0	No	-	0.60	No	-	-

																			Flood Risk					
FMP ID	FMP Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Project Type	Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa, Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Project Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Area in 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain	Area in 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Floodplain	Estimated number of structures at 100yr flood risk	Residential structures at 100-year flood risk	Estimated Population at 100- year flood risk	Critical facilities at 100- year flood risk (#)	Number of low water crossings at flood risk (#)	Estimated number of road closures (#)	Estimated length of roads at 100- year flood risk (Miles)	Estimated farm & ranch land at 100- year flood risk (acres)
113000059	Coronado Drive and Junction Highway Drainage Improvements	Proposed proposed street and drainage improvements project to alleviate street ponding and nuisance flooding at Coronado Drive north of Junction Highway.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	-	11000103	Comprehensive	0.01	Riverine	Kerrville	00000026,00000258,00000291,11 000387,11003163,11003546	No	\$528,000	-	0.01	0.00	9	0	144	0	<null></null>	Unknown	0.3	<null></null>
113000060	City of Victoria Back-up Power Generators	Install emergency generators and quick connects on all buildings, critical infrastructure, and government buildings.	11000015, 11000016	Victoria	-	11000044	Preparedness	0.01	Riverine	Buda	00000010, 00000255, 00000291, 00000392, 11002616, 11003538, 11003546	No	\$551,000	-	<null></null>	<null></null>	<null></null>	<null></null>	<null></null>	<null></null>	<null></null>	Unknown	<null></null>	<null></null>
113000061	City of Buda-Lifschutz Headwaters Voluntary Buyout	Voluntary, targeted buyouts for 1 or more affected properties. (November 11, 2016 Preliminary Engineering Report)	11000009, 11000010	Hays	-	11000013, 11000014	Property Acquisition	9.73	Riverine	Nixon	00000022,00000255,00000297,11 002585,11003545,11003546	No	\$565,000	-	0.10	0.01	22	19	72	0	1	Unknown	1.0	10.3
113000062	City of Nixon-Wastewater System Flood Improvments	The WWTP and 8th Avenue lift stations have experienced inundation and caused overflows as a result of stormwater inflow into the wastewater system. Also need a new generator & SCADA System Improvements at several locations within the city.	11000015, 11000016	Gonzales	-	11000110	Comprehensive	1.35	Riverine	Victoria	00000026,00000258,00000291,00 002800,11003533,11003546	No	\$3,949,000	-	0.09	0.03	13	6	25	0	0	Unknown	0.1	7.8
113000063	City of San Marcos-Emergency Generators	Purchase and installation of generators for temporary sheltering efforts in all public facilities capable of housing citizens.	11000015, 11000016	Hays	-	11000110	Preparedness	25.67	Riverine	Seguin	00000026,00000258,00000291,00 000392,00002800,11003533,1100 3538,11003546	No	\$58,000	-	6.20	2.62	2275	1624	20103	14	11	Unknown	46.0	384.7
113000064	Victoria County-Emergency Generators	Install emergency generators at critical facilities.	11000015, 11000016	Victoria	-	11000044	Preparedness	33.06	Riverine	Seguin	00000010,0000255,00000291,00 000392,11002616,11003538,1100 3546	No	\$551,000	-	5.17	0.97	1129	928	5081	24	0	Unknown	36.9	84.6
113000065	City of Seguin Regional Detention Southwest of Seguin City Limits Project	Proposed regional detention detention project on Mays Creek.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	-	11000130	Detention Pond	0.32	Riverine	Victoria	00000094,00000264,00000291,00 000588,11003546	No	\$2,015,000	-	0.16	0.04	20	19	65	0	1	Unknown	0.4	28.0
113000066	City of Seguin - Culvert Improvements at Guadalupe River Drive Project	Proposed project to add two additional 10 ft. by 10 ft. reinforced concrete box culverts on either side of the existing two- 10ft. by 10ft. box culverts at Guadalupe River Dr.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	-	11000012	LWC upgrade	0.00	Riverine	Victoria	00000022,00000255,00000297,11 002585,11003545,11003546	No	\$594,000	-	0.00	0.00	18	17	61	0	0	Unknown	0.0	0.4
113000067	City of Victoria Channel and Bridge Modifications on State Highway 87 Project	Proposed channel and bridge modification project. The design modification consists of adding two additional piers to the right and left overbanks of the bridge.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	-	11000098	Comprehensive	0.15	Riverine	Guadalupe	00000026,00000258,00000291,11 002704,11003546	No	\$8,350,000	-	0.12	0.01	3	2	5	0	0	Unknown	0.1	67.8
113000068	City of Victoria Detention Structure Located Upstream of State Highway 87 Project	The proposed detention structure is to be located upstream of SH 87 and has a proposed height of 11ft from crest to oulet structure and a proposed capacity of 3700 ac-ft. Three culvert outlet structures are proposed to drain the detention pond.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	-	11000044	Comprehensive	0.51	Riverine	San Marcos	00000010, 00000255, 00000291, 00000392, 11002616, 11003538, 11003546	No	\$58,395,000	-	0.49	0.01	55	45	126	0	0	Unknown	0.1	230.1
113000069	Guadalupe County Detention on York Creek Project	Project for detention on York Creek. The currently proposed dam height of 48 ft. and dam length of 4800 ft. will provide a maximum storage capacity of approximately 48,130 ac-ft.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	-	11000042, 11000043, 11000037, 11000039, 11000038	Comprehensive	4.22	Riverine	Victoria	00000014, 00000255, 00000291, 11000556, 11002265, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$15,133,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Unknown	-	-

### Guadalupe Region 11

							Reduction in Flood Risk	<b>C</b>															
FMP ID	FMP Name	Number of structures with reduced 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Number of structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Number of structures removed from 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Flood risk	Residential structures removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk	Estimated Population removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk		Number of low water crossings removed from 100yr (1% annual chance) Flood risk (#)	Estimated reduction in road closure occurrences	Estimated length of roads removed from 100yr flood risk (Miles)		Estimated reduction in fatalities (if available)	Estimated reduction in injuries (if available)	Pre-Project Level- of-Service	Post-Project Level- of-Service	Cost/ Structure removed	Percent Nature- based Solution (by cost)	Negative Impa (Y/N)	ct Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Social Vulnerability Inde (SVI)	x Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	Traffic Count for Low Water Crossings	Benefit-Cost Ratio
113000059	Coronado Drive and Junction Highway Drainage Improvements	2	7	0	0	111	1	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	25-year	\$75,000	0	No	-	0.31	No	-	0
113000060	City of Victoria Back-up Power Generators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	NA	NA	\$0	0	No	-	0.00	No	-	0
113000061	City of Buda-Lifschutz Headwaters Voluntary Buyout	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	NA	NA	\$565,000	0	No	-	0.12	No	-	0
113000062	City of Nixon-Wastewater System Flood Improvments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	NA	NA	\$0	0	No	-	0.68	No	-	0
113000063	City of San Marcos-Emergency Generators	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	NA	NA	\$0	0	No	-	0.59	No	-	0
113000064	Victoria County-Emergency Generators	o	o	0	o	o	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	0	-	NA	\$0	0	No	-	0.53	No	-	0
113000065	City of Seguin Regional Detention Southwest of Seguin City Limits Project	4	8	3	8	19	0	1	0	1	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	-	\$252,000	2	No	-	0.49	Yes	-	1.17
113000066	City of Seguin - Culvert Improvements at Guadalupe River Drive Project	4	6	3	6	13	0	1	0	1	0	Not Available	Not Available	-	50-year	\$594,000	2	No	-	0.00	No	-	1.28
113000067	City of Victoria Channel and Bridge Modifications on State Highway 87 Project	2	2	0	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	<null></null>	<null></null>	\$239,000	2.1	No	-	0.13	No	-	0.25
113000068	City of Victoria Detention Structure Located Upstream of State Highway 87 Project	2	38	0	29	52	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	<null></null>	100-year	\$1,537,000	1.3	No	-	0.13	No	-	0
113000069	Guadalupe County Detention on York Creek Project	1622	100	95	80	287	0	0	0	0	0	Not Available	Not Available	50-year	100-year	\$151,000	0	No	-	0.40	Yes	-	1.57

**Table 14:** Potentially Feasible FloodManagement Strategies Identified by RFPG


<b></b>								T		1	1					r											
FMS ID	FMS Na	ame Description		Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	нис	C10s	Watersheds	Strategy Type	Strategy Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities w	vith Oversight	mergency Need (Y/N)		Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Area in 100yr (1% annual chance) Floodplain	Area in 500yr (0.2% annual chance) Floodplain	Estimated number of structures at 100yr flood risk	Recidential structures	Flood Estimated Population at flood risk	Critical facilities at	Number of low wate crossings at flood ris (#)	Estimated number road closures (#)	of Estimated length of roads at flood risl (Miles)	f Estimated active farm & ranch land at flood risk (acres)
112000186	Educatio Outrea	officials and real	ving flood areness s, elected estate and flood to reduce ase NFIP	1100001	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalup Bandera, Comal, Real, Caldw Kendall, Fayette, Kerr, Hays Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	vell, /s, ), -	- 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000	0133, 11000130, 11000136, 0140, 11000137, 11000141, 0138, 11000139, 11000146, 0148, 11000149, 11000142, 0143, 11000144, 11000145, 0147, 11000150, 11000134, 0135, 11000131, 11000132, 0129, 11000125, 11000124, 0126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Education and Outreach	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regior Flood Planning Group	g Guadalu	upe Regional anning Group	No	\$0	Unknown	1166.20	194.10	27,069	18,447	89,019	127	661	Unknown	935	150.26
112000187	Prope Acquisitio Structu Elevat	ns and to eliminate repeti aral structures and imple	structural programs tive loss ementing pen space	11000003, 11000009	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalup Bandera, Comal, Real, Caldw Kendall, Fayette, Kerr, Hays Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	vell, /s, ), -	- 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000	0133, 11000130, 11000136, 0140, 11000137, 11000141, 0138, 11000139, 11000146, 0148, 11000149, 11000142, 0143, 11000144, 11000145, 0147, 11000150, 11000134, 0135, 11000131, 11000132, 0129, 11000125, 11000124, 0126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Property Acquisition and Structural Elevation	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regior Flood Planning Group	Guadaiu	upe Regional anning Group	No	\$0	Unknown	1166.20	194.10	27,069	18,447	89,019	127	661	Unknown	935	0.29
112000188	Regulato Guida		es, land pment ement. ent higher astructure e best BLE) to	11000003, 11000005, 11000009	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalup Bandera, Comal, Real, Caldw Kendall, Fayette, Kerr, Hays Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	well, /s, ), -	- 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000	0133, 11000130, 11000136, 0140, 11000137, 11000141, 0138, 11000139, 11000146, 0148, 11000149, 11000142, 0143, 11000144, 11000145, 0147, 11000150, 11000134, 0135, 11000131, 11000132, 0129, 11000125, 11000124, 0126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Regulatory and Guidance	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regior Flood Planning Group	Guadalu	upe Regional anning Group	No	\$0	Unknown	1166.20	194.10	27,069	18,447	89,019	127	661	Unknown	935	6.43
112000189	Floo Measure and Wa		se flood verse 911 gency ns and IOAA all- programs ow water	11000001, 11000009	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalup Bandera, Comal, Real, Caldw Kendall, Fayette, Kerr, Hays Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	vell, /s, ), -	- 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000	0133, 11000130, 11000136, 0140, 11000137, 11000141, 0138, 11000139, 11000146, 0148, 11000149, 11000142, 0143, 11000144, 11000145, 0147, 11000150, 11000134, 0135, 11000131, 11000132, 0129, 11000125, 11000124, 0126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151		6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regior Flood Planning Group	onal g Flood Plan	upe Regional anning Group	No	\$8,541,000	Unknown	1166.20	194.10	27,069	18,447	89,019	127	661	Unknown	935	10.22
112000190	Infrastru Proje	preserve tioodblain	y (storm idges); rridors & capacity: ure ams that flood risk	11000003, 11000009, 11000011	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalup Bandera, Comal, Real, Caldw Kendall, Fayette, Kerr, Hays Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	well, /s, ), -	- 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000 11000	0133, 11000130, 11000136, 0140, 11000137, 11000141, 0138, 11000139, 11000146, 0148, 11000149, 11000142, 0143, 11000144, 11000145, 0147, 11000150, 11000134, 0135, 11000131, 11000132, 0129, 11000125, 11000124, 0126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Infrastructure Projects	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regior Flood Planning Group	g Guadalu	upe Regional anning Group	No	\$19,611,000	Unknown	1166.20	194.10	27,069	18,447	89,019	127	661	Unknown	935	1.91

# Table 14 Potentially Feasible Flood Management Strategies Identified by the RFPG


FMS ID	Number of structures with reduced 100yr (1% annual chance)		Number of structures removed		-		on in Flood Risk Number of low water crossings removed from 100yr (1% annual		Estimated length of roads	Estimated active farm & ranch land removed from 100yr flood risk	Estimated reduction in ratalities	Estimated reduction in	Cost/ Structure Removed		Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)
112000186	Flood risk Unknown	Unknown	Flood risk Unknown	Flood risk Unknown	Flood risk Unknown	chance) Flood risk (#)	Chance) Flood risk (#)	closure occurrences Unknown	Unknown	(acres) 360251.3092	(if available) Not Available	injuries (if available) Not Available		(Y/N) -	No	-	No
112000187	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	360251.3092	Not Available	Not Available		-	No		No
112000188	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	360251.3092	Not Available	Not Available		-	No		No
112000189	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	360251.3092	Not Available	Not Available		-	No		No
112000190	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	360251.3092	Not Available	Not Available		-	No		No

Appendix 5-A


Map 19: Recommended Flood Management Evaluations



Map 20: Recommended Flood Mitigation Projects



Map 21: Recommended Flood Management Strategies



**Table 15:** Flood Management EvaluationsRecommended by RFPG

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000009	Center Point ISD Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000018, 11000016, 11000021, 11000017, 11000014, 11000019	95.5	Riverine	Center Point ISD	00000011, 00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 11003542, 11000662, 00000022, 11003546, 000000339	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000004	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #1 Drainage and Utility Plan	Develop a drainage and utility plan.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell, Hays	12100203	-	11000111, 11000113, 11000112, 11000116, 11000110	110.6	Riverine	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #1	00000026, 11003534, 11002680, 11003546, 00000016, 00003189, 11002100, 00003202, 00000307, 00000291, 11003539, 11001856, 00000392, 11003533, 00000028, 00000258, 11002686, 11003538, 11002049, 00002800, 00000034, 11003162	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000005	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3 River Crossing Improvements Study	Study solutions to upgrade river crossings throughout the district including but not limited to Scull Road Bridge.	11000001, 11000002	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000105, 11000118, 11000116, 11000109	23.6	Riverine	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3	11001975, 00000026, 11003473, 11003534, 11003546, 11003546, 00000016, 11003536, 00000255, 00000291, 11003277, 00000392, 11003533, 0000010, 11003163, 11002343, 00000258, 11001889, 11003538, 11000607	No	\$1,000,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000006	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3 Repetitive Loss Property Mitigation Study	Study of identify flood-prone and repetitive loss properties through the Texas Water Development Board and identify and study solutions to reduce or eliminate flooding at identified properties.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000105, 11000118, 11000116, 11000109	23.6	Riverine	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3	11001975, 00000026, 11003473, 11003534, 11003546, 11003540, 00000016, 11003536, 00000255, 00000291, 11003277, 00000392, 11003533, 0000010, 11003163, 11002343, 00000258, 11001889, 11003538, 11000607	No	\$1,000,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000007	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #4 Fire Station 2 Project Planning	Planning for proposed project to build a swell and raise driveway of Fire Station 2 to prevent inundation of facility and to keep station in service during major storm events.	11000015, 11000016	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000118.00	0.0	Urban/Local	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #4	11003546, 00000291, 11003541, 11003533, 11003535, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000008	Canyon Regional WA Hays Caldwell Water Treatment Plant Floodwall Project Planning	Project planning for Canyon Regional WA - Hays Caldwell Water Treatment Plant Floodwall Project	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000043	0.0	Riverine	Canyon Regional Water Authority	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002265, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$159,355	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000100	Comal County Master WID River Road Low Water Crossing Improvement Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to implement low water crossing improvements at River Road.	. 11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000039, 11000037, 11000042	2.9	Riverine	Comal Master WID	00000255, 11003546, 00000014, 11003537, 00000291, 00002670, 11000556	No	\$700,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000119	Hunts ISD Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	Project planning to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000010, 11000005, 11000015, 11000009, 11000007, 11000003, 11000008, 11000012, 11000002, 11000006, 11000011, 11000004	173.8	Riverine	Hunt ISD	00000011, 11003543, 00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003545, 00000022, 11003546, 00000339	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000120	Ingram ISD Construct New Storm Drainage Infrastructure	Project planning to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000010, 11000005, 11000015, 11000013, 11000009, 11000008, 11000012, 11000011, 11000004	208.0	Riverine	Ingram ISD	11003543, 00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003545, 11002585, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 11003486, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000121	Ingram ISD Improve Existing Storm Drainage Infrastructure	Project planning to upgrade existing storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000010, 11000005, 11000015, 11000013, 11000009, 11000008, 11000012, 11000011, 11000004	208.0	Riverine	Ingram ISD	11003543, 00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003545, 11002585, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 11003486, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000124	Kerr ISD Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	e 11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000018, 11000016, 11000020, 11000015, 11000013, 11000007, 11000021, 11000008, 11000012, 11000014	165.4	Riverine	Kerrville ISD	00000011, 11003543, 00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003545, 11002585, 11003542, 00000307, 00000022, 11003546, 00000339	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000001	Blanco County Low Water Crossing Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade and/or raise low water crossing in the county. The low water crossings most frequently and most severely flooded will be assessed for elevation and improvement (e.g., curbed and/or pedestrian walkways) roadways.	11000001, 11000002	Blanco	12100203, 12100201	-	11000094, 11000097, 11000095, 11000096, 11000092, 11000031, 11000093, 11000033, 11000034	711.0	Riverine	Blanco	00000255, 11002996, 00000026, 00000031, 00000014, 00000034, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 11003532, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$250,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000002	Blanco County Soil Conservation Plan	Develop soil conservation plan which provides information on proper land stewardship including diagram, soil map, assessment of vegetation and wildlife fuels, schedule for applying conservation practices; plan for operation and maintenance.	11000003, 11000004	Blanco	12100203, 12100201	-	11000094, 11000097, 11000095, 11000096, 11000092, 11000031, 11000093, 11000033, 11000034	711.0	Riverine	Blanco	00000255, 11002996, 00000026, 00000031, 00000014, 00000034, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 11003532, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000003	Caldwell County Bridge Improvements Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace antiquated bridges built before 1950. These bridges cannot support the weight of emergency vehicles. In addition, upgraded bridge infrastructure would reduce backwater flooding at undersized crossings.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell	12100203, 12100202	-	11000120, 11000119, 11000111, 11000118, 1100014, 11000109, 11000013, 11000061, 11000116, 11000157, 1100015, 11000165, 11000062, 11000122	544.7	Riverine	Caldwell	00000255, 11002996, 00000026, 00000031, 00000014, 00000034, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 11003532, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$256,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000012	City of Buda Dam Study	Study to evaluate dam failure risks, planning for structural and nonstructural measures to protect the integrity of the earthen fill dams.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000110, 11000111	9.3	Riverine	Buda	11003546, 00001323, 00000026, 00002800, 00002799, 00000862, 00000307, 00000291, 11002217, 11003533, 00000258	No	\$500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000013	City of Bulverde Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to replace existing culverts with larger ones, improve drainage channels; clear-out existing drainage channels; survey and remove hazardous trees from drainage systems.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202, 12100201	-	11000033, 11000030, 11000040	15.8	Riverine	Bulverde	00000255, 00000282, 00002669, 00000014, 00002121, 00000291, 11003532, 11003546	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000014	City of Bulverde Local Flooding Study	Study of solutions to elevate some segments of roadways in various portions of the community to address localized flooding issues.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202, 12100201	-	11000033, 11000030, 11000040	15.8	Riverine	Bulverde	00000255, 00000282, 00002669, 00000014, 00002121, 00000291, 11003532, 11003546	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000015	City of Flatonia Drainage Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to make culvert and drainage ditch improvements from just south of the Union Pacific Railroad at US 90 to the north side frontage road of I-10.	11000009, 11000010	Fayette	12100202	-	11000058.00	0.7	Riverine	Flatonia	00000307, 00000019, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$2,739,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000016	City of Flatonia WWTP Floodproofing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to floodproof Waste Water Treatment Plant	11000015, 11000016	Fayette	12100202	-	11000058	0.0	Riverine	Flatonia	00000019, 00000307, 00003060, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000017	City of Garden Ridge Drainage Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to complete final phase of drainage infrastructure upgrades.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000041, 11000042	7.3	Riverine	Garden Ridge	00000255, 11003538, 00002671, 00001485, 00000014, 00003235, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000018	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Improvement Project Planning	Project planning to upgrade aging infrastructure that was overwhelmed during Hurricane Harvey. Projects may include replacing box culvert bridges, replacing box culvert bridges with clear span bridges, and relocating utilities within the stream bed.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	12100202	-	11000054	6.1	Riverine	Gonzales	00000008, 00000291, 00000264, 11003546, 11002992	No	\$600,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000019	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Flood Mitigation Project Planning	Project planning for proposed improvements along Tinsley Creek include replacing a low water crossing at Johnson Street, adding culverts under Johnson Street, and replacing box culvert crossings with free span bridge crossings at several streets.	11000001, 11000002	Gonzales	12100202	-	11000054	6.1	Riverine	Gonzales	00000008, 00000291, 00000264, 11003546, 11002992	No	\$430,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000020	City of Ingram Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade existing storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000008, 11000012, 11000011	1.5	Riverine	Ingram	00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 11003486, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000022	City of Kerrville Pinto Trail Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to provide flood relief to the properties adjacent to the channel at risk of flooding, including widening existing channels, constructing a grass-lined trapezoidal channel, and seeding the proposed earthen channels.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000023	City of Kerrville Park Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to improve or replace the Park Street Low Water Crossing.	11000001, 11000002	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$340,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000024	City of Kerrville First Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to improve or replace the First Street Low Water Crossing.	11000001, 11000002	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$510,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000025	City of Kerrville Fourth Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to improve or replace the Park Street Low Water Crossing.	11000001, 11000002	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$180,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000026	City of Kerrville Hill Country Drive at SH 16 Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to raise the roadway profile and regrade Hill Country Drive, and increase the downstream pipe capacity at Hill Country Drive.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000014	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$245,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000028	City of Kerrville Harper Street between Culberson Avenue and Lewis Avenue Project Planning	Project planning for proposed storm drain system project to relieve localized flooding and excessive ponding that occurs throughout Harper Street.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000013	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$180,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000029	City of Kerrville Circle Avenue Drainage Channel Project Planning	Project planning for proposed channel and street improvement project to alleviate sedimentation and erosion issues at the intersection of Culberson Avenue and Circle Avenue.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000013	0.0	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000030	City of Kerrville Jack Drive - Undersized Inlet Project Planning	Project planning for proposed street and drainage improvements project to relieve road and property flooding from occurring directly downstream of Jack Drive's existing undersized inlet.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000012	0.0	Urban/Local	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$240,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000031	City of Kerrville Harper Road to Town Creek (Fay Drive) Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to implement drainage improvements on Harper Road to Town Creek (Fay Drive).	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000013, 11000012	0.2	Riverine	Kerrville	00000255, 00000297, 11003545, 11002585, 00000022, 11003546	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000033	City of Kyle Prairie and Woodland Restoration Plan	Prepare and implement a prairie or woodland restoration plan for 1 or more of Kyle's park properties. Selection of a municipal park where all or a portion of the site may be restored to a natural grassland or woodland	11000003, 11000004	Hays	12100203	-	11000102, 11000101, 11000116, 11000110, 11000100	31.2	Riverine	Kyle	00000026, 11003534, 00002703, 11003546, 00000307, 00000291, 11002282, 11003539, 00000392, 11003533, 11001438, 11000387, 11003163, 11002051, 00000258, 11003538, 00002800, 00002799, 11002217	No	\$250,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000034	City of Kyle - N. Burleson Street Drainage Improvements Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to conduct street reconstruction and drainage improvements to minimize flooding in the downtown area.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000110	0.0	Riverine	Kyle	11003546, 00000026, 00002800, 00000291, 11003533, 00000258	No	\$983,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000035	City of Lockhart Drainage Improvements Study	Study to identify Capital Improvements to Municipal Drainage System and study solutions to upgrade system to improve drainage capacity and reduce flood damages.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000112, 11000114, 11000116, 11000113	15.6	Riverine	Lockhart	11003546, 11003538, 11003534, 11002343, 00000291, 11003541, 11003539, 11002333, 00000392, 11003533, 11003533, 11003162, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$2,400,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000036	City of Lockhart USACE Study	Undertake a comprehensive study of flood risk and reduction alternatives with USACE, covering all incorporated and unincorporated areas of the city that currently have limited studies with no determined base flood elevations as well as unmapped areas.		Caldwell	12100203	-	11000112, 11000114, 11000116, 11000113	15.6	Riverine	Lockhart	11003546, 11003538, 11003534, 11002343, 00000291, 11003541, 11003539, 11002333, 00000392, 11003533, 11003533, 11003162, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$360,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000037	City of Luling Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade undersized stormwater drains and culverts.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe, Caldwell	12100203	-	11000120, 11000119, 11000118, 11000121	5.5	Riverine	Luling	00000255, 11003546, 00000010, 00000291, 11003474, 11003533, 11003535, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000038	City of Martindale Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade undersized stormwater drains and culverts.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell	12100203	-	11000105, 11000109	2.1	Riverine	Martindale	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11001975, 11003473, 11003536, 11002343, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 11003540, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000039	City of Mountain City Repetitive Loss Structure Mitigation Study	Study of solutions to floodproof or otherwise mitigate repetitive loss structures that have been identified by FEMA for the number of flood insurance claims.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000110	0.5	Riverine	Mountain City	00001356, 00000026, 00002800, 00000307, 00002703, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000043	City of New Braunfels - Box Culvert Installation to Reduce Flood Risk on Blieders Creek, Comal River and Landa Park Project Planning	Project planning for proposed drainage improvements project to reduce flooding in the Bieders Creek and German Creek watersheds by conveying flows to the Guadalune River. The	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042	0.4	Riverine	New Braunfels	11000583, 00000255, 00000014, 11003537, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$878,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000044	City of New Braunfels Faust St / Nacogdoches Ave Improvements Project Planning	Study to analyze drainage conveyance and flooding issues within the Faust Street and Nacogdoches Avenue area and project planning for solutions within project area.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000043	0.1	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 11002265, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$1,102,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000045	City of New Braunfels Dry Comal Creek Tributary East Watershed Project Planning	Tributaries East area (Kerlick Lane/Encino Drive/Mission Drive) and project planning for	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042	1.1	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$344,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000047	City of New Braunfels Hunters Creek Regional Project Planning	Study to analyze drainage conveyance and flooding issues within the Hunters Creek area including the detention facility for the Westpointe development and project planning for solutions within project area.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042	0.1	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$211,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000048	City of New Braunfels South Guadalupe Tributary Watershed Project Planning	tributary area (Mesquite/Eastman/Oleander/Walnut Heights) and project planning for	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042, 11000043	0.4	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$168,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000049	City of New Braunfels Dry Comal Creek West Watershed Project Planning	Project planning for solutions to minimize flooding issues within the Cedar Elm Street, Landa- Madeline drainage area.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042	0.1	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000255, 00000014, 00000291, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$126,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000051	City of Niederwald Engineering Review of City Hall	Contract a consultation from an engineer to review the new City Hall building to ensure its resiliency (modular building that holds community documents and archives).	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell, Hays	12100203	-	11000111, 11000112	3.7	Riverine	Niederwald	11003546, 11003538, 11001856, 00000026, 11003534, 00000291, 11003539, 11002680, 00000392, 11003533, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$10,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000052	City of Nixon Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to develop and implement a program to buyout NFIP repetitive loss properties.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales,Wilson	12100202	-	11000075, 11000078, 11000079	1.6	Riverine	Nixon	00000255, 11003546, 00000282, 00000008, 00000291, 00000100, 00000264, 11002393	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000054	City of San Marcos Regional Detention Study	Study of solutions for regional detention and water quality strategies.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe,Caldw ell,Hays	12100203	-	11000103, 11000102, 11000101, 11000106, 11000104, 11000107, 11000105	35.6	Riverine	San Marcos	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 00000026, 00002800, 11003534, 11002343, 00000291, 11000387, 11003163, 00000392, 11003546, 11003540, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$200,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000055	City of San Marcos Modeling of Purgatory Creek and Willow Springs Creek Overflow Area	2-Dimensional Modeling of the Purgatory Creek and Willow Springs Creek Overflow Area	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000103	0.4	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$271,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000056	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Jackman Project Planning	Project planning to replace low water crossing at Jackman	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000103	0.0	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000057	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Mitchell and Purgatory Creek Project Planning		11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000103	0.0	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$200,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000058	City of San Marcos LWC at River Road and Railroad Trestle/Blanco River Project Planning	Project planning to replace low water crossing at River Road and Railroad Trestle/Blanco River	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000101	0.0	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000059	City of San Marcos LWC at S LBJ and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	Project planning to replace low water crossing at SLBJ and Purgatory Creek	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000103	0.0	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000060	City of San Marcos - Extension of River Ridge Parkway West Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project identified through the San Marcos Transportation Plan, to increase the ability to divert traffic during flooding events	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000101	0.3	Riverine	San Marcos	11003538, 00000026, 11002343, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 00000392, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$298,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000061	City of Seguin Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to increase drainage capacity, add stormwater detention and/or retention basins, and implement drainage improvements as deemed necessary to reduce flood risk.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$1,100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000062	City of Seguin Low Water Crossing Improvements Study	Study of solutions for drainage improvements at low water crossings.	11000001, 11000002	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$1,500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000063	City of Seguin Ingress Egress Improvements Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to provide/construct additional means of access into single-entry neighborhoods; Update subdivision codes for a higher level of ingress and egress.	11000015, 11000016	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$250,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000064	City of Seguin City-wide Drainage Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to increase Regional Detention, Channel & Drainage System Improvements.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$200,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000065		Project planning to develop an acquisition and elevation program in flood hazard areas. Elevate or acquire and demolish repetitive loss properties. Acquire high risk vacant land and maintain as open space.		Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$300,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000066	City of Seguin Citywide Drainage Project Planning	Project planning for four priority drainage projects within the City of Seguin that would greatly improve the safety of their 25,520 residents. Project areas include North Guadalupe, North Heideke, Mays Creek and Walnut Branch.		Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000043, 11000049	38.3	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 11001045, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$4,304,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000067	City of Seguin Sewage Treatment Plant Floodproofing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to flood-proof sewage treatment plants in flood hazard / low-lying areas.	11000015, 11000016	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000044	0.0	Riverine	Seguin	00000255, 11003538, 00000010, 11002616, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000068	City of Uhland Drainage Improvement Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to mitigate against flooding by increasing the capacity of drainage routes to contain the storm water. Proposed drainage improvements will reduce flood waters backing up into the City.	11000009, 11000010	Caldwell, Hays	12100203	-	11000110, 11000111	2.8	Riverine	Uhland	11002686, 11003538, 11003546, 00000026, 11002049, 00000291, 11003539, 00000392, 11003533, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$1,334,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000069	City of Victoria Drainage Improvement Study	Study of solutions to increase dimensions of drainage culverts in areas prone to flooding and/or drainage problems in various City locations.	. 11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$1,000,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000070	City of Victoria Harden Critical Infrastructure Project Planning	Project planning to harden city buildings, critical infrastructure, and government buildings. Hardening of non-governmental facilities that have been identified as crucial in the response and recovery to/of emergencies and disasters.	11000015, 11000016	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000071	City of Victoria Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to implement a voluntary acquisition program for repetitive flood properties.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000072	City of Victoria Flood Gate Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to rehabilitate, repair, or replace the City of Victoria's existing flood gates, install additional flood gates as appropriate, and construct a storm water lift station in an area to be determined by study.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$45,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000073	City of Victoria Regional Drainage Solutions Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project for five regional drainage solutions within the City: the Gardens Apartment diversion, Shenandoah ditch improvements, Anthony Road outfall improvements, Lone Tree Road outfall improvements, and Clegg Ditch outfall.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$1,327,962	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000074	City of Victoria - Storm Sewer Improvements Project Planning	Project planning for project to replace storm sewer pipe under 18-inch diameter (29.9 miles). As a result of overland flow analysis and Storm Sewer System Level of Service Analysis, it was determined to replace all pipe less than 18-inch diameter.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$3,946,100	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000075	City of Victoria Clean and Televise Storm Sewers Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to clean and televise storm sewers (165.7 miles). As a result of overland flow analysis and Storm Sewer System Level of Service Analysis, it was determined to clean and televise storm sewers.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$1,662,106	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000076	City of Victoria Regrade Priority Ditches and Driveway Culverts Project Planning	Project planning for proposed drainage improvements. As a result of a roadside ditch capacity evaluation, it was determined that 23 miles of ditch and 669 driveway culverts are negatively impacting conveyance capacity and need to be regraded.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$1,165,853	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000077	City of Victoria Repair Channel Failures & Sediment Removal Project Planning	Project planning for proposed channel improvements. Using field visits and drone footage, it was determined to repair 33,657 sq ft of concreted lined channel, 11,829 sq ft of earthen channel, and remove 227,099 sq ft of sediment.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$276,201	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000078	City of Victoria Stream Restoration Study	Study to implement a stream restoration/channelization program to ensure adequate drainage/diversion of storm water, throughout various City low water crossings, streambeds, creek sheds, tributaries, and riverine areas.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000130, 11000131, 11000147, 11000129	37.5	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000314, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000079	City of Waelder Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to develop and implement a program to buyout NFIP repetitive loss properties.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	12100202	-	11000059	1.3	Riverine	Waelder	00000008, 11002395, 00000291, 00000264, 11003546	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000080	City of Wimberley Drainage Master Plan	Creation of drainage master plan for City of Wimberley to mitigate the flood hazard by defining priorities, policies, and strategies to address and remedy the drainage needs and challenges in Wimberley.		Hays	12100203	-	11000099, 11000102, 11000098, 11000100	8.9	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 11000387, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000081	City of Wimberley FM 1492 at Blanco River Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at FM 1492 at Blanco River	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000099	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000082	City of Wimberley Hidden Valley at Blanco River Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Hidden Valley at Blanco River	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000083	City of Wimberley Little Arkansas at Blanco River Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Little Arkansas at Blanco River	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	11003546, 00000026, 00000291, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000084	City of Wimberley Valley Drive at Pierce Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Valley Drive at Pierce Creek	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000085	City of Wimberley Flite Acres Road Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Flite Acres Road	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000086	City of Wimberley FM 1492 at Pierce Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at FM 1492 at Pierce Creek	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000087	City of Wimberley Wilson Creek at River Road Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Wilson Creek at River Road	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000099	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000088	City of Wimberley Green Acres Dr. at Fire Station Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Green Acres Dr. at Fire Station	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000099	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000089	City of Wimberley Leveritt's Loop Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Leveritt's Loop	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000099	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000090	City of Wimberley Spoke Hollow Dr. at Spoke Pile Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Spoke Hollow Dr. at Spoke Pile Creek	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000091	City of Wimberley River Road at Western City Limit Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at River Road at Western City Limit	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000099	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000092	City of Wimberley Paradise Hills Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to replace low water crossing at Paradise Hills	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000093	City of Wimberley River Road Reconstruction Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to reconstruct roadway along Blanco River	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000099	0.1	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000094	City of Wimberley Little Ranches at Panther Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to reconstruct low water crossing and roadway at Little Ranches at Panther Creek	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWD8 requirements
111000095	City of Wimberley Hoots Holler Low Water Crossing Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to reconstruct low water crossing and roadway at Hoots Holler	11000001, 11000002	Hays	12100203	-	11000100	0.0	Riverine	Wimberley	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000096	Comal County Evacuation and Dam Safety Plan	Develop evacuation and dam safety plan for coordination with USACE and dam re-enforcement.	11000015, 11000016	Comal	12100203, 12100202, 12100201	-	11000104, 11000035, 11000107, 11000041, 11000043, 11000103, 11000036, 11000032, 11000033, 11000038, 11000097, 11000030, 11000039, 11000099, 11000040, 11000039, 11000037	573.0	Riverine	Comal	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$50,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000097	Comal County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to upgrade low water crossings with larger culverts and elevated roadways where feasible. Acquire easement and/or right of ways adjacent to River Road for first responder access	11000001, 11000002	Comal	12100203, 12100202, 12100201	-	11000104, 11000035, 11000107, 11000041, 11000043, 11000103, 11000036, 11000032, 11000033, 11000035, 11000097, 11000030, 11000095, 11000099, 11000040, 11000039, 11000037	573.0	Riverine	Comal	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000098	Comal County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to remediate repetitive losses along the Guadalupe River by acquiring flood damaged structures and converting acquired land to open(green)space.	11000003, 11000004, 11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100203, 12100202, 12100201	-	11000104, 11000035, 11000107, 11000041, 11000043, 11000103, 11000046, 11000032, 11000034, 11000035, 11000042, 11000034, 11000035, 11000097, 11000040, 11000035, 11000037	573.0	Riverine	Comal	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$357,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWD8 requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000099	Comal County Retention Dam Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to design and construct 4 retention dams to assist in controlling flash flooding in municipalities and unincorporated areas of the county.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100203, 12100202, 12100201	-	11000104, 11000035, 11000107, 11000041, 11000043, 11000103, 11000045, 11000032, 11000033, 11000036, 11000042, 11000034, 11000038, 11000097, 11000030, 11000039, 11000037	573.0	Riverine	Comal	00000026, 11002432, 00000291, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$8,000,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000101	City of Cuero Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to improve drainage and stormwater system to reduce drainage and flooding issues.	11000009, 11000010	De Witt	12100204, 12100202	-	11000071, 11000124	6.6	Riverine	Cuero	11002401, 11003546, 00000291, 11000478, 00000264, 00000099	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000102	City of Cuero City Public Service Station Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to retrofit or floodproof City Public Service Station currently under renovation. Facility will serve as secondary location for community offices and critical utility service data and equipment	11000015, 11000016	De Witt	12100204, 12100202	-	11000071, 11000124	6.6	Riverine	Cuero	11002401, 11003546, 00000291, 11000478, 00000264, 00000099	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000104	Dewitt County Drainage District Channel Improvements Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to install drop basket structure and reconstruct drainage channels to control flooding and erosion. Structure will assist in stabilizing banks and holding bottoms of channel on grade		De Witt	12100204, 12100202	-	11000071, 11000124	6.6	Riverine	Dewitt County Drainage District 1	11002401, 11003546, 00000291, 11000478, 00000264, 00000099	No	\$250,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000103	City of Cuero WWTP Floodproofing Project Planning	Project planning to floodproof/retrofit older components of the Cuero Wastewater Treatment Plant subject to flooding.	11000015, 11000016	De Witt	12100204	-	11000124	0.0	Riverine	Cuero	00000264, 00000099, 00000291, 11003546	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000105	DeWitt County (City of Nordheim) Flash Flood Mitigation Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to construct necessary barriers or berms to reduce impact of runoff from flash floods onto neighborhoods, streams, and impacting community water wells from proposed Pilot Knob landfill.	11000009, 11000010	De Witt	12100204	-	11000133, 11000134	0.5	Riverine	Nordheim	11003546, 00000291, 00002402, 00000264, 00000099	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000106	Gillespie County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to place automatic warning signs at 35 documented low water crossings in the county	11000001, 11000002	Gillespie	12100203, 12100201	-	11000013, 11000012, 11000092, 11000011	1057.2	Riverine	Gillespie	00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 00000031, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 00000022, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$50,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000107	Gonzales County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to develop and implement a program to buyout NFIP repetitive loss properties.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	12100203, 12100201	-	11000013, 11000012, 11000092, 11000011	1057.2	Riverine	Gillespie	00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 00000031, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 00000022, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000108	GBRA FEMA Cooperating Technical Partners (CTP) Modeling and Mapping	GBRA has entered into a partnership with FEMA by which GBRA commissions an engineering firm to perform flood inundation modeling and mapping, and dams in series modeling.		Bandera, Bastrop, Blanco, Caldwell, Calhoun, Comal, De Witt, Fayette, Gillespie, Goliad, Gonzales, Guadalupe, Hays, Karnes, Kendall, Kerr, Lavaca, Refugio, Travis, Victoria, Wilson	12100203, 12100201	-	11000013, 11000012, 11000092, 11000011	1057.2	Riverine	Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority	00000255, 00000297, 11003544, 00000031, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 00000022, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$250,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000109	Guadalupe County Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to upgrade undersized stormwater drains and culverts.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100203, 12100202	-	11000104, 11000075, 11000051, 11000050, 11000107, 11000108, 11000043, 11000046, 11000073, 11000121, 11000048, 11000120, 11000109, 11000042, 11000052, 11000105, 11000049, 11000106, 11000045, 11000072, 11000047, 11000044	713.1	Riverine	Guadalupe	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 00000016, 00000255, 00000008, 00000307, 00000002, 00000291, 00000095, 00000010, 000000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$3,000,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000110	Guadalupe County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to develop a land acquisition program in flood hazard areas. Acquire and demolish repetitive loss properties. Acquire high risk vacant land and maintain as open space.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100203, 12100202	-	11000104, 11000075, 11000051, 11000050, 11000107, 11000108, 11000043, 11000046, 11000073, 11000124, 11000042, 11000120, 11000109, 11000042, 11000052, 11000105, 11000049, 11000106, 11000045, 11000072, 11000047, 11000044	713.1	Riverine	Guadalupe	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 00000016, 00000255, 00000008, 000000307, 00000002, 00000291, 00000019, 00000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 000000258, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000111	Guadalupe County LWC Project Planning	Project planning for proposed project to mark and place electric gates at low water crossings.	11000001, 11000002	Guadalupe	12100203, 12100202	-	11000104, 11000075, 11000051, 11000050, 11000107, 11000108, 11000043, 11000046, 11000073, 11000124, 11000042, 11000120, 11000109, 11000042, 11000052, 11000105, 11000049, 11000106, 11000045, 11000072, 11000047, 11000044	713.1	Riverine	Guadalupe	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 00000016, 00000255, 00000008, 00000307, 00000002, 00000291, 00000095, 0000019, 00000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$2,000,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000112	Hays County Dam Inundation Maps	Conduct study and work with TCEQ to continue to develop inundation maps for all High Hazard dams.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000103, 11000111, 11000102, 11000101, 11000106, 11000104, 11000103, 11000112, 11000097, 11000116, 11000095, 11000096, 11000107, 11000110, 11000099, 11000105, 11000100	676.0	Riverine	Hays	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 00000016, 00000255, 00000008, 00000307, 00000002, 00000291, 00000055, 0000019, 00000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000113		Project planning to ensure new structures are structurally reinforced against natural hazards. To include, flood-proofing (if needed), freeboard, higher levels of soil compaction and proper perimeter drainage systems.	11000015, 11000016	Hays	12100203	-	11000103, 11000111, 11000102, 11000101, 11000106, 11000104, 11000098, 11000112, 11000097, 11000116, 11000095, 11000096, 11000107, 11000110, 11000099, 11000105, 11000100	676.0	Riverine	Hays	11002395, 11003546, 00000264, 11003535, 11002992, 11002393, 00000016, 00000255, 00000008, 00000307, 00000002, 00000291, 00000019, 00000010, 11002394, 00000100, 00000099, 00000258, 00000282	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000114	Hays County Drainage Project Planning (Willow Springs Creek between McCarty Lane and Hunter Road)	Project planning for channel improvement and/or property acquisition project to reduce flood damages along Willow Springs Creek from McCarty Lane to Hunter Road.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000103, 11000106	0.7	Riverine	Hays	00000026, 11000387, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$800,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000115	Hays County Drainage Project Planning (Willow Springs Creek between Hunter Rd and the Railroad)	Project planning for detention project to reduce flood damages along Willow Springs Creek from Hunter Road to the railroad.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000106	0.2	Riverine	Hays	00000026, 00000291, 11003163, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$1,200,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000116	Hays County Southeastern Property Acquisition Project Planning		11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203, 12100202	-	11000103, 11000102, 11000101, 11000106, 11000104, 11000107, 11000099, 11000105, 11000037	49.1	Riverine	Hays	00000255, 11003538, 00000026, 00000014, 11002343, 00000291, 11000387, 11003163, 00000392, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$800,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000118	Hays County Community Flood Mitigation Project Planning	Hays County Community Flood Mitigation Project Planning	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000103, 11000111, 11000102, 11000101, 11000106, 11000104, 11000098, 11000112, 11000097, 11000116, 11000095, 11000096, 11000107, 1100010, 11000099, 11000105, 11000100	676.0	Riverine	Hays	00000255, 11003538, 00000026, 00000014, 11002343, 00000291, 11000387, 11003163, 00000392, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$238,035	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000122	Kerr County Center Point Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	Project planning to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000001, 11000007, 11000024, 11000018, 11000020, 11000015, 11000001, 11000011, 11000017, 11000014, 11000008, 11000002, 110000013, 11000008, 110000019, 110000010, 11000001, 11000003, 11000012, 11000004	1103.0	Riverine	Kerr	11003543, 11003544, 11002585, 11000662, 11003546, 00000290, 00000255, 00000307, 00000291, 00000015, 00000339, 00000011, 11003545, 11003542, 00001401, 11003486, 00000022, 00000297, 00000268, 00000030, 00000017	No	\$125,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000123	Kerr County Dam Integrity Study	Create a dam integrity study and identify repairs to be made to County dams as necessary.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	_	11000001, 11000007, 11000024, 11000018, 11000020, 11000015, 11000009, 11000012, 11000017, 11000014, 11000016, 11000022, 11000006, 11000011, 11000019, 11000006, 11000011, 11000019, 11000010, 11000005, 11000003, 11000012, 11000004	1103.0	Riverine	Kerr	11003543, 11003544, 11002585, 11000662, 11003546, 00000290, 00000255, 00000307, 00000291, 00000015, 00000339, 0000011, 11003545, 11003542, 00001401, 11003486, 00000022, 00000297, 00000268, 00000030, 00000017	No	\$500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000126	Travis County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to identify and prioritize structures for elevation as flood mitigation. Elevate flood prone structures throughout unincorporated Travis County.	11000009, 11000010	Travis	12100203	-	11000111, 11000112	1020.8	Riverine	Travis	00001060, 11003546, 00003189, 00000028, 00000026, 00000031, 00000034, 00000862, 00000307, 00003202, 00002700, 00000291, 00001133, 11003539, 11003534, 11003533, 00000016, 00000258	No	\$300,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000128	Victoria County Planning and Development Standards Study	Conduct study for the development and implementation of county wide planning & development standards, sub-division rules, infrastructure rules and building / construction codes.	11000005, 11000006	Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000127, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 00000002, 00000580, 00000291, 00000084, 00002428, 00000260, 00003277, 00000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 000000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000129	Victoria County Drainage Improvements Study	Study of solutions to increase dimensions of drainage culverts in areas prone to flooding and/or drainage problems, in various county locations.	r 11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000141, 11000129, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 00000002, 00000580, 00000291, 00000084, 0000262, 00000260, 000003277, 00000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 000000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000130	Victoria County FIRMs	Engineering Studies to revise Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs) throughout the County to establish Base Flood Elevations (BFE) in areas that are currently identified as unstudied Zone As.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 00000002, 00000580, 00000291, 00000084, 00002428, 00000260, 00003277, 00000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 000000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000131	Victoria County Drainage Improvements around County EOC Project Planning	Project planning to improve drainage around County EOC and flood-proof facilities as necessary.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000131	0.0	Riverine	Victoria	00003277, 00000588, 00002428, 11003546, 00000291, 00000094, 00000264	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000132	Victoria County Bridge Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to raise various County bridges above current Base Flood Elevation (BFE) levels to include such improvements as: box culverts, wingback walls, rip rap, channelization, and road base improvement.			12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	00000588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 00000002, 00000580, 00000291, 00000084, 00002428, 00000260, 00003277, 00000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 00000090, 00000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000133	Victoria County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to implement a voluntary acquisition program for repetitive flood properties.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204, 12100403, 12100303	-	11000130, 11000148, 11000147, 11000150, 11000131, 11000152, 11000146, 11000138, 11000145, 11000129, 11000151, 11000127, 11000128, 11000126, 11000141, 11000149, 11000136, 11000142	886.5	Riverine	Victoria	000005588, 11001022, 00000714, 00000538, 00000264, 00000758, 11003546, 00000002, 00000580, 00000291, 00000084, 00002428, 00000260, 00003277, 0000088, 11001787, 00000094, 00000099, 00000090, 00000282, 00001608, 00000314	No	\$300,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000134	Wilson County Stormwater Management Plan	Develop flood hazard information by collecting information, high water marks, and conduct engineering studies to develop the 100 year and 500 year flood elevation levels.	11000015, 11000016	Wilson	12100202	-	11000075, 11000078, 11000079	805.8	Riverine	Wilson	00000290, 00000255, 11002393, 00000010, 00000282, 00000392, 00001006, 11003546, 0000008, 00002973, 00000291, 00000100, 00000264, 00000095	No	\$500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000135	Wilson County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	Project planning to upgrade infrastructure at low water crossings to provide unimpeded access during 100 year base flood event to facilitate evacuation and response by emergency vehicles	i 11000001, 11000002	Wilson	12100202	-	11000075, 11000078, 11000079	805.8	Riverine	Wilson	00000290, 00000255, 11002393, 00000010, 00000282, 00000392, 00001006, 11003546, 0000008, 00002973, 00000291, 00000100, 00000264, 00000095	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000136	Wilson County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	Project planning to establish of a voluntary aquistion and demolition program, structure relocation program, and structure elevation program to address repetitive loss, floodprone properties. Keep a database of properties.	11000009, 11000010	Wilson	12100202	-	11000075, 11000078, 11000079	805.8	Riverine	Wilson	00000290, 00000255, 11002393, 00000010, 00000282, 00000392, 00001006, 11003546, 0000008, 00002973, 00000291, 00000100, 00000264, 00000095	No	\$150,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000137	Emergency power generators at critical infrastructure/key resource locations project planning	Project planning to install emergency generators at critical facilities to provide back-up power from hazard events.	11000015, 11000016	Blanco	12100203, 12100201	-	11000094, 11000097, 11000095, 11000096, 11000092, 11000031, 11000093, 11000033, 11000034	711.0	Riverine	Blanco	00000255, 11002996, 00000026, 00000031, 00000014, 00000034, 00000307, 00001401, 00000030, 00000291, 00000017, 11003532, 11003546, 00000258	No	\$100,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000010	City of Cibolo and Seguin Road Access and Conditions Study	Study to evaluate access and road conditions for response vehicles, develop and implement options to improve access and/or add redundant access routes in high risk areas.	11000015, 11000016	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000042, 11000043, 11000049	59.2	Riverine	Cibolo	00000255, 00002615, 00000010, 00000282, 00002671, 11003538, 00001485, 00002973, 11002616, 11001045, 00003276, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$500,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

FME ID	FME Name	Description	Associated Goals	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	FME Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Study Cost (\$)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
111000011	City of Cibolo and Seguin USACE Study	Undertake a comprehensive study of flood risk and reduction alternatives, with the assistance of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Project planning to implement feasible alternatives for flood reduction.		Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000045, 11000047, 11000044, 11000042, 11000043, 11000049	59.2	Riverine	Cibolo	00000255, 00002615, 00000010, 00000282, 00002671, 11003538, 00001485, 00002973, 11002616, 11001045, 00003276, 00000291, 00000392, 11003546, 00000821	No	\$1,000,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000138	Cypress Creek Regional detention	Regional detention project on Cypress Creek that will reduce flooding through the unincorporated town of Comfort, TX and possibly provide enhanced aquifer recharge.	11000003, 11000004	Kendall	12100201	-	11000019,11000021,11000023	3.2	Riverine	Kendall	00000017,00000022,00000255,00000291,00000297,11000923,11003546	No	\$113,855	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
111000127		Study to evaluate the flood benefits and cost-effectiveness of UGRA's existing nine Kerr County facilities. Evaluation would include H&H modeling and financial data to determine flood risk reduction. Results could guide decisions on future facilities.		Kerr	12100201	-	11000001, 1100007, 11000024, 11000018, 11000020, 11000015, 11000009, 11000021, 11000017, 11000014, 11000016, 11000002, 11000006, 110000011, 11000019, 11000010, 11000005, 11000003, 11000012, 11000004	1103.0	Riverine	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	11003543, 11003544, 11002585, 11000662, 11003546, 00000290, 00000255, 00000307, 00000291, 00000015, 00000339, 00000011, 11003545, 11003542, 00001401, 11003486, 00000022, 00000297, 00000268, 00000030, 00000017	No	\$250,000	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

**Table 16:** Potentially Feasible Flood MitigationProjects Recommended by RFPG

FMP ID	FMP Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Project Type	Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa, Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Project Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Cost/ Structure removed	Percent Nature- based Solution (by cost)	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	Benefit-Cost Ratio	Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
113000001	Detention on the Blanco Rive	The proposed dam height of 102 ft. and dam length of 1,840 ft. will provide a maximum storage capacity of approximately 1128 ac-ft.	11000009, 11000010	Blanco, Hays	12100203	-	11000059	Dam	6.34	Riverine	Kyle	00000008, 00000264, 00000291, 11002395, 11003546	No	\$933,800	-	\$71,000	0	No	-	Yes	-	0.18	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000006	Plum Creek Tributary 3 Arbor Knot Dr. Improvement	A proposed culvert improvement has been developed to convey a 1% ACE event. The proposed culvert improvement is to add one additional $8$ ft x $4$ ft culvert totaling three culverts at this location, and raising the finished deck elevation by 0.5ft.		Hays	12100203	-	11000098	Infrastructure	0.02	Riverine	Blanco	00000026, 00000258, 00000291, 11002704, 11003546	No	\$557,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	<null></null>	0.36	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000007	Plum Creek Tributary 4 Sledge Rd. Improvement	The proposed culvert improvement resulted in eight (7ft x 4ft) box culverts, needed to clear the roadway and to alleviate additional backwater flooding.	11000015, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000107, 11000108, 11000106	Infrastructure	0.04	Riverine	Kyle	00000010, 00000255, 00000291, 00000392, 11000589, 11003538, 11003546	No	\$1,149,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	<null></null>	0.24	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000010	65ft Channel Modification and Additional Culvert	The channel modifications consists of 65-ft bottom width channel modifications with 4:1 side slopes spanning from the North I-35 frontage road down past Goforth Road to Kym Way.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000075, 11000079	Comprehensive	0.19	Riverine	Kyle	00000008, 00000264, 00000282, 00000291, 11002393, 11003546	No	\$589,000	-	\$147,000	18.2	No	-	No	1.73	0.34	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000011	Plum Creek Detention Pond Upstream of IH35	This project consists of a detention pond between the railroad track and the South bound I-35 frontage road. Under this proposed alternative a 13- ft high dam wall would be placed on Plum Creek near Kyle Center Drive.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000130	Detention Pond	0.33	Riverine	Kyle	00000094, 00000264, 00000291, 00000588, 11003546	No	\$864,000	-	\$864,000	8.4	No	-	Yes	1.51	0.34	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000013	Wood Road/Landa Street Drainage Improvement	The drainage improvement project captures runoff east of Walnut Avenue and detains it in a 12-acre detention pond with 144 acre-feet of storage capacity. The pond outfall structure discharges to an existing channel south of Wood Road.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000107, 11000101, 11000102, 11000103, 11000104, 11000105, 11000106	Other	0.17	Riverine	New Braunfels	00000016,0000026, 0000258,0000291, 11000387,00000392, 11001889,11002343, 00002800,11003163, 11003534,11003538, 11003546	No	\$35,757,000	-	\$1,324,000	0	No	-	No	0	0.40	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000015	Improve Flood Warning System	Project includes enhancing stream flow gage network by increasing number of gages throughout community by at least six gages.	11000015, 11000016	Hays	12100203	-	11000098	Preparedness	25.69	Riverine	Woodcreek	00000026, 00000258, 00000291, 11002704, 11003546	No	\$339,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	0	0.59	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000026	Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement	Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement Project Preliminary Engineering Report	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000099	Channel	0.20	Riverine	Waelder	00000026,00000258,00000 291,11003546	No	\$22,391,000	-	\$829,000	2.2	No	-	No	<null></null>	0.54	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000027	Sherwood/Kingwood Drainag Improvements	Sherwood Drive and Kingwood Street Improvements Preliminary Engineering Report	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203		11000017, 11000016, 11000012, 11000013, 11000014	Infrastructure	0.03	Riverine	Waelder	00000022,00000255,00000 297,11002585,11003544,11 003542,11003545,1100354 6	No	\$5,644,000	-	\$5,644,000	0	No	-	No	<null></null>	0.63	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000035	Guadalupe Street Automatic Flood Gates	Place automatic flood gates with vehicle detection on inside of flooded area to allow for egress.	1.1E+14	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000058, 11000060, 11000059	Preparedness	0.26	Riverine	Woodcreek	00000008,00000264,00000 291,11003546	No	\$115,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	-	0.75	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000036	Baldridge Creek Regional Detention Pond	Project includes constructing a regional detention pond on Baldridge Creek northwest of the City and would release runoff at a substantially lower flowrate, resulting in lower flood elevations on Baldridge Creek through the City of Waelder	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	12100202	-	11000107, 11000101, 11000102, 11000103, 11000104, 11000105, 11000106	Comprehensive	0.97	Riverine	Woodcreek	00000016,0000026,00000 258,0000291,11000387,00 000392,11001889,1100234 3,00002800,11003163,1100 3534,11003538,11003546	No	\$2,075,000	-	\$43,000	2	No	-	Yes	-	0.72	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000037	Baldridge Creek Channel and Culvert Improvement and Detention Pond	Project consists of upstream regional detention pond plus a 50 ft. bottom width channel modification with 3:1 side slopes downstream of SH 97 and the addition of two 10 X 10 box culverts under SH 97.		Gonzales	12100202		11000103	Comprehensive	0.29	Riverine	Comal	00000026,00000258,00000 291,11000387,11003163,11 003546		\$3,928,000	-	\$45,000	2	No	-	No	0.78	0.72	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000039	Wilson Creek - Green Acres Dr Improvement	A proposed updated culvert geometry consists of 11 box culverts (10ft- 12ft) and a raised finished deck elevation (3ft rise).	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000110, 11000111	Infrastructure	0.02	Riverine	Gonzales	0000026,0000034,0000 258,0000291,0000307,00 000862,00001323,1100221 7,00002700,00002799,0000 2800,11003533,11003546	No	\$1,246,000	-	\$0	2	No	-	No	<null></null>	0.23	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000040	Regional Detention South of Mountain Crest Drive	The alternative consists of a 20 ft. tall detention structure with a 175 ac-ft detention capacity. The outflow control would consist of culverts for low flow and an overflow weir for high flow.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000014	Detention Pond	0.10	Riverine	Wimberley	00000022,00000255,00000 297,11002585,11003545,11 003546		\$946,000	-	\$118,000	0	No	-	Yes	-	0.11	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000041	Improvements to Brookside Drive Culvert Crossing	The culvert opening will be increased to three 36" concrete pipes to match the culvert capacity just downstream at Brook Meadow Dr. and also involve some minimal re-grading of the stream flowline.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000110	LWC upgrade	0.00	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026,00000258,00000 291,00002800,11003533,11 003546		\$38,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No		0.00	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000042	Brookmeadow Drive Drainage Improvements	The proposed alternative consists of a rip rap ditch along the south side of Brookmeadow Drive, under Overbrook Court and down to Hog Creek with capacity to contain the most frequent flows.	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000110	Channel	0.00	Riverine	San Marcos	00000026,00000258,00000 291,00002800,11003533,11 003546		\$65,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	-	0.11	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000044	Regional Detention on Bear Creek	The proposed dam height of 85 ft. and dam length of 620 ft. will provide a maximum storage capacity of approximately 3,375 ac-ft.	11000009, 11000010	Comal	12100202	-	11000042	Detention Pond	6.74	Riverine	Seguin	00000014,00000255,00000 291,00002670,11003546	No	\$6,973,000	-	\$44,000	0	No	-	Yes	3.53	0.48	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000047	Regional Detention on Peach Creek	A 29 ft. high dam with a length of 5780 ft. would provide approximately 41,774 ac-ft of storage. This site would be able to store a large volume of water and greatly reduce the peak from the Peach Creek watershed.	11000009, 11000010	Gonzales	12100202	-	11000099, 11000095, 11000096, 11000097, 11000098, 11000100	Detention Pond	7.37	Riverine	Kerr	00000014,0000026,00000 031,0000255,00000258,00 000291,00000307,1100243 2,11003546	No	\$7,821,000	-	\$652,000	0	No	-	Yes	0.77	0.72	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

### Table 16 Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Recommended by the RFPG

FMP ID	FMP Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Project Type	Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa, Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Estimated Project Cost (\$)	Potential Funding Sources and Amount	Cost/ Structure removed	Percent Nature- based Solution (by cost)	Negative Impact (Y/N)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)	Water Supply Benefit (Y/N)	Benefit-Cost Ratio	Social Vulnerability Index (SVI)	RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
113000052	Kerr County Back-up Power Generators	Installing generators at critical facilities will help ensure physical safety for facility occupants and maintain electronic systems functionality during power outages. Portable generators will maintain additional systems functionality	11000015, 11000016	Kerr	12100201	-	11000059	Preparedness	23.58	Riverine	San Marcos	00000008,00000264,00000 291,11002395,11003546	No	\$806,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	0	0.56	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000057	Spring Street Erosion at Outfall Project	Project to extend existing 54" storm drain, regrade and compact earthen channel to stabilize against erosion, and construct concrete baffled chute to convey flow down the steep channel embankment with a stilling basin to dissipate excess energy.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000130, 11000147, 11000131	Storm Drain	0.00	Riverine	Kerrville	00000094,00000264,00000 291,0000314,0000588,00 002428,00003277,1100354 6	No	\$800,000	-	\$0	2	No	-	No	-	0.00	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000058	Clay Street Drainage and Kroc Center Detention Pond Spillway Improvements	Proposed project to reconfigure and reconstruct the existing Kroc Center outlet structure and Cay Street drainage improvements. No adverse impacts have been identified downstream.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000131	Comprehensive	0.03	Riverine	Kerrville	00000094,0000264,00000 291,00000588,00002428,00 003277,11003546	No	\$9,561,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	-	0.60	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000059	Coronado Drive and Junction Highway Drainage Improvements	Proposed proposed street and drainage improvements project to alleviate street ponding and nuisance flooding at Coronado Drive north of Junction Highway.	11000009, 11000010	Kerr	12100201	-	11000103	Comprehensive	0.01	Riverine	Kerrville	00000026,00000258,00000 291,11000387,11003163,11 003546		\$528,000	-	\$75,000	0	No	-	No	0	0.31	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000060	City of Victoria Back-up Power Generators	Install emergency generators and quick connects on all buildings, critical infrastructure, and government buildings.	11000015, 11000016	Victoria	12100204	-	11000044	Preparedness	0.01	Riverine	Buda	00000010, 00000255, 00000291, 00000392, 11002616, 11003538, 11003546	No	\$551,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	0	0.00	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000061	City of Buda-Lifschutz Headwaters Voluntary Buyout	Voluntary, targeted buyouts for 1 or more affected properties. (November 11, 2016 Preliminary Engineering Report)	11000009, 11000010	Hays	12100203	-	11000013, 11000014	Property Acquisition	9.73	Riverine	Nixon	00000022,00000255,00000 297,11002585,11003545,11 003546	No	\$565,000	-	\$565,000	0	No	-	No	0	0.12	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000062	City of Nixon-Wastewater System Flood Improvments	The WWTP and 8th Avenue lift stations have experienced inundation and caused overflows as a result of stormwater inflow into the wastewater system. Also need a new generator & SCADA System Improvements at several locations within the city.	11000015, 11000016	Gonzales	12100202	-	11000110	Comprehensive	1.35	Riverine	Victoria	00000026,00000258,00000 291,00002800,11003533,11 003546		\$3,949,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	0	0.68	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000063	City of San Marcos-Emergency Generators	Purchase and installation of generators for temporary sheltering efforts in all public facilities capable of housing citizens.	11000015, 11000016	Hays	12100203	-	11000110	Preparedness	25.67	Riverine	Seguin	00000026,0000258,00000 291,00000392,00002800,11 003533,11003538,1100354 6	No	\$58,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	0	0.59	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000064	Victoria County-Emergency Generators	Install emergency generators at critical facilities.	11000015, 11000016	Victoria	12100204	-	11000044	Preparedness	33.06	Riverine	Seguin	00000010,00000255,00000 291,00000392,11002616,11 003538,11003546		\$551,000	-	\$0	0	No	-	No	0	0.53	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000065	City of Seguin Regional Detentio Southwest of Seguin City Limits Project	Proposed regional detention detention project on Mays Creek.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000130	Detention Pond	0.32	Riverine	Victoria	00000094,00000264,00000 291,00000588,11003546	No	\$2,015,000	-	\$252,000	2	No	-	Yes	1.17	0.49	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000066	City of Seguin - Culvert Improvements at Guadalupe River Drive Project	Proposed project to add two additional 10 ft. by 10 ft. reinforced concrete box culverts on either side of the existing two- 10ft. by 10ft. box culverts at Guadalupe River Dr.		Guadalupe	12100202	-	11000012	LWC upgrade	0.00	Riverine	Victoria	00000022,00000255,00000 297,11002585,11003545,11 003546		\$594,000	-	\$594,000	2	No	-	No	1.28	0.00	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000067	City of Victoria Channel and Bridge Modifications on State Highway 87 Project	Proposed channel and bridge modification project. The design modification consists of adding two additional piers to the right and left overbanks of the bridge.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000098	Comprehensive	0.15	Riverine	Guadalupe	00000026,00000258,00000 291,11002704,11003546	No	\$8,350,000	-	\$239,000	2.1	No	-	No	0.25	0.13	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000068	City of Victoria Detention Structure Located Upstream of State Highway 87 Project	The proposed detention structure is to be located upstream of SH 87 and has a proposed height of 11f from crest to oulet structure and a proposed capacity of 3700 ac-ft. Three culver outlet structures are proposed to drain the detention pond.	11000009, 11000010	Victoria	12100204	-	11000044	Comprehensive	0.51	Riverine	San Marcos	00000010, 00000255, 00000291, 00000392, 11002616, 11003538, 11003546	No	\$58,395,000	-	\$1,537,000	1.3	No	-	No	0	0.13	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
113000069	Guadalupe County Detention or York Creek Project	Project for detention on York Creek. The currently proposed dam height of 48 ft. and dam length of 4800 ft. will provide a maximum storage capacity of approximately 48,130 ac-ft.	11000009, 11000010	Guadalupe	12100203	-	11000042, 11000043, 11000037, 11000039, 11000038	Comprehensive	4.22	Riverine	Victoria	00000014, 00000255, 00000291, 11000556, 11002265, 00002670, 11003546	No	\$15,133,000	-	\$151,000	0	No	-	Yes	1.57	0.40	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

### Table 16 Potentially Feasible Flood Mitigation Projects Recommended by the RFPG

**Table 17:** Potentially Feasible FloodManagement Strategies Recommended byRFPG


FMS ID	FMS Name	Description	Associated Goals (ID)	Counties	HUC8s	HUC12s	Watershed Name	Project Type	Strategy Project Area (sqmi)	Flood Risk Type (Riverine, Coastal, Urban, Playa Other)	Sponsor	Entities with Oversight	Emergency Need (Y/N)	Nonrecurring, Noncapital Cost (\$)	Estimated Total Strategy Cost (\$)	<b>Funding Sources</b>	Cost/ Structure Removed		Impact (V/NI)	Negative Impact Mitigation (Y/N)		RFPG Recommendation (Y/N)	Reason for Recommendation
112000186	Education and Outreach	Activities not limited t implementing/improving education and awarene programs for residents, el officials, and real estat agents/developers; and f insurance campaigns to re flood risk and increase N participation.	ood ss cted e 11000001 bod duce	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalupe, Bandera Comal, Real, Caldwell, Kendall, Fayette Kerr, Hays, Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	e, 12100201 12100202 12100202	-	11000133, 11000130, 11000136, 11000140, 11000137, 11000141, 11000138, 11000139, 11000146, 11000148, 11000149, 11000142, 11000143, 11000144, 11000145, 11000147, 11000150, 11000134, 11000135, 11000131, 11000132, 11000129, 11000125, 11000124, 11000126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Education and Outreach	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group	, No	-	\$0	Unknown	-	-	No	-	No	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
	Property Acquisitions and Structural Elevatio	I STRUCTURES and Implement	ural ams ss 11000003, ing 11000009 erve	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalupe, Bandera Comal, Real, Caldwell, Kendall, Fayette Kerr, Hays, Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	e,	_	11000133, 11000130, 11000136, 11000140, 11000137, 11000141, 11000138, 11000139, 11000146, 11000148, 11000149, 11000142, 11000143, 11000144, 11000145, 11000147, 11000150, 11000134, 11000135, 11000131, 11000132, 11000129, 11000125, 11000124, 11000126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Property Acquisition and Structural Elevation	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group		NO	-	\$0	Unknown	_	-	No	-	No	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
112000188	Regulatory and Guidance	Regularly review and upo floodplain ordnances, la use/zoning, developme criteria, and enforceme Develop and implement h standards, green infrastru program, and use best ava data (eg. BLE) to mana floodplains	nd nt t. 11000003, gher 11000005, ture 11000009 lable	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalupe, Bandera Comal, Real, Caldwell, Kendall, Fayette Kerr, Hays, Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	e,	_	11000133, 11000130, 11000136, 11000140, 11000137, 11000141, 11000138, 11000139, 11000146, 11000148, 11000149, 11000142, 11000143, 11000144, 11000145, 11000147, 11000150, 11000134, 11000135, 11000131, 11000132, 11000129, 11000125, 11000124, 11000126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Regulatory and Guidance	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group		-	\$0	Unknown	-	-	No	-	No	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
112000189	lood Measuremei and Warning	Develop or implement pro to increase flood warni including reverse 911 syst evacuation/emergence management plans an personnel training; NOAA hazards radios, and progra increase safety at low wa crossings (signs, flashers, g	g ems; I 11000001, I 11000009 all- ns to ter	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalupe, Bandera Comal, Real, Caldwell, Kendall, Fayette Kerr, Hays, Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	e,	_	11000133, 11000130, 11000136, 11000140, 11000137, 11000141, 11000138, 11000139, 11000146, 11000148, 11000149, 11000142, 11000143, 11000144, 11000145, 11000147, 11000150, 11000134, 11000135, 11000131, 11000132, 11000129, 11000125, 11000124, 11000126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Flood Measurement and Warning	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group		NIO	_	\$8,541,000	Unknown	_	-	No		No	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
112000190	Infrastructure Projects	Develop programs to pres system functionality (sto drains, culverts, bridge enhance riparian corrido preserve floodplain capa and infrastructure improvements programs identify and prioritize floo reduction projects	rm ); s & 11000003, ity: 11000009, 11000011 hat risk	Lavaca, Gonzales, Guadalupe, Bandera Comal, Real, Caldwell, Kendall, Fayette Kerr, Hays, Bastrop, Gillespie, Blanco, Travis, Refugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victoria, Karnes, De Witt, Wilson	e,	_	11000133, 11000130, 11000136, 11000140, 11000137, 11000141, 11000138, 11000139, 11000146, 11000148, 11000149, 11000142, 11000143, 11000144, 11000145, 11000147, 11000150, 11000134, 11000135, 11000131, 11000132, 11000129, 11000125, 11000124, 11000126, 11000127, 11000128, 11000151	Infrastructure Projects	6,010.4	Coastal	Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group				\$19,611,000	Unknown	_		No	_	No	Yes	Meets minimum TWDB requirements

Appendix 5-B

FME, FMS, FMP One Pagers

#### REGION Title City of Buda Dam Study GUADA REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP ID# 111000012 Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Buda (Municipality) RFPG recommend? Yes Reason for Recommendation Meets minimum TWDB requirements **Study Details** Study type Preparedness Study description Study to evaluate dam failure risks, planning for structural and nonstructural measures to protect the integrity of the earthen fill dams. New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes Emergency Need? No Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203 County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 9 Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010 **100-Year Flood Risk Summary** Critical facilities 0 Population at risk 4 # of structures 3 Local? No Playa? No Coastal? No Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes Other? No Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 8 Roadway(s) impacted (length) 1 Number of low water crossings 1 Historical road closures **Estimated Cost and Funding Availability**

Total Cost \$500,000 Amount of Available Funding TBD Federal funding availability TBD Funding source TBD






FIUUU IVI	anagen		valuation		_			
Title City of Seguin	le City of Seguin Ingress Egress Improvements Project Planning				REGIO		<b>UADAL</b>	UPE
ID# 111000063						REG	GIONAL FLOOD PLAN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Seguin (N	Aunicipality)					
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reasor	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	/DB require	ments		
Study Details								
Study type	Preparedness							
Study description			ed project to provide/co er level of ingress and e		ns of access	into sing	le-entry neighborhoods	;; Update
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic mode	I? No	Emergency N	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ated models in near terr	m? Yes
County Guadalup	e		Watershed HUC	# (if known) 1210020	2			
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	38	Goal(s)	11000015, 11000016				
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary	/						
Population at risk	3,190		# of structures	846		Critical fa	acilities 5	
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	1,157		Roadway(s) impacte	d (length)	25		
Number of low wa	ater crossings	8		Historical road closu	ires	-		
Ectimated Cost	and Freedings (	un the letter.						

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability


Total Cost	\$250,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





		/	REGIO	N		
Title Comal County Evacuation and Dam Safety	/ Plan		11		UADAL FIONAL FLOOD PLAN	UPE
ID# 111000096				REG	IONAL FLOOD PLAN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Comal (C	County)					
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	/DB requirer	ments		
Study Details						
Study type Preparedness						
Study description Develop evacuation and da	m safety plan for coordin	ation with USACE and	dam re-enfo	orcement.		
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? No	Emergency Ne	eed? No	Existing	g/Anticipa	ted models in near terr	m? Yes
County Comal	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203	3, 12100202	, 1210020	01	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 573	Goal(s)	11000015, 11000016				
100-Year Flood Risk Summary						
Population at risk -	# of structures -	-		Critical fa	cilities -	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacte	d (length)	-		
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road closu	ires	-		
Estimated Cost and Funding Availability			-			


Total Cost Amount of Available Funding TBD Federal funding availability TBD \$50,000 Funding source TBD





Flood Management E	valuation	(FIVIE)	DEOLO	N.			
Title Hays County Dam Inundation Maps			REGIO	G			UPE
ID# 111000112				REG	IONAL FLO	OD PLANN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Hays (Cou	unty)						
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments			
Study Details							
Study type Preparedness							
Study description Conduct study and work wit	h TCEQ to continue to c	develop inundation map	s for all Hig	h Hazard (	dams.		
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ited models i	n near term	1? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100203					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 676	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 2,570	# of structures	1,649		Critical fa	cilities 4		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 101,450		Roadway(s) impacted	l (length)	124			
Number of low water crossings 55		Historical road closur	es	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Availability							

Total Cost	\$500,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






FME Area


11000111	unugenne			1012/		REGION		
	Dam Integrity Study						GUADAL REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNI	UPE
ID# 111000123								
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) k	(err (County)						
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for R	ecommendation	Meets mi	inimum TWD	B requirement	nts	
Study Details								
Study type	Preparedness							
Study description	Create a dam integr	ity study and i	dentify repairs to b	e made to	County dam:	s as necessary	<i>4</i> .	
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic model?	<i>Yes</i>	Emergency Ne	ed? No		Existing/A	nticipated models in near term?	Yes
County Kerr			Watershed HUC# (	if known)	12100201			
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.) 1,10	03	Goal(s) 1	1000009, 1	1000010			

#### 100-Year Flood Risk Summary # of structures 3,833 Critical facilities 6 Population at risk 11,538 Coastal? No Local? No Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes Playa? No Other? No Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 28,070 Roadway(s) impacted (length) 124 Number of low water crossings Historical road closures 158


#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$500,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD			






FME Area



Total Cost	\$500,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FME Area

Title Caldwell Cou Study	nty Emergency Serv	rice District #3 Repetitive Loss Prop	perty Mitigation		GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID# 111000006					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Caldwell County Emergency Servio	ce District #3		
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum	n TWDB requiremer	its
Study Details					
Study type	Project Planning				
Study description		ood-prone and repetitive loss prop nate flooding at identified properti		e Texas Water Deve	lopment Board and identify and study solutions


New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Caldwell	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 24	Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010	

### 100-Year Flood Risk Summary

Population at risk 1,390	# of structures	465		Critical facili	ities 1	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 3,124		Roadway(s) impacted	(length)	13		
Number of low water crossings 5		Historical road closur	es	-		

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$1,000,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		






ID# 111000007		vice District #4 Fire Station 2 Projec		REGION REGION	<b>GUADALL</b> EGIONAL FLOOD PLANNIN	JPE g group
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	VDB requirements		
Study Details	Project Planning					
Study description		osed project to build a swell and rai jor storm events.	se driveway of Fire S	tation 2 to prevent ir	nundation of facility and to ke	eep station in
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model?	Yes Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing/Antici	pated models in near term?	Yes
County Caldwell		Watershed HUC#	if known) 1210020	13		


#### 100-Year Flood Risk Summary Critical facilities -Population at risk # of structures -Flood risk type: Riverine? No Coastal? No Local? Yes Playa? No Other? No Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -Roadway(s) impacted (length) \_ Number of low water crossings Historical road closures Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Goal(s) 11000015, 11000016

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				



Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0




Title Canyon Regio	onal WA Hays Caldw	ell Water Treatment Plant Floodwa	REGION	<b>GUADALUPE</b>	
ID# 111000008					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Canyon Regional Water Authority		_	
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	n TWDB requireme	nts	
Study Details	_				
Study type	Project Planning				
Study description	Project planning fo	r Canyon Regional WA - Hays Caldv	well Water Treatn	nent Plant Floodwa	ll Project

New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Guadalupe	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100202	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010	

100-Year Flood	<b>Risk Summary</b>						
Population at risk	0	# of structures	1		Critical	facilities 0	
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No	
Farm/Ranch land in	mpacted (acres)		Roadway(s) impacted	l (length)	0		
Number of low water crossings -			Historical road closures		•		

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability


Total Cost	\$159,355	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FME Area

ID#	Planning 111000100	ty Master WID Ri		ow Water Crossing Improv	vement Project		C			LUPE	
RFPG	recommend	I? Yes	Rea	son for Recommendation	Meets minimur	m TWDB require	ements				
Stud	ly Details										
Study	/ type	Project Plannin	3								
Study	itudy description Project planning for proposed project to implement low water crossing improvements at River Road.										
New	Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes					
Coun	ty Comal			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 1210	00202					
Drain	age area (Sq	uare miles, est.)	3	Goal(s)	11000009, 110000	010					
100-	Year Flood	Risk Summar	y								
Popu	lation at risk	331		# of structures	139		Critical f	facilities 0			
Flood	l risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm	/Ranch land	impacted (acres)	98		Roadway(s) imp	pacted (length)	6				
Number of low water crossings 8				Historical road clos		closures -					
Estin	nated Cost	and Funding	Availabil	ity							
Total	Cost	\$700,000		Amount of Available Fund	ing TBD	Fe	ederal fur	nding availabil	ity TBD		




Funding source TBD



noou Management L	valuation (i)						
Title Hunts ISD Storm Drainage Infrastructure Pr	oject Planning	P	REGIO		AC	AL	UPE
ID# 111000119				REGIONA	AL FLOO	D PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Hunt ISD							
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWD	B requiren	nents			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning to construct							
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need	l? No	Existing	/Anticipated m	nodels in	near term?	Yes
County Kerr	Watershed HUC# (if	known) 12100201					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 174	Goal(s) 110	000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 1,001	# of structures 629	)	(	Critical facilitie	s 1		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No Lo	ocal? No	Playa?	No C	ther?	10	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 5,502	R	Roadway(s) impacted (	length)	26			
Number of low water crossings 41	н	listorical road closures	5				
Estimated Cost and Funding Availability							
Total Cost \$100 000 Am	ount of Available Funding	TRD	Feo	eral funding a	vailability		


Funding source TBD





FME Area


rioou munugemen	it Evaluation	(1112)	REGIO	N			
Title Ingram ISD Construct New Storm D	rainage Infrastructure		11		UAI	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000120				REG	GIONAL FLC	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Ing	gram ISD						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB requiren	nents			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning to co							
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Ye	171 2.			/Anticipa	ated models	in near term	r Yes
County Kerr	Watershed HUC		1				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 208	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 974	# of structures	606		Critical fa	acilities 1		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 4,97	L	Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	19			
Number of low water crossings 24		Historical road closu	ures	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Availa	ability						
Total Cost \$100,000	Amount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fed	leral fun	ding availabil	lity TBD	



Funding source TBD



noou management L			REGIO	N			
Title Ingram ISD Improve Existing Storm Draina	ge Infrastructure		11		UAI	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000121				REG	IONAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Ingram IS	5D		-				
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB requirer	ments			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing	z/Anticipa	ted models i	n near term	? Yes
County Kerr	Watershed HUC#		7. 1/2	5// intererpe	ted models i		
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 208		11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 974	# of structures	506		Critical fa	cilities 1		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 4,971		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	19			
Number of low water crossings 24		Historical road closu	ires	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Availability	,						
Total Cost \$100.000 Ar	nount of Available Fundir	ng TBD	Fe	deral fund	ling availabil	ity TBD	




Funding source TBD



FIUUU IVIA	roou Management Lvaluation (FML)									
					RE	GION	1			
Title Kerr ISD Storm	n Drainage Infras	structure Pro	ject Planning			1	GL	JA[	DALI OD PLANNI	JPE
ID# 111000124							REGION	VAL FLO	OD PLANNII	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of e	ntity, not perso	n) Kerrville IS	SD							
RFPG recommend?	Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets mini	imum TWDB re	quireme	ents			
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning	l .								
Study description Project planning for proposed project to construct new storm drainage infrastructure to reduce the potential impacts of future flood events.								uture flood		
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	E	xisting/	Anticipated	models i	n near term?	Yes
County Kerr			Watershed HUC	‡ (if known)	12100201					
Drainage area (Squa	are miles, est.)	165	Goal(s)	11000009, 11	000010					
100-Year Flood F	Risk Summary	/								
Population at risk	8,499		# of structures	1,968		Cr	itical facilit	ies 4		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	PI	aya? N	0	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (acres)	2,782		Roadway(s)	impacted (len	gth) 4	1			
Number of low wat	er crossings	43		Historical ro	oad closures	-				


### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		





Title Caldwell Count	y Bridge Impro					TI GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP					
ID# 111000003						REGI	ONAL FLO	OD PLANN	IING GROUP		
Sponsor (name of er	ntity, not perso	) Caldwell	(County)								
RFPG recommend?	Yes	Reasor	n for Recommendation	Meets minimu	ım TWDB require	ments					
			_								
Study Details											
Study type P	Project Planning										
			ed project to replace an tion, upgraded bridge in				111		Contraction of the second s		
New Hydrologic or H	lydraulic mode	? Yes	Emergency M	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models	in near term	1? Yes		
County Caldwell			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 121	.00203, 1210020	2					
Drainage area (Squa	re miles, est.)	545	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000	0010						
100-Year Flood R	isk Summary	,									
Population at risk 2			# of structures	167		Critical fac	ilities 0				
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No			
Farm/Ranch land im	pacted (acres)	4,092		Roadway(s) im	pacted (length)	14					
Number of low water crossings 30				Historical road	closures	-					
Estimated Cost a	nd Funding A	vailability	l.								
Total Cost \$2	56,000	Ar	nount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fe	deral fundi	ng availabil	ity TBD			



Funding source TBD



100011	anageme		valuation							
					F	REGIO	N			
Title City of Flator	iia Drainage Project F	Planning				11	G	UAI	DALU DOD PLANNI	JPE
ID# 111000015							REGI	ONAL FLO	OD PLANNII	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) F	latonia (N	Iunicipality)			-				
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets min	imum TWD	B requiren	nents			
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
Study description	Project planning for 90 to the north side		l project to make culv road of I-10.	ert and drainag	ge ditch imp	rovement	s from just	t south of t	he Union Paci	fic Railroad at US
New Hydrologic of	r Hydraulic model?	/es	Emergency	Need? No		Existing	/Anticipate	ed models	in near term?	Yes
County Fayette			Watershed HUC	# (if known)	12100202					
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 1		Goal(s)	11000009, 11	000010					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary									
Population at risk	0		# of structures	0			Critical faci	ilities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	


Roadway(s) impacted (length)

Historical road closures

Amount of Available Funding TBD

0

.



-

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 15

Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

\$2,739,000

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost

Funding source TBD



Federal funding availability TBD

FME Area

nood management	Evaluation	(1111)	REGIO	N			
Title City of Flatonia WWTP Floodproofing F	Project Planning		11		<b>UAI</b>	DAL	
ID# 111000016				REC	GIONAL FLO	OD PLANN	IING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Flaton	ia (Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes Rea	son for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning for prop New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency 1			Anticin	ated models i	in noor torm	2 Vec
			-	g/Anticipa	ated models	in near term	r Yes
County Fayette	Watershed HUC						
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000015, 1100001	.0				
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk -	# of structures	-		Critical fa	acilities -		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impa	cted (length)	-			
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road closures					
Estimated Cost and Funding Availabi	lity						
Total Cost \$100,000	Amount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fe	deral fun	ding availabil	ity TBD	




FME Area

ID# 111000017	n Ridge Drainage In entity, not person)			,		REG		GUADALU REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING G	
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for R	ecommendation	Meets m	inimun	n TWDB requ	uireme	nts	
Study Details Study type Study description	Project Planning Project planning to	o complete fina	l phase of drainage ir	frastruct	ure upį	grades.			
No. 11 doi: 1	1			12 11					
New Hydrologic of	Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency Nee	ar No		EXI	sting/A	nticipated models in near term? Yes	
County Comal			Watershed HUC# (i	known)	1210	0202			

#### 100-Year Flood Risk Summary # of structures 9 Critical facilities 0 Population at risk 20 Coastal? No Riverine? Yes Local? No Playa? No Other? No Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 18 Roadway(s) impacted (length) \_ Historical road closures Number of low water crossings \_ Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				



Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 7



FME Area

FIUUU IVI	anageme		aluation	(FIVIL)						
Title City of Gonza	Ì	<b>GUADALUPI</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROU								
ID# 111000018							REGION	NAL FLOC	DD PLANNII	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Gonzales (N	1unicipality)			-				
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason fo	or Recommendation	Meets mi	inimum TWD	B requireme	ents			
Study Details						12				
Study type	Project Planning									
Study description			ing infrastructure th culvert bridges with o			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				blacing box
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	leed? No		Existing/A	Anticipated	models in	near term?	Yes
County Gonzales			Watershed HUC	# (if known)	12100202					
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.) 6		Goal(s)	11000009, 1	11000010					
	<b>D</b> : 1 C									
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary									
100-Year Flood Population at risk			# of structures	532		Cr	itical facilit	ies 2		

Tabal Cash	1000 000	
	0	

Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

5

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 128

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost	\$600,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		

Roadway(s) impacted (length) 13

Historical road closures

\_





Flood Manager	ient c	valuation	FIVIE)									
				R	EGIO	N						
Title City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek	Title City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Flood Mitigation Project Planning						11 GUADALUPE					
ID# 111000019			REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP									
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Gonzales (Municipality)												
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets min	imum TWDB	requirer	ments						
Study Details												
Study type Project Planning	3											
		ed improvements along eplacing box culvert cro			-			ohnson Street,	, adding culverts			
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No		Existing	g/Anticipated	models	in near term?	Yes			
County Gonzales		Watershed HUC	C# (if known) 12100202									
Drainage area (Square miles, est.)	6	Goal(s)	11000001, 11	000002								
100-Year Flood Risk Summar	/											
Population at risk 1,282 # of structures			532			Critical facilities 2						
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No				
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)	128		Roadway(s	) impacted (le	pacted (length) 13							
Number of low water crossings	5		Historical r	oad closures		-						

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$430,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		






FME Area

FIOUU IVI	anageme		aluation	(FIVIE)	REGIO						
Title City of Kerrvi	lle Pinto Trail Project	Planning			JPE						
ID# 111000022				<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP							
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) <b>k</b>	errville (Mu	nicipality)								
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for	Recommendation	Meets minir	num TWDB require	ements					
Study Details											
Study type	Project Planning										
Study description	Project planning for widening existing ch								ncluding		
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	/es	Emergency N	Need? No	Existin	ng/Anticipate	d models	in near term?	Yes		
County Kerr			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 1	2100201						
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 0		Goal(s)	11000009, 110	00010						
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary										
Population at risk	-		# of structures	-		Critical facil	ities -				
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Co	oastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No			
Farm/Ranch land	mpacted (acres) -			Roadway(s)	impacted (length)	-					
Number of low wa	ater crossings -			Historical roa	ad closures	-					

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






noou Management L								
Title City of Kerrville Park Street Low Water Cro	ssing Project Planning		REGIO	<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP				
ID# 111000023				REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP				
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Kerrville (	Municipality)							
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWD	n TWDB requirements					
Study Details								
Study type Project Planning								
Study description Project planning for propose			Low Water					
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing	ng/Anticipated models in near term? Yes				
County Kerr	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100201						
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 1	1000001, 11000002						
100-Year Flood Risk Summary								
Population at risk -	# of structures -			Critical facilities -				
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No Other? No				
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacted	(length)	0				
Number of low water crossings 1		Historical road closure	es					
Estimated Cost and Funding Availability								
Total Cost \$340,000 Am	ount of Available Fundin		For	ederal funding availability TBD				

Total Cost Amount of Available Funding IBD -ederal funding availability TBD \$340,000 Funding source TBD





noou managemen			REGIO	N			
Title City of Kerrville First Street Low Wate	r Crossing Project Planning		GUADALU REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING				UPE
ID# 111000024				REG	SIONAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Kerry	ville (Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes Re	ason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	OB requirer	nents			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning for pro							
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N		Existing	g/Anticipa	ated models i	n near term	Yes
County Kerr	Watershed HUC#						
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary				67 - 1944 - BUCKEY	- and the second		
Population at risk	# of structures	•		Critical fa	cilities -		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacted	(length)	0			
Number of low water crossings 1		Historical road closur	es	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Availab	pility						
Total Cost \$510,000	Amount of Available Fundi	ng TBD	Fee	deral fund	ding availabil	ity TBD	




Funding source TBD



11000 Management		IVIL)	REGIO	N				
Title City of Kerrville Fourth Street Low Water	Crossing Project Planning		11		JADA	ALUPE		
ID# 111000025				REGIO	REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Kerrville	(Municipality)							
RFPG recommend? Yes Reaso	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	n TWDB requirements					
Study Details								
Study type Project Planning								
Study description Project planning for proposed				610351115.				
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing	g/Anticipated	models in nea	r term? Yes		
County Kerr	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 121002	01					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 1	1000001, 11000002	2					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary								
Population at risk -	# of structures -			Critical facilit	ies -			
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No			
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impac	ted (length)	0				
Number of low water crossings 1		Historical road clos	sures	-				
Estimated Cost and Funding Availabilit	y							
Total Cost \$180,000 A	mount of Available Fundin		Fe	deral funding	availability TB	D		

Funding source TBD






FME Area


1000101	1000 Management Evaluation (TME)									
Title City of Kerrvi	lle Hill Country Driv	e at SH 16	Project Planning		REGIO					
ID# 111000026						REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROU				
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Kerrville (	Municipality)							
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	for Recommendation Meets minimum						
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
Study description	Project planning fo capacity at Hill Cou		ed project to raise the ro e.	adway profile and	regrade Hill Co	untry Drive	e, and increa	se the dowr	istream pipe	
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ted models i	in near term	? Yes	
County Kerr			Watershed HUC#	atershed HUC# (if known) 12100201						
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 0		Goal(s)	11000009, 110000	10					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary									
Population at risk	-		# of structures	-		Critical fac	cilities -			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land i	Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -			Roadway(s) impa	acted (length)	-				
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road close		closures -						
	and Funding Av	ailability								

Iotal Cost	\$245,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		






FME Area



#### **Estimated Cost and Funding Availability**

Total Cost	\$180,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






#### (alustion (ENAE) Eland Ma E. .

FIOOD IVIANAGEMENT EVALUATION (FIVIE)									
Title City of Kerrvi	lle Circle Avenue Dr	ainage Chann	el Project Planning		GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROU				UPE
ID# 111000029						RE	GIONAL FLC	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Kerrville (Mu	nicipality)						
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for	Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning								
Study description	Project planning fo Culberson Avenue			nprovement project to a	lleviate se	dimentat	tion and erosi	on issues at t	he intersection of
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency M	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticip	pated models	in near term	? Yes
County Kerr			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100201					
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 0		Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	-		# of structures			Critical	facilities -		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Co	astal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -				Roadway(s) impacted (leng		-			
Number of low water crossings -				Historical road closures		-			
Estimated Cost	and Funding Ava	ailability							

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






FME Area

FIOOU IVI	lanageme		valuation (	FIVIE)						
Title City of Kerrvi	ille Jack Drive - Unde	ersized Inle	et Project Planning			REGION GUADALU REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING (				
ID# 111000030							REG	ONAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Kerrville (N	Municipality)							
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets min	iimum TWI	OB require	ments			
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
Study description			d street and drainage in xisting undersized inlet		project to	relieve roa	ad and pro	perty floodi	ng from occı	urring directly
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	eed? No		Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models i	in near term	? Yes
County Kerr			Watershed HUC#	(if known)	12100201					
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 0		Goal(s)	11000009, 11	1000010					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary									
Population at risk	-		# of structures				Critical fac	ilities -		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? No		Coastal? No	Local? Yes		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	impacted (acres) -			Roadway(s	) impacted	(length)	-			
Number of low wa	ater crossings -			Historical r	oad closur	es	-			
	and Funding Ava	ailability								

Total Cost	\$240,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FME Area

1100010	unugen		valuation		DEOLO	N.F.				
Title City of Kerrv Study	ille Harper Road to	o Town Cree	k (Fay Drive) Drainage I	mprovements	REGIO	<b>GUADALUPE</b>				
ID# 111000031						REG	REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROU			
Sponsor (name of	entity, not persor	) Kerrville (	Municipality)							
RFPG recommend	I? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments				
			_							
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
Study description	Study of solutior	is to implen	nent drainage improven	nents on Harper Roa	ad to Town Cree	ek (Fay Dr	ive).			
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model	? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ated models	in near tern	n? Yes	
County Kerr			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100	0201					
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.)(	)	Goal(s)	11000009, 110000	10					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary									
Population at risk	7		# of structures	4		Critical fa	cilities 0			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land	impacted (acres)			Roadway(s) impa	acted (length)	0				
Number of low w	ater crossings	1		Historical road cl	losures	-				
Estimated Cost	and Funding A	vailability								
Total Cost	\$150,000	An	nount of Available Fund	ing TBD	Fe	deral fund	ding availabil	ity TBD		




Funding source TBD



noou manageme		IVIL)	REGIO	N	
Title City of Kyle - N. Burleson Street D	Drainage Improvements Project Pla	11		DALUPE	
ID# 111000034			REGIONAL FL	OOD PLANNING GROUP	
Sponsor (name of entity, not person)	Kyle (Municipality)				
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB requirer	ments	
Study Details					
Study type Project Planning					
Study description Project planning fo area.	r proposed project to conduct stre	et reconstruction a	nd drainage in	nprovements to mini	mize flooding in the downtown
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model?	Yes Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing	g/Anticipated models	s in near term? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC# (	(if known) 121002	03		
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 1	1000009, 11000010	)		
100-Year Flood Risk Summary					
Population at risk 3	# of structures 1			Critical facilities 0	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No Other	' No
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impac	ted (length)	0	
Number of low water crossings 1		Historical road clos	sures		
Estimated Cost and Funding Ava	ailability				
Total Cost \$983,000	Amount of Available Fundin		Fo	deral funding availab	ility TBD

Total Cost	\$983,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FME Area

Title City of Moun	tain City Repetitive	Loss Structure Mitigation Study			GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID# 111000039					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Mountain City (Municipality)			
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWD	DB requiremen	ts
Study Details					
Study type	Project Planning				
Study description	Study of solutions	to floodproof or otherwise mitigat	e repetitive loss structu	ires that have l	peen identified by FEMA for the number of

New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 1	Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010	


### **100-Year Flood Risk Summary**

flood insurance claims.

Population at risk -	# of structure	5 -	Critical facilities -
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No Playa?	No Other? No
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacted (length)	5
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road closures	

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		





Title City of New Braunfels - Box Culvert Installation to Reduce Flood Risk on Blieders Creek, Comal River and Landa Park Project Planning

#### ID# 111000043

Sponsor (name of entity, not person) New Braunfels (Municipality)

RFPG recommend? Yes

Reason for Recommendation

Meets minimum TWDB requirements

REGION


GUADALUPE

**REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP** 

#### **Study Details**

Study type	Project Planning							
Study description	Project planning for propos conveying flows to the Gua							watersheds by
New Hydrologic o	Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models	in near term?	Yes
County Comal		Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100202					
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Population at risk	-	# of structures	60		Critical faci	lities <mark>0</mark>		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres) 5		Roadway(s) impacted	(length)	1			
Number of low water crossings 4		Historical road closur		es	-			
Estimated Cost	and Funding Availability	y						
Total Cost	\$878,000 A	mount of Available Fundi	ng TBD	Fe	deral fundir	ng availabil	ity TBD	

Funding source TBD






FME Area

100010	anagen	IEIIL L	valuation						
Title City of Niede	rwald Engineerir	g Review of	City Hall		REGIO				
					11	G	JAI	JAL	UPE NG GROUP
ID# 111000051						REGIC	DNAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Niederwa	ld (Municipality)		_				
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	/DB require	ments			
							_		
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning	3							
Study description	Contract a cons community doc		a an engineer to review archives).	the new City Hall buildi	ing to ensur	e its resilien	icy (modul	ar building th	at holds
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models i	n near term?	Yes
County Caldwell,	Hays		Watershed HUC#	t (if known) 12100203	3				
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	4	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summar	/							
Population at risk	24		# of structures	9		Critical facil	ities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	150		Roadway(s) impacte	d (length)	1			
Number of low wa	ater crossings	2		Historical road closu	res	-			

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$10,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





	0.000			(****=/	REGIO	N			
Title City of Nixon	Voluntary Buyout F	Program Pro	oject Planning		11	G	UAI	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000052						REG	SIONAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Nixon (Mu	nicipality)						
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning								
Study description	Project planning to	o develop a	nd implement a progr	am to buyout NFIP r	epetitive loss p	roperties	·		
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ated models	in near term?	Yes
County Gonzales,	Wilson		Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100	202				
Drainage area (Squ	are miles, est.) 2		Goal(s)	11000009, 1100001	10				
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	25		# of structures	13		Critical fa	cilities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land in	mpacted (acres) 6			Roadway(s) impa	cted (length)	0			
Number of low wa	ter crossings 0			Historical road cl	osures	-			
Estimated Cost	and Funding Av	ailability							
Total Cost	\$150,000	Amo	ount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fe	deral fund	ding availabil	lity TBD	

Funding source TBD






	0			REGION	
Title City of San N	larcos Low Water Crossing at Ja	ckman Project Plannin	g		GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID# 111000056					REGIONAL FEODD FEAMMING GROOT
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) San Marcos	(Municipality)			
RFPG recommend	? Yes Reason fo	or Recommendation	Meets minimun	n TWDB requirement	s
Study Details					
Study type	Project Planning				
Study description	Project planning to replace lov	v water crossing at Jac	kman		
Now Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model2 Vec	Emorgoney No	No.	Existing /Ap	ticipated models in pear term? Ver

New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 11000001, 11000002	
100-Year Flood Risk Summary		

Population at risk		# of structures -		Critical faci	Critical facilities -	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coasta	I? No Local?	No Playa	? No	Other? No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)	-	Roady	way(s) impacted (length)	0		
Number of low water crossings	0	Histor	rical road closures			

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding	BD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






noou munugement	Evaluation (TWE)	RECION
Title City of San Marcos Low Water Crossin Planning	g at Mitchell and Purgatory Creek Project	REGION GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID# 111000057		REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) San N	larcos (Municipality)	
RFPG recommend? Yes Rea	ason for Recommendation Meets minim	um TWDB requirements
Study Details		
Study type Project Planning		
Study description Project planning to repla	ace low water crossing at Mitchell and Purga	itory Creek
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12	100203
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 11000001, 1100	0002
100-Year Flood Risk Summary		
Population at risk	# of structures -	Critical facilities -
Elood risk type: Biverine? Vec		Playa? No. Othor? No.

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local?	No	Playa?	No	Other?	No
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (ad	cres) -			Roady	way(s) impacted	d (length)	0		
Number of low wa	ter crossing	s O			Histor	rical road closur	res	-		

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$200,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD	
Funding source	TBD			





FME Area

Title City of San M Planning ID# 111000058	arcos LWC at River	Road and Railr	oad Trestle/Blanco I	River Projec	t	REGION	GUAD, REGIONAL FLOOD		JPE
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	San Marcos (N	lunicipality)						
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for F	Recommendation	Meets mi	nimum TW	DB requiremen	ts		
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning								
Study description	Project planning to	o replace low w	vater crossing at Riv	er Road and	Railroad T	restle/Blanco R	iver		
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency Ne	ed? No		Existing/Ar	nticipated models in ne	ear term?	Yes
County Hays			Watershed HUC#	(if known)	12100203				
Drainage area (Squ	are miles, est.) 0		Goal(s)	11000001, 1	1000002				
100-Year Flood	Pick Summany								

	il of structures	# of structures -		Critical facilities -		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacted (le	ength)	0		
Number of low water crossings 1		Historical road closures		-		

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		






#### . н -1.

Flood Management	Evaluation (I		DEOLO			
Title City of San Marcos LWC at S LBJ and Purg ID# 111000059 Sponsor (name of entity, not person) San Mar			REGIO	GUA REGIONAL F		JPE
RFPG recommend? Yes Reaso	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWD	)B requirer	nents		
Study Details						
Study type Project Planning						
Study description Project planning to replace	low water crossing at S LE	3J and Purgatory Creek				
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing	/Anticipated mode	els in near term?	Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203				
		(11 K110 W11) 12100205				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0		1000001, 11000002				
Contractive processor						
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0		1000001, 11000002		Critical facilities -		
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 100-Year Flood Risk Summary	Goal(s) 1	1000001, 11000002	Playa?		r? No	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 <b>100-Year Flood Risk Summary</b> Population at risk _	Goal(s) 1 # of structures -	1000001, 11000002	Playa?		r? No	

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		





	larcos - Extension of	River Ridge Parkway West Project	: Planning	REGION	GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID# 111000060					
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	San Marcos (Municipality)		_	
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum	n TWDB requiremen	ts
Study Details					
Study type	Project Planning				
Study description	Project planning fo flooding events	r proposed project identified thro	ugh the San Marco	os Transportation Pla	an, to increase the ability to divert traffic during


New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010	

### 100-Year Flood Risk Summary

Population at risk 1,795	# of structu	res 69		Critical facilities 1		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)	0	Roadway(s) impacted (le	ength)	3		
Number of low water crossings		Historical road closures		-		


### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability


Total Cost	\$298,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






noou managemen			REGIO	N					
Title City of Seguin City-wide Drainage Im	provements Project Planning		11			LUPE			
ID# 111000064					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GRO				
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Seg	uin (Municipality)								
RFPG recommend? Yes R	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB requirer	nents					
Study Details									
Study type Project Planning									
Study description Project planning to inc	crease Regional Detention, Cha	nnel & Drainage Syste	em Improven	nents.					
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing	/Anticipa	ated models in near t	erm? Yes			
County Guadalupe	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 1210020	2						
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 38	Goal(s) 1	1000009, 11000010							
100-Year Flood Risk Summary									
Population at risk 3,190	# of structures 8	46		Critical fa	cilities 5				
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No				
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 1,157		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	25					
Number of low water crossings 8		Historical road closu	ires	-					
Estimated Cost and Funding Availa	bility								










Total Cost	\$300,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal fu	unding availability TBD	
Funding source	TBD				






1 IOOU Wallage	.ment L			DEOLO	A.L				
Title City of Seguin Citywide Drainage Project Planning					REGION GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP				
ID# 111000066			REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of entity, not p	erson) Seguin (M	Municipality)							
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB require	ments				
Study Details									
Study type Project Plan	ning								
		iority drainage projects v ude North Guadalupe, N				rove the :	safety of thei	r 25,520	
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic n	odel? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipated	d models i	in near term	? Yes	
County Guadalupe		Watershed HUC#	t (if known) 1210020	2					
Drainage area (Square miles, e	st.) 38	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010						
100-Year Flood Risk Sumr	nary								
Population at risk 3,190		# of structures	846		Critical facili	ties 5			
Flood risk type: Riverine?	Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (ad	res) 1,157		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	25				
Number of low water crossing	8		Historical road closu	ires	-				

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$4,304,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





noou Management				212			
Title City of Seguin Sewage Treatment Plant F	loodproofing Project Plann	ning	REGIO		JAC		JPE
ID# 111000067				REGIO	NAL FLOC	D PLANNIN	IG GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Seguin (	Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes Reaso	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB requirer	nents			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning for propo	sed project to hood-proor :	sewage treatment pi	ants in flood	nazaru / iow	-iying area	5.	
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing	g/Anticipated	d models in	near term?	Yes
County Guadalupe	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 1210020	)2				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 1	1000015, 11000016					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 48	# of structures 8	1.		Critical facili	ties 0		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	0			
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road close	ures	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Availabilit	у						
Total Cost \$100,000 A	mount of Available Fundin	TBD	Fei	deral funding	z availahilit		


Funding source TBD





11000111	ioou management Evaluation (Ime)					N				
Title City of Uhlan	d Drainage Impro	ovement Pro	ject Planning		11		GUA	DAI		Ξ
ID# 111000068						R	EGIONAL FLO	OD PLAN	INING GROU	Ρ
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Uhland (I	/Junicipality)							
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reasor	for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments				
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
Study description			ed project to mitigate ments will reduce floo			pacity (	of drainage rou	ites to con	tain the storm	water.
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic mode	? Yes	Emergency	Need? No	Existin	g/Antic	ipated models	in near tei	rm? Yes	
County Caldwell,	Hays		Watershed HU	C# (if known) 12100	)203					
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	3	Goal(s)	11000009, 1100003	10					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary	,								
Population at risk	46		# of structure	s 27		Critica	l facilities 0			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	94		Roadway(s) impa	acted (length)	2				
Number of low wa	ter crossings	3		Historical road cl	osures	-				
Estimated Cost	and Funding A	vailability								

Total Cost	\$1,334,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FIOUU IVI	anagen		valuation	FIVIE)	-				
Title City of Victori	a Harden Critica	l Infrastructu	ure Project Planning		REGIO		JAI		JPE
ID# 111000070						REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of e	entity, not perso	n) Victoria (	Municipality)						
RFPG recommend?	Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimur	n TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning	g							
		di la constante de la constante	ity buildings, critical inf ial in the response and				ing of nor	n-governmenta	al facilities that
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic mode	l? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipated	d models	in near term?	Yes
County Victoria			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 1210	0204				
Drainage area (Squ	are miles, est.)	37	Goal(s)	11000015, 110000	016				
100-Year Flood I	Risk Summar	Y							
Population at risk	5,112		# of structures	1,139		Critical facili	ties 24		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land in	mpacted (acres)	111		Roadway(s) imp	acted (length)	36			
Number of low wat	ter crossings	0		Historical road	closures	-			

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability


Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






noou management	Evaluation		REGIO	N			
Title City of Victoria Voluntary Buyout Progra	m Project Planning		11				
ID# 111000071				RE	GIONAL FLC	IOD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Victoria	a (Municipality)		_				
RFPG recommend? Yes Reas	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB requirer	ments			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning to impler New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N		,	• 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	ated models	in noar torm	2 Voc
	Watershed HUC#			s/Anticip	ateu moueis	innear term	r res
County Victoria Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 37		(11 known) 12100204 11000009, 11000010	•				
	Gouldy	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 5,112	# of structures	1,139		Critical f	acilities 24		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 111		Roadway(s) impacted	d (length)	36			
Number of low water crossings 0		Historical road closur	res	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Availabili	ty						
Total Cost \$150,000	Amount of Available Fundi	ng TBD	Fe	deral fur	ding availabi	lity TBD	










New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Victoria	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100204	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 37	Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010	
100-Year Flood Risk Summary		

Population at risk 5,112	# of structu	res 1,139		Critical f	acilities 24	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? N	lo
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 111		Roadway(s) impa	acted (length)	36		
Number of low water crossings 0		Historical road cl	losures	-		

#### **Estimated Cost and Funding Availability**

Total Cost	\$45,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FIOOD IVI				<b>`</b>	REGIO	NI			
Title City of Victor	ia Regional Drair	age Solution	s Project Planning				UAI	DAL	UPE
D# 111000073						REG	IONAL FLO	OD PLAN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Victoria (N	Municipality)						
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
otady Details									
Study type		g for propose	d project for five regions						
Study type Study description	Project plannin ditch improvem	g for propose ents, Anthon	d project for five regio y Road outfall improv Emergency	ements, Lone Tree Ro	ad outfall impi	rovements		Ditch outfa	ΙΙ.
Study type	Project plannin ditch improvem	g for propose ents, Anthon	iy Road outfall improv	ements, Lone Tree Ro Need? No	oad outfall impi Existin	rovements	, and Clegg	Ditch outfa	ΙΙ.
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or	Project plannin ditch improvem Hydraulic mode	g for propose ents, Anthon	Emergency Watershed HUG	ements, Lone Tree Ro Need? No	ead outfall impr Existin 204	rovements	, and Clegg	Ditch outfa	ΙΙ.
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or County <mark>Victoria</mark> Drainage area (Squ	Project planning ditch improvem Hydraulic mode uare miles, est.)	g for propose ents, Anthon I? Yes 37	Emergency Watershed HUG	ements, Lone Tree Ro Need? No C# (if known) 12100	ead outfall impr Existin 204	rovements	, and Clegg	Ditch outfa	ΙΙ.
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria Drainage area (Squ	Project planning ditch improvem Hydraulic mode Jare miles, est.) Risk Summar	g for propose ents, Anthon I? Yes 37	Emergency Watershed HUG	ements, Lone Tree Ro Need? No C# (if known) 12100 11000009, 1100001	ead outfall impr Existin 204	rovements	, and Clegg ted models	Ditch outfa	ΙΙ.
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria Drainage area (Squ LOO-Year Flood Population at risk	Project planning ditch improvem Hydraulic mode Jare miles, est.) Risk Summar	g for propose ents, Anthon I? Yes 37	Emergency Watershed HU( Goal(s)	ements, Lone Tree Ro Need? No C# (if known) 12100 11000009, 1100001	Existin 204	rovements,	, and Clegg ted models	Ditch outfa in near terr	ΙΙ.
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria	Project planning ditch improvem Hydraulic mode uare miles, est.) Risk Summar 5,112 Riverine? Yes	g for propose ents, Anthon I? Yes 37	Watershed HUC Goal(s) # of structure	ements, Lone Tree Ro Need? No C# (if known) 12100 11000009, 1100001	Existin 204 0 Playa?	rovements, g/Anticipat	, and Clegg ted models cilities 24	Ditch outfa in near terr	ΙΙ.

Total Cost	\$1,327,962	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		





1100011	anagen		valuation	FIVIL)					
Title City of Victor	ia - Storm Sewer	Improveme	nts Project Planning		REGIO		JA[		JPE
ID# 111000074						REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLANNII	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Victoria (	Municipality)		-				
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimur	n TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning	ş							
Study description			to replace storm sewer f Service Analysis, it wa			1			analysis and
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existing	g/Anticipated	l models i	n near term?	Yes
County Victoria			Watershed HUC#	t (if known) 1210	00204				
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	37	Goal(s)	11000009, 110000	010				
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary	/							
Population at risk	5,112		# of structures	1,139		Critical facili	ties 24		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	111		Roadway(s) imp	oacted (length)	36			
Number of low wa	ter crossings	0		Historical road of	closures	-			

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$3,946,100	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FIOOU Managen	ient c	valuation (	FIVIE)					
Title City of Victoria Clean and Tele	vise Storm S	ewers Project Planning		REGIO	G			UPE.
ID# 111000075					REGIO	ONAL FLO	OD PLAN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not perso	n) Victoria (	Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments			
Study Details								
Study type Project Planning	g							
		ed project to clean and to ce Analysis, it was deterr				of overlar	id flow anal	ysis and Storm
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency Ne	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models i	n near tern	n? Yes
County Victoria		Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100204	Lo.				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.)	37	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summar	/							
Population at risk 5,112		# of structures	1,139		Critical facil	ities 24		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)	111		Roadway(s) impacted	d (length)	36			
Number of low water crossings	0		Historical road closu	res	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding	Availability							


Total Cost	\$1,662,106	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





Flood IVI	0000	0		(						
	a Regrade Priority	/ Ditches and D	Driveway Culverts P	Project Planning	REGIO					
D# 111000076										
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person	) Victoria (Mur	nicipality)							
RFPG recommend	Yes	Reason for	r Recommendation	Meets minim	um TWDB require	ments				
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
	Project planning		drainage improvem erts are negatively i					was deter	mined t	hat 23 mil
Study description	Project planning of ditch and 669	driveway culve	erts are negatively i		nce capacity and	need to				
	Project planning of ditch and 669	driveway culve	erts are negatively i	impacting conveya	nce capacity and	need to	be regraded.			
Study description	Project planning of ditch and 669 Hydraulic model	driveway culve ? Yes	Errts are negatively i Emergency Watershed HUG	impacting conveya	nce capacity and Existin 100204	need to	be regraded.			
Study description New Hydrologic or County <mark>Victoria</mark> Drainage area (Squ	Project planning of ditch and 669 Hydraulic model <sup>2</sup> are miles, est.) 3	driveway culve ? Yes	Errts are negatively i Emergency Watershed HUG	impacting conveya Need? No C# (if known) 12	nce capacity and Existin 100204	need to	be regraded.			
Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria Drainage area (Squ	Project planning of ditch and 669 Hydraulic model are miles, est.) 3 Risk Summary	driveway culve ? Yes	Errts are negatively i Emergency Watershed HUG	impacting conveya Need? No C# (if known) 12 11000009, 1100	nce capacity and Existin 100204	need to	be regraded.			
Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria Drainage area (Squ LOO-Year Flood Population at risk	Project planning of ditch and 669 Hydraulic model are miles, est.) 3 Risk Summary	driveway culve ? Yes	Erts are negatively i Emergency Watershed HU( Goal(s)	impacting conveya Need? No C# (if known) 12 11000009, 1100	nce capacity and Existin 100204	need to	be regraded.	in near te		
Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria	Project planning of ditch and 669 Hydraulic model are miles, est.) 3 Risk Summary 5,112 Riverine? Yes	driveway culve ? Yes 7 Co	Errts are negatively i Emergency Watershed HUG Goal(s) # of structure	impacting conveya Need? No C# (if known) 12 11000009, 1100 s 1,139 Local? No	nce capacity and Existin 100204 0010	g/Antic	be regraded. ipated models	in near te		


Total Cost	\$1,165,853	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		





Title City of Victor	ia Repair Channel Failu	ires & Sediment Rer	moval Project Plannir		GION		ΙΔΙ	DAI		<b>PF</b>
ID# 111000077				_ [				OD PLAN		
	entity, not person) Vid	toria (Municipalitu)	\							
RFPG recommend	r Yes	Reason for Recomm	iendation Meets	minimum TWDB re	equireme	ents				
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									ng a trace
CONSTRUCTOR CONTRACTO	Project Planning Project planning for p concreted lined chan						etermine	d to repai	r 33,657 s	q ft of
Study description	Project planning for p	nel, 11,829 sq ft of e		l remove 227,099 s	sq ft of se					q ft of
Study description New Hydrologic or	Project planning for p concreted lined chan	nel, 11,829 sq ft of e	earthen channel, and	l remove 227,099 s	sq ft of se	diment.				q ft of
Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria	Project planning for p concreted lined chan	nel, 11,829 sq ft of e	earthen channel, and mergency Need? No	l remove 227,099 s I n) 12100204	sq ft of se	diment.				q ft of
Study description New Hydrologic or County <mark>Victoria</mark> Drainage area (Squ	Project planning for p concreted lined chan Hydraulic model? Ye uare miles, est.) 37	nel, 11,829 sq ft of e	earthen channel, and mergency Need? No rshed HUC# (if knowr	l remove 227,099 s I n) 12100204	sq ft of se	diment.				q ft of
Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria Drainage area (Squ 100-Year Flood	Project planning for p concreted lined chan Hydraulic model? Ye uare miles, est.) 37 Risk Summary	s En Water	earthen channel, and mergency Need? No rshed HUC# (if knowr Goal(s) 11000009	l remove 227,099 s I n) 12100204	sq ft of se	diment.	d models			q ft of
Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria Drainage area (Squ LOO-Year Flood Population at risk	Project planning for p concreted lined chan Hydraulic model? Ye uare miles, est.) 37 Risk Summary	s En Water	earthen channel, and mergency Need? No rshed HUC# (if knowr Goal(s) 11000009 structures 1,139	d remove 227,099 s n) 12100204 9, 11000010	sq ft of se	diment. Anticipatec	d models	in near ter		q ft of
Study description New Hydrologic or County Victoria Drainage area (Squ 100-Year Flood Population at risk Flood risk type:	Project planning for p concreted lined chan Hydraulic model? Ye uare miles, est.) 37 Risk Summary 5,112	nel, 11,829 sq ft of e s En Water # of s	earthen channel, and mergency Need? No rshed HUC# (if knowr Goal(s) 11000009 structures 1,139 o Local? N	d remove 227,099 s n) 12100204 9, 11000010	Existing// Cr Playa? N	diment. Anticipated itical facili	d models ties 24	in near ter		q ft of


Total Cost \$276,201 Amount of Available Funding TBD Federal funding availability TBD Funding source TBD






nood managerin			REGION		
Title City of Waelder Voluntary Buyou	ut Program Project Planning		11		DALUPE
ID# 111000079				REGIONAL FLO	OOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person)	Waelder (Municipality)				
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWD	B requireme	ents	
Study Details					
Study type Project Planning					
Study description Project planning to					
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model?	Yes Emergency M	Need? No	Existing/A	Anticipated models	in near term? Yes
County Gonzales	Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100202			
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 1	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010			
100-Year Flood Risk Summary					
Population at risk 264	# of structures	170	Cr	itical facilities 0	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa? N	o Other?	No
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 4		Roadway(s) impacted	(length) 4		
Number of low water crossings 9	i	Historical road closure	- s		
Estimated Cost and Funding Av					

Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD			





	0		luation (							
Title City of Wimb	oerley FM 1492 at B	lanco River Low	v Water Crossing P	roject Planning	REGIO		JAI	DA	LUP	E
ID# 111000081						REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLA	NNING GRO	UP
Sponsor (name of	f entity, not person)	Wimberley (M	lunicipality)							
RFPG recommend	1? Yes	Reason for F	Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments				
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models	in near te	rm? Yes	
	or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N Watershed HUC‡			g/Anticipate	d models	in near te	rm? Yes	
County Hays	or Hydraulic model? uare miles, est.) 0	Yes	Watershed HUC#		203	g/Anticipate	d models	in near te	rm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq		Yes	Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 12100	203	g/Anticipate	d models	in near te	rm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood	uare miles, est.) 0	Yes	Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 12100 11000001, 1100000	203	g/Anticipated		in near te	rm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood Population at risk	uare miles, est.) 0		Watershed HUC# Goal(s)	# (if known) 12100 11000001, 1100000	203 2				rm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood Population at risk Flood risk type:	uare miles, est.) 0 I <b>Risk Summary</b> -		Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 12100 11000001, 1100000	203 2 Playa?	Critical facili	ities -		rm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq <b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land	uare miles, est.) 0 I Risk Summary - Riverine? Yes impacted (acres) -	Соа	Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	<ul> <li># (if known) 12100.</li> <li>11000001, 1100000</li> <li>-</li> <li>Local? No</li> </ul>	203 2 Playa? cted (length)	Critical facili No	ities -		rm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq <b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land Number of low wa	uare miles, est.) 0 I Risk Summary - Riverine? Yes impacted (acres) -	Coa	Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	<ul> <li># (if known) 12100.</li> <li>11000001, 1100000</li> <li>-</li> <li>Local? No Roadway(s) impart</li> </ul>	203 2 Playa? cted (length)	Critical facili No	ities -		rm? Yes	



Funding source TBD



1100011	lanagemen	LValua							
Title City of Wimb Planning	oerley Hidden Valley at B	lanco River Low W	ater Crossir	ng Project	REGIO	G	UAI	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000082						REGI	ONAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) Win	berley (Municipal	ity)		-				
RFPG recommend	? Yes Re	eason for Recomm	endation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning								
Study description	Project planning for pr	oposed project to	replace low	water crossing at H	lidden Valley a	t Blanco Riv	ver		
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model? Yes	Em	nergency Ne	ed? No	Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models i	in near term	? Yes
County Hays		Water	shed HUC#	(if known) 12100	203				
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 0		Goal(s) 1	11000001, 1100000	2				
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	12	# of s	structures 4	1		Critical fac	ilities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	D	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	impacted (acres) 1			Roadway(s) impa	cted (length)	0			

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability


1

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				

Historical road closures

\_






FIOOU Management		
Title City of Wimberley Little Arkansas at Bla Planning	nco River Low Water Crossing Project	GUADALUPE
ID# 111000083		REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Wimbe	erley (Municipality)	
RFPG recommend? Yes Reas	on for Recommendation Meets mir	imum TWDB requirements
Study Details		
Study type Project Planning		
Study description Project planning for prop	osed project to replace low water cross	ng at Little Arkansas at Blanco River
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes County Hays	Emergency Need? No Watershed HUC# (if known)	
		12100203
County Hays	Watershed HUC# (if known)	12100203
County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Watershed HUC# (if known)	12100203
County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 100-Year Flood Risk Summary	Watershed HUC# (if known) Goal(s) 11000001, 1	12100203
County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 <b>100-Year Flood Risk Summary</b> Population at risk 0	Watershed HUC# (if known) Goal(s) 11000001, 1 # of structures 0 Coastal? No Local? No	12100203 1000002 Critical facilities 0

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability


Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability	ſBD
Funding source	TBD			





FME Area

	0	ent Eva							
Title City of Wimb	oerley Valley Drive a	t Pierce Creek l	ow Water Crossin	g Project Planning	REGIO		JAI		LUPE
ID# 111000084						REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLAN	NNING GROU
Sponsor (name of	f entity, not person)	Wimberley (M	unicipality)						
RFPG recommend	1? Yes	Reason for F	Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning								
New Hydrologic o	or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models i	in near te	rm? Yes
New Hydrologic o County Hays	or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N Watershed HUC#			g/Anticipate	d models i	in near te	rm? Yes
County Hays	or Hydraulic model? uare miles, est.) 0	Yes	Watershed HUC#		203	g/Anticipate	d models i	in near te	rm? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Sq	.7 	Yes	Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 121002	203	g/Anticipated	d models i	in near te	rm? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood	uare miles, est.) 0	Yes	Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 121002 11000001, 1100000	203	g/Anticipated Critical facili		in near te	rm? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood Population at risk	uare miles, est.) 0		Watershed HUC# Goal(s)	# (if known) 121002 11000001, 1100000	203				rm? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood Population at risk Flood risk type:	uare miles, est.) 0 I <b>Risk Summary</b> -		Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 121002 11000001, 1100000	203 2 Playa?	Critical facili	ities -		rm? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood Population at risk Flood risk type:	uare miles, est.) 0 I Risk Summary - Riverine? Yes impacted (acres) -		Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 121002 11000001, 1100000 - Local? No	203 2 Playa? cted (length)	Critical facili No	ities -		rm? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Sq <b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land Number of low wa	uare miles, est.) 0 I Risk Summary - Riverine? Yes impacted (acres) -	Coa	Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 121002 11000001, 1100000 - Local? No Roadway(s) impac	203 2 Playa? cted (length)	Critical facili No	ities -		rm? Yes






#### t Evaluation (EN/E) Eland Ma

Flood Management E	valuation (Fl		DEOLO					
Title City of Wimberley Flite Acres Road Low W		REGIO	Gl			UPE		
ID# 111000085				REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP	
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Wimberle	ey (Municipality)							
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	o for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWI	DB requirer	ments				
								-
Study Details								
Study type Project Planning								
Study description Project planning for propose	ed project to replace low wa	ater crossing at Flite <i>i</i>	Acres Road					
	ed project to replace low wa			g/Anticipated	d models i	in near term	? Yes	
Study description Project planning for propose		d? No			d models i	in near term	? Yes	
Study description Project planning for propose New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need Watershed HUC# (if	d? No			d models i	in near term	? Yes	
Study description Project planning for propose New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 <b>100-Year Flood Risk Summary</b>	Emergency Need Watershed HUC# (if Goal(s) 110	d? No known) 12100203	Existing	g/Anticipated		in near term	? Yes	
Study description Project planning for propose New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Emergency Need Watershed HUC# (if	d? No known) 12100203	Existing			in near term	? Yes	
Study description Project planning for propose New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 <b>100-Year Flood Risk Summary</b>	Emergency Need Watershed HUC# (if Goal(s) 110 # of structures 4	d? No known) 12100203	Existing	g/Anticipated			? Yes	
Study description Project planning for propose New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 <b>100-Year Flood Risk Summary</b> Population at risk 11	Emergency Need Watershed HUC# (if Goal(s) 110 # of structures 4 Coastal? No Lo	d? No known) 12100203 000001, 11000002	Existing Playa?	g/Anticipated	ties 0		? Yes	


### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD	
Funding source	TBD			





	0		aluation (							
Title City of Wimb	berley FM 1492 at P	ierce Creek Lov	w Water Crossing P	Project Planning	REGIO		JAI	DA	LUF	PE
ID# 111000086						REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLA	NNING G	ROUP
Sponsor (name of	f entity, not person)	Wimberley (N	lunicipality)							
RFPG recommend	d? Yes	Reason for	Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments				
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
New Hydrologic o	or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	Veed? No	Existin	g/Anticipated	d models	in near te	erm? Yes	
New Hydrologic o County Hays	or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N Watershed HUC			g/Anticipatec	d models	in near te	erm? Yes	
County Hays	or Hydraulic model? guare miles, est.) 0	Yes	Watershed HUC		203	g/Anticipatec	d models	in near te	erm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq		Yes	Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100	203	g/Anticipatec	d models	in near to	erm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood	uare miles, est.) 0	Yes	Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100: 11000001, 1100000	203	g/Anticipatec		in near to	erm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq 100-Year Flood Population at risk	uare miles, est.) 0		Watershed HUC Goal(s)	# (if known) 12100: 11000001, 1100000	203 2				erm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq <b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk Flood risk type:	uare miles, est.) 0 I Risk Summary 10		Watershed HUC Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 12100: 11000001, 1100000	203 2 Playa?	Critical facili	ties 0		erm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq <b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk Flood risk type:	I Risk Summary 10 Riverine? Yes impacted (acres)		Watershed HUC Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 12100: 11000001, 1100000 3 Local? No	203 2 Playa? cted (length)	Critical facili No	ties 0		erm? Yes	
County Hays Drainage area (Sq <b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land Number of low w	I Risk Summary 10 Riverine? Yes impacted (acres)	Coa	Watershed HUC Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 12100; 11000001, 1100000 3 Local? No Roadway(s) impac	203 2 Playa? cted (length)	Critical facili No	ties 0		erm? Yes	




Funding source TBD




FME Area

#### Elood Man + Evaluation (ENAE)

	anagen		valuation (	(	DEOLO				
Fitle City of Wimbe	erley Wilson Cre	ek at River Roa	ad Low Water Crossing	g Project Planning	REGIO	G	UAD		
D# 111000087						REG	SIONAL FLOO	D PLANNI	NG GROUP
ponsor (name of e	entity, not perso	n) Wimberley	(Municipality)						
RFPG recommend?	Yes	Reason f	for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	NDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Sludy Details									
	Project Planning	ł							
Study type	Project Planning Project planning		l project to replace lov	w water crossing at Wi	lson Creek at	River Roa	əd		
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or	Project planninį	; for proposed	Emergency N	Veed? No	Existin		ad ated models in	near term?	Yes
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or	Project planninį	; for proposed	Emergency N		Existin			near term?	Yes
Study type	Project planning Hydraulic mode	; for proposed	Emergency N Watershed HUC	Veed? No	Existin			near term?	Yes
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or County <mark>Hays</mark> Drainage area (Squ	Project planning Hydraulic mode are miles, est.)	; for proposed !? Yes 0	Emergency N Watershed HUC	leed? No # (if known) 1210020	Existin			near term?	Yes
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or County Hays Drainage area (Squ <b>100-Year Flood I</b>	Project planning Hydraulic mode are miles, est.) <b>Risk Summar</b>	; for proposed !? Yes 0	Emergency N Watershed HUC	Need? No # (if known) 1210020 11000001, 11000002	Existin		ated models in	near term?	Yes
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or County Hays Drainage area (Squ <b>100-Year Flood I</b> Population at risk	Project planning Hydraulic mode are miles, est.) <b>Risk Summar</b>	; for proposed  ? Yes 0	Emergency N Watershed HUC Goal(s)	Need? No # (if known) 1210020 11000001, 11000002	Existin 03	g/Anticipa	ated models in	_	Yes
Study type Study description New Hydrologic or County Hays	Project planning Hydraulic mode are miles, est.) Risk Summar - Riverine? Yes	; for proposed ? Yes 0	Emergency N Watershed HUC Goal(s) # of structures	Veed? No # (if known) 1210020 11000001, 11000002	Existin 03 Playa?	g/Anticipa Critical fa	ated models in	_	Yes








FIOOU Management E	valuation	FIVIE)		
Title City of Wimberley Green Acres Dr. at Fire S Planning	Station Low Water Cross	ing Project	REGIO	GUADALUPE
ID# 111000088				REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Wimberle	ey (Municipality)			
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB require	ments
Study Details				
Study type Project Planning				
Study description Project planning for propose	d project to replace low	v water crossing at Gro	een Acres Dr.	at Fire Station
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 1210020	03	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002		
<b>100-Year Flood Risk Summary</b> Population at risk	# of structures			Critical facilities -
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No Other? No
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impact	ed (length)	
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road clos	ures	-

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD			






#### ement Evaluation (ENIE) Elood Man

Flood Management E	valuation (I	FIVIE)		100			
Title City of Wimberley Leveritt's Loop Low Wa	ning	REGIO		JAI	DAL		
ID# 111000089				REGIC	NAL FLU	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Wimberle	ey (Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments			
				1			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning for propose	ed project to replace low	water crossing at Leve	ritt's Loop				
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models	in near term	? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s) 1	1000001, 11000002					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 16	# of structures 9	)		Critical facili	ties 0		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacted (length) 0					
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road closur	es				

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD	
Funding source	TBD			





11000	unugernei		unducion	(1111)					
Title City of Wimb Planning	erley Spoke Hollow D	e Pile Creek Low Wat	er Crossing Project	REGIO				UPE	
ID# 111000090						REGIC	MAL FLU	OD PLAININ	ING GROOP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) W	/imberley	(Municipality)		_				
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB require	ments			
							_		
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning								
Study description	Project planning for p	proposed	d project to replace lo	w water crossing at Spo	oke Hollow D	r. at Spoke F	ile Creek		
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model? Ye	es	Emergency	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models	in near term	? Yes
County Hays			Watershed HU	C# (if known) 1210020	03				
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.) 0		Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	0		# of structures	0		Critical facil	ities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres) 0			Roadway(s) impact	ed (length)	0			


#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

1

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		

Historical road closures





FIOOU Manage	sment c	valuation	FIVIE)					
				REGIO	N			
Title City of Wimberley River Planning	load at Western C	ity Limit Low Water Cro	ossing Project	11	Gl	JAI	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000091					REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLANNI	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not p	erson) Wimberle	y (Municipality)		_				
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	VDB require	ments			
Study Details								
Study type Project Pla	nning							
Study description Project pla	nning for propose	d project to replace low	v water crossing at Rive	er Road at W	/estern City L	imit		
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic r	nodel? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existing	g/Anticipated	d models i	in near term?	? Yes
County Hays		Watershed HUC#	(if known) 1210020	3				
Drainage area (Square miles, e	st.) 0	Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002					
100-Year Flood Risk Sum	nary							
Population at risk		# of structures	-		Critical facili	ties -		
Flood risk type: Riverine?	Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (a	cres) -		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	0			


#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

0

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		

Historical road closures





\_

FIOOD IVI	anagemen	it Evaluation (	FIVIE)	DECION			
Title City of Wimb	erley Paradise Hills Lo	w Water Crossing Project Plann	ing	REGION	<b>GUAI</b> REGIONAL FLO		UPE
ID# 111000092					REGIONAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) W	imberley (Municipality)		_			
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimun	n TWDB requireme	nts		
Study Details				a construction of the second se			
Study type	Project Planning						
Study description	Project planning for p	proposed project to replace low	v water crossing at	Paradise Hills			
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model? Ye	s Emergency N	eed? No	Existing/A	nticipated models i	n near term?	Yes
County Hays		Watershed HUC#	(if known) 1210	0203			
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000001, 110000	002			
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary						
Population at risk		# of structures	•	Cri	tical facilities		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other?	No	


Roadway(s) impacted (length)

Historical road closures

Amount of Available Funding TBD

0

\_



1

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -

**Estimated Cost and Funding Availability** 

\$100,000

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost

Funding source TBD



Federal funding availability TBD

FIOOU IVIAIIa	gement E	valuation						
Title City of Wimberley Ri	ver Road Reconstructio	on Project Planning		REGIO		JA[		JPE
ID# 111000093					REGION	IAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, r	ot person) Wimberle	y (Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWD	B require	ments			
Study Details								
Study type Project	t Planning							
Study description Project	planning for proposed	d project to reconstruct	roadway along Blanco F	River				
New Hydrologic or Hydrau	lic model? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipated	models i	n near term?	Yes
County Hays		Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203					
Drainage area (Square mil	es, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Se	ummary							
Population at risk 43		# of structures	23		Critical facilit	ies 0		
Flood risk type: Riveri	ne? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 4

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				

Roadway(s) impacted (length) 2

Historical road closures

\_






Flood Management		FIVIE)					
Title City of Wimberley Little Ranches at Pantl	ner Creek Low Water Cros		REGIO		1 ^ 1		
Planning			11	G	JAI	JAL	UPE NG GROUP
ID# 111000094				REGIC	)NAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Wimber	ley (Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes Reaso	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWI	DB require	ments			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning for propos	sed project to reconstruct	low water crossing and	at Little Rand	ches at Par	nther Creek		
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	eed? No	Existing	g/Anticipate	d models i	in near term?	Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk	# of structures -	ř.		Critical facil	ities -		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacted	(length)	-			
Number of low water crossings -	1	Historical road closure	es	-			

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





#### **F** 1 ad N/ 1 1.1

	Evaluation (						
Title City of Wimberley Hoots Holler Low Wat	ng	REGIO	GL			UPE	
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Wimber	lev (Municipality)						
	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments			
interconnenti les incuse	in or recommendation	Weets minimum Tw	Db requirer	inents			
Study Details							
Study type Project Planning							
Study description Project planning for proposed project to reconstruct low water crossing and roadway at Hoots Holler							
	5			/4			
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne		7	g/Anticipated	models i	in near term	? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203	7	g/Anticipated	models i	in near term	? Yes
	Watershed HUC#		7	g/Anticipated	models i	in near term	? Yes
County Hays	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203	7	g/Anticipated	models i	in near term	? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203 11000001, 11000002		g/Anticipated		in near term	? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 100-Year Flood Risk Summary	Watershed HUC# Goal(s) 1	(if known) 12100203 11000001, 11000002		Critical faciliti			? Yes
County Hays Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 100-Year Flood Risk Summary Population at risk -	Watershed HUC# Goal(s) 1 # of structures	(if known) 12100203 11000001, 11000002	Playa?	Critical faciliti	ies -		? Yes

### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		

ts Holler	



FME Area


FIOOU IVIAIIA	igement c	valuation	FIVIE)								
	Title Comal County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning										
ID# 111000097						REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP					
Sponsor (name of entity, r	not person) Comal (C	ounty)									
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reasor	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB require	ments						
Study Details											
Study type Project	Planning										
Study description Project right o		low water crossings wit er Road for first respon		levated road	dways where	feasible.	Acquire easer	nent and/or			
New Hydrologic or Hydrau	lic model? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models i	n near term?	Yes			
County Comal		Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 1210020	3, 12100202	2, 12100201						
Drainage area (Square mil	es, est.) 573	Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002								
100-Year Flood Risk Su	ummary										
Population at risk		# of structures	-		Critical facil	ities -					
Flood risk type: Riveri	ne? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No				
Farm/Ranch land impacte	d (acres)		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	-						
Number of low water cros	sings -		Historical road closu	ures	-						
Estimated Cost and Fu	unding Availability										


Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





						M			
Title Comal County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning			Project Planning		REGION GUADALUF REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GR				JPE
ID# 111000098					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROU				
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Comal (Co	ounty)						
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB require	ments			
						-			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning								
Study description	Project planning to land to open(gree		e repetitive losses along	the Guadalupe Rive	er by acquirin	g flood	I damaged struct	tures and con	verting acquired
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency Ne	eed? No	Existin	g/Anti	cipated models i	n near term?	Yes
County Comal			Watershed HUC#	(if known) 121002	203, 12100202	2, 1210	00201		
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 57	3	Goal(s)	11000003, 11000004	4, 11000009, 3	11000	010		
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	opulation at risk		# of structures -			Critica	al facilities -		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impa		acted (length) -					
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road clo		osures -					
Estimated Cost	and Funding Av	ailability							








					DECH	REGION					
Title Comal County Retention Dam Project Planning				REGI	GUADALUP REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GRO						
ID# 111000099							REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP				
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Comal (Cou	inty)								
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason f	or Recommendation	Meets mini	mum TWDB requir	ement	ts				
			_								
Study Details											
Study type	Project Planning										
Study description	Project planning fo unincorporated are		project to design and ounty.	construct 4 re	etention dams to as	sist in	controlling flash f	flooding in m	unicipalities and		
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existi	ng/An	ticipated models i	in near term	? Yes		
County Comal			Watershed HUC#	t (if known)	12100203, 1210020	02, 121	100201				
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 57	3	Goal(s)	11000009, 11	000010						
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary										
Population at risk -			# of structures -			Criti	cal facilities -				
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	(	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa	No	Other?	No			
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -				Roadway(s) impacted (length) -							
Number of low water crossings -			Historical road closures		-						
Estimated Cost	and Funding Ava	ailability									


Total Cost	\$8,000,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		





11000 101	unugen		valuation	( IVIL)	REGIO	N				
Title City of Cuero City Public Service Station Pr			Project Planning		11		GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP			
ID# 111000102						REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP			NG GROUP	
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Cuero (M	unicipality)							
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB require	ments				
			_							
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning	ş								
Study description			d project to retrofit or fl nunity offices and critica				under ren	ovation. Facil	ity will serve as	
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency Ne	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	d models i	in near term?	Yes	
County De Witt			Watershed HUC#	(if known) 1210020	4, 12100202	!				
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	7	Goal(s)	11000015, 11000016						
100-Year Flood	Risk Summar	/								
Population at risk 5,110		# of structures 1,991			Critical facil	lities 12				
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 116		Roadway(s) imp		acted (length) 35						
Number of low water crossings 2		Historical road c		osures -						
Estimated Cost	and Funding /	Availability								

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		






Title Dewitt County Drainage District	Channel Improvements Project Pla	GUADALUPE	
ID# 111000104		REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROU	Ρ
Sponsor (name of entity, not person)	Dewitt County Drainage District 1		
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWDB requirements	
			_
Chall Data ile			

Study Detail	S
--------------	---

Study type	Project Planning	g								
Study description			ed project to install dro lizing banks and holding				drainage (	channels to c	ontrol floodin	g and erosion.
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes			Emergency I	Need? No		Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes				
County De Witt			Watershed HUC	# (if known)	12100204,	12100202	2			
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.)	7	Goal(s)	11000009, 1	1000010					
<b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk		y	# of structures	1,991			Critical fa	acilities 12		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	impacted (acres)	116		Roadway(	s) impacted	(length)	35			
Number of low wa	ater crossings	2		Historical	road closure	s	-			
Estimated Cost	and Funding	Availability	/							

	+	8	 <b>o</b> ,	100000
Funding source	TBD			





0			· · ·	REGIO	N			
Title City of Cuero WWTP Floo	Iproofing Projec	ct Planning		11	G	GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP		
ID# 111000103					REG	IONAL FLOOD PLA	NNING GROUP	
Sponsor (name of entity, not p	erson) Cuero (N	Iunicipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
					1			
Study Details								
Study type Project Plan	ning							
Study description Project plar	ning to floodpro	oof/retrofit older compo	onents of the Cuero	Wastewater Tre	eatment P	lant subject to floodi	ng.	
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic m	odel? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ted models in near te	erm? Yes	
County De Witt		Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100204					
Drainage area (Square miles, e	st.) O	Goal(s)	11000015, 110000	16				
100-Year Flood Risk Summ	nary							
Population at risk 2		# of structures	4		Critical fa	cilities 0		
Flood risk type: Riverine?	<b>Y</b> es	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (ac	res) 2		Roadway(s) impa	acted (length)	-			
Number of low water crossing	-		Historical road cl	osures	-			
Estimated Cost and Fundi	ng Availability	/						

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		





FIOOD IVI	anagement	Evaluation	FIVIE)						
Title DeWitt Coun	ty (City of Nordheim) Flas	h Flood Mitigation Project	Planning	REGIO		DALUPE			
ID# 111000105					REGIONAL FL	OOD PLANNING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) Nordh	eim (Municipality)							
RFPG recommend	? Yes Reas	on for Recommendation	Meets minimu	nimum TWDB requirements					
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning								
Study description		osed project to construct r and impacting community				from flash floods onto			
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existing	/Anticipated model	s in near term? Yes			
County De Witt		Watershed HUC	# (if known) 1210	00204					
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000	010					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	-	# of structures	-		Critical facilities -				
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No Other	? No			
Farm/Ranch land i	impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) imp	oacted (length)	-				
Number of low wa	ater crossings -		Historical road	closures	-				


#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





11000111	unugen	iene i	LVuluution	(11112)	REGIO	N				
Title Gillespie Cou	inty Low Water C	rossing Imp	rovements Project Plan	ning	11		GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP			
ID# 111000106						REG	JONAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP	
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Gillespie	(County)		-					
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reaso	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments				
						-				
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning	3								
			utomatic warning signs							
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency Need? No Exis			xisting/Anticipated models in near term? Yes				
County Gillespie			Watershed HUC	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203, 12100201						
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.)	1,057	Goal(s)	11000001, 1100000	2					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summar	V								
Population at risk	22		# of structures	8		Critical fa	cilities 0			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land	impacted (acres)	348		Roadway(s) impa	cted (length)	0				
Number of low wa	ater crossings	0		Historical road closur		-				
Estimated Cost	and Funding	Availabilit	y							
Total Cost	\$50,000	A	mount of Available Fun	ding TBD	Fe	deral fun	ding availabil	ity TBD		




Funding source TBD




				/	REGIO	N				
Title Gonzales Co	ounty Voluntary Buy	out Progra	m Project Planning		11	17	UAI	DAL		
ID# 111000107						IING GROUP				
Sponsor (name o	f entity, not person)	Gillespie	(County)		-					
RFPG recommen	d? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	m TWDB requirements					
Study Details										
Study type	Project Planning									
Study description	Project planning t	o develop	and implement a progra	m to buyout NFIP repe	etitive loss p	roperties.				
1 1721 1920 - 1911	or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency Ne				ed models i	n near term	1? Yes	
County Gonzale				(if known) 1210020	3, 12100201					
Drainage area (So	quare miles, est.) 1	057	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010						
100-Year Flood	d Risk Summary									
Population at risk	< 22		# of structures	3		Critical fac	ilities 0			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land	impacted (acres) 3	48		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	0				
Number of low w	vater crossings C			Historical road closu	ires	-				
Estimated Cos	t and Funding Av	ailability								
Total Cost	\$150,000	An	nount of Available Fundir	ng TBD	Fe	deral fund	ing availabil	ity TBD		
Funding source	TBD									





	0		valuation (	(111)	-				
Title Guadalupe C	ounty Voluntary	Buyout Progr	ram Project Planning		REGIO	G			UPE
ID# 111000110						REGI	ONAL FLO	OD PLANN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Guadalup	e (County)						
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning	z							
	risk vacant land		n as open space.						
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models i	in near term	1? Yes
N. 17.	đ	I? Yes	Emergency N Watershed HUC#		Existin 203, 12100202	50 V.	ed models i	in near term	n? Yes
New Hydrologic o County Guadalup Drainage area (Squ	be		Watershed HUC#		0203, 12100202	50 V.	ed models i	in near term	1? Yes
County Guadalup Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	713	Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 12100	0203, 12100202	50 V.	ed models i	in near term	1? Yes
County Guadalup Drainage area (Squ 100-Year Flood	uare miles, est.) Risk Summary	713	Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 12100 11000009, 110000	0203, 12100202	50 V.		in near term	1? Yes
County Guadalup Drainage area (Squ 100-Year Flood Population at risk	uare miles, est.) Risk Summary	713	Watershed HUC Goal(s)	# (if known) 12100 11000009, 110000	0203, 12100202	Critical fac			1? Yes
County Guadalup Drainage area (Squ 100-Year Flood Population at risk Flood risk type:	Risk Summary 2,570 Riverine? Yes	713 Y	Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 12100 11000009, 110000 1,649	D203, 12100202 10 Playa?	Critical fac	ilities 4		1? Yes
County Guadalup	Risk Summary 2,570 Riverine? Yes impacted (acres)	713 Y	Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 12100 11000009, 110000 1,649 Local? No	2203, 12100202 10 Playa? acted (length)	Critical fac	ilities 4		1? Yes
County Guadalup Drainage area (Squ <b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land i	Risk Summary 2,570 Riverine? Yes impacted (acres) ater crossings	713 101,450 55	Watershed HUC# Goal(s) # of structures	# (if known) 12100 11000009, 110000 1,649 Local? No Roadway(s) impa	2203, 12100202 10 Playa? acted (length)	Critical fac	ilities 4		1? Yes




Funding source TBD



FME Area

FIOOU Managem	ient c	valuation							
Title Guadalupe County LWC Projec	t Planning				REGIO				UPE NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not persor		(Country)							
			ll.						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets mir	nimum TW	DB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type Project Planning									
Study description Project planning	for propose	d project to mark and p	olace electric	gates at lo	w water cro	ossings.			
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model	? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No		Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes				
County Guadalupe		Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100203, 12100202						
Drainage area (Square miles, est.)	713	Goal(s)	11000001, 1	1000002					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary	1								
Population at risk 2,570		# of structures	1,649			Critical fac	cilities 4		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)	101,450		Roadway(s	s) impacted	d (length)	124			
Number of low water crossings		Historical road closures -							
Estimated Cost and Funding A	vailability								

Total Cost \$2,000,000 Amount of Available Funding TBD Federal funding availability TBD Funding source TBD





FME Area

	nageme		valuation		REGIO	N			
Title Hays County Har	den Critical Infra	structure	Project Planning		11		UA	DAL	
ID# 111000113						REG	IONAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of ent	ity, not person)	Hays (Cou	nty)		-				
RFPG recommend?	es	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB requirer	ments			
Study Details									
Study type Pro	oject Planning								
			ew structures are struc il compaction and pro			azards. To	include, floc	d-proofing (	if needed),
New Hydrologic or Hy	draulic model?	Yes	Emergency I	Need? No	Existing	g/Anticipa	ted models i	n near term	? Yes
County Hays			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100	203				
Drainage area (Square	e miles, est.) 676	5	Goal(s)	11000015, 1100001	16				
100-Year Flood Ris	k Summary								
Population at risk 2,5	570		# of structures	1,649		Critical fa	cilities 4		
Flood risk type: Ri	iverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land imp	acted (acres) 10	1,450		Roadway(s) impa	icted (length)	124			
Number of low water	crossings 55			Historical road cl	osures	-			
Estimated Cost an	d Funding Ava	ilability							
Total Cost \$10	0.000	Am	ount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fe	deral fund	ing availabili	ty TBD	



Funding source TBD



Title Hays County Drainage Project Planning (Willow Springs Creek between McCarty Lane and Hunter Road)



ID# 111000114

Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Hays (County)

RFPG recommend? Yes


Reason for Recommendation

Meets minimum TWDB requirements

#### **Study Details**

Study type Proje	ect Planning							
	ect planning for channe arty Lane to Hunter Roa		property acquisition pro	ject to redu	ice flood da	amages alor	ng Willow Spri	ngs Creek from
New Hydrologic or Hydr	raulic model? Yes	Emergency	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models i	in near term?	Yes
County Hays		Watershed HUC	C# (if known) 12100203	3				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 1 Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010								
100-Year Flood Risk	Summary							
Population at risk 3		# of structures	4		Critical fac	ilities 0		
Flood risk type: Rive	erine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impac	ted (acres)		Roadway(s) impacted	d (length)	-			
Number of low water cr	rossings -		Historical road closu	res	-			
Estimated Cost and	-	nount of Available Fund	ding TRD	Fo	ideral fundi	ng availabil		

Funding source TBD





FME Area

Title Hays County Drainage Project Planning (Willow Springs Creek between Hunter Rd and the Railroad)



#### ID# 111000115

Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Hays (County)

RFPG recommend? Yes


Reason for Recommendation

Meets minimum TWDB requirements

#### **Study Details**

Study type	Project Planning									
Study description	Project planning	for detentio	n project to reduce flo	od damages	along Willow	Springs (	Creek from	Hunter Roa	ad to the railro	bad.
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model	? Yes	Emergency N	Need? No		Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models	in near term?	Yes
County Hays			Watershed HUC	# (if known)	12100203					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0 Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010										
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary	,								
Population at risk	8		# of structures	3			Critical fac	ilities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	8		Roadway(	(s) impacted (l	ength)	0			
Number of low wa	ter crossings	0		Historical	road closures		-			
Estimated Cost	and Funding A	vailability								
Total Cost	\$1,200,000	Am	ount of Available Fund			Fe	deral fund	ing ayailahil		


Funding source TBD






FIDUU IVIA	anagen		valuation (	FIVIE)					
Title Hays County S	outheastern Pr	operty Acqu	iisition Project Planning		REGIO				JPE
ID# 111000116						REGI	UNAL FLU	OD FLANNI	NG GROOP
Sponsor (name of e	entity, not perso	h) Hays (Co	unty)		_				
RFPG recommend?	Yes	Reasor	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	/DB require	ments			
Study Details									
	Project Planning								
Study description Project planning for property acquisition project to mitigate repetitive loss flooding where drainage projects were analyzed and deemed ineffective for cost/ benefit reasons in southeastern Hays County.									
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic mode	? Yes	Emergency Ne	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models i	n near term?	Yes
County Hays			Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203	3, 12100202	2			
Drainage area (Squa	are miles, est.)	49	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood F	Risk Summary	,							
Population at risk	10,645		# of structures	1,420		Critical fac	ilities 12		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (acres)	1,058		Roadway(s) impacte	d (length)	25			
Number of low wat	er crossings	14		Historical road closu	res	-			
Estimated Cost a	and Funding /	vailability	,						

Total Cost	\$800,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





				/	REGIO	N			
Title Hays County	Community Floo	d Mitigatior	n Project Planning				UAI	DAL	
ID# 111000118						REG	IONAL FLO	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Hays (Co	unty)						
RFPG recommend	l? Yes	Reaso	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Project Planning	g							
Study description	Hays County Co	mmunity Flo	ood Mitigation Project Pl	lanning					
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ted models	in near term	? Yes
County Hays			Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 12100	203				
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.)	676	Goal(s)	11000009, 1100001	10				
100-Year Flood	Risk Summar	/							
Population at risk	10,645		# of structures	1,420		Critical fa	cilities 12		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land	impacted (acres)	1,058		Roadway(s) impa	cted (length)	25			
Number of low w	ater crossings	14		Historical road cl	osures	-			
Estimated Cost	and Funding	Availability	/						
Total Cost \$238,035 Am		nount of Available Fundi	Federal funding availability TBD						





Funding source TBD



FME Area

nood managemen		(1111)	DECIO	NI		
Title Kerr County Center Point Storm Dra	inage Infrastructure Project Pl	lanning	REGIO		JADA	LUPE
ID# 111000122				REGIO	NAL FLOOD PLAI	NNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Ker	r (County)					
RFPG recommend? Yes F	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	/DB require	ments		
Study Details						
Study type Project Planning						
Study description Project planning to co	nstruct new storm drainage ir	nfrastructure to reduce	the potentia	al impacts of	future flood event	s.
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipated	l models in near te	rm? Yes
County Kerr	Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 1210020	1			
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 1,103	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010				
100-Year Flood Risk Summary						
Population at risk 11,538	# of structures	3,833		Critical facilit	ties 6	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 28,07	0	Roadway(s) impacte	d (length)	124		
Number of low water crossings 158		Historical road closu	ires	-		
Estimated Cost and Funding Availa	bility					
Total Cost \$125,000	Amount of Available Fundi	ing TBD	Fe	deral funding	gavailability TBD	
Funding source TBD						





noou munugement	Evaluation		REGION	
Title Travis County Voluntary Buyout Progra	m Project Planning			JADALUPE
ID# 111000126			REGIO	NAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Travis	(County)		-	
RFPG recommend? Yes Rea	son for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	OB requirements	
Study Details				
Study type Project Planning				
Study description Project planning to ident unincorporated Travis Co		es for elevation as flood	itigation. Elevate flood	prone structures throughout
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency	Need? No	Existing/Anticipated	I models in near term? Yes
County Travis	Watershed HUC	C# (if known) 1210020		
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 1,021	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010		
100-Year Flood Risk Summary				
Population at risk 18	# of structures	7	Critical facili	ties 0
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 99		Roadway(s) impact	(length) 0	
Number of low water crossings 1		Historical road close	es -	
Estimated Cost and Funding Availabi	lity			
Total Cost \$300,000	Amount of Available Fund	ding TBD	Federal funding	gavailability TBD

Amount of Available Funding TBD -ederal funding availability TBC \$300,000 Funding source TBD





FME Area


Title Victoria County Drainage Improv	ements around County EOC Proje	ect Planning	REGION GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP					
Sponsor (name of entity, not person)								
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB requirer	ments				
Study Details								
Study type Project Planning								
Study description Project planning to	improve drainage around Count	ty EOC and flood-proof fa	acilities as r	necessary.				
Now Hydrologic or Hydroulic model2	Vec Emorganou A		Eviction	Anticipat	od modols i	n noor torm?	) Vec	
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model?				улистран	ed models i	n near term?	Yes	
County Victoria	Watershed HUC#							
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010						
100-Year Flood Risk Summary								
Population at risk	# of structures	•		Critical faci	ilities -			
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacted	d (length)	-				
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road closur	res	-				
Estimated Cost and Funding Ava	ailability							
Total Cost \$100,000	Amount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fee	deral fundi	ng availabil	ity TBD		
Funding source TBD								





FME Area


rioou mui	agenne		valuation (		DECIO	N.L			
Title Victoria County B	ridge Improven	nents Proje	ect Planning		REGIO				UPE
ID# 111000132									
Sponsor (name of entit	ty, not person)	Victoria (C	ounty)						
RFPG recommend? Ye	S	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type Proj	ject Planning								
	verts, wingback	walls, rip	rap, channelization, and	d road base improvem	ent.				
New Hydrologic or Hyd	fraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existin	g/Antici	pated models	in near term	Yes
County Victoria			Watershed HUC#	t (if known) 1210020	4, 12100403	3, 12100	303		
Drainage area (Square	miles, est.) 88	7	Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002,	11000015, 1	1100001	16		
100-Year Flood Risk			w.z c 1			2 22 17			
Population at risk 6,56	66		# of structures	1,808		Critical	facilities 49		
Flood risk type: Riv	verine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impa	cted (acres) 33	,696		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	99			
Number of low water of	crossings 5			Historical road closu	ures	-			
Estimated Cost and	Funding Ava	ailability							
Total Cost \$500	,000	Am	ount of Available Fundi	ing TBD	Fe	deral fu	nding availabi	lity TBD	



Funding source TBD



	tle Victoria County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning # 111000133						REGION GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP					
ID# 111000133						REGI	UNAL FLU	OD PLANN	ING GROUP			
Sponsor (name o	f entity, not person)	Victoria (	County)		-							
RFPG recommen	d? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	/DB require	ments						
Study Details												
Study type	Project Planning											
Study description	Project planning t	o impleme	ent a voluntary acquisitio	n program for repetiti	ve flood pro	perties.						
New Hydrologic	or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency Ne	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models	in near term	? Yes			
County Victoria			Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100204	4, 12100403	3, 1210030	3					
	quare miles, est.) 88	37		11000009, 11000010								
100-Year Flood	d Risk Summary											
Population at risk	6,566		# of structures	1,808		Critical fac	ilities 49					
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No				
Farm/Ranch land	impacted (acres) 3	3,696		Roadway(s) impacte	d (length)	99						
Number of low w	vater crossings 5			Historical road closu	ires	-						
Estimated Cos	t and Funding Av	ailability										
Total Cost	\$300,000	An	nount of Available Fundir	ng TBD	Fe	deral fundi	ng availabil	ity TBD				
Funding source	TBD						2/					






FME Area

FIOOU Manageme	ent ev	aluation	FIVIE)						
Title Wilson County Low Water Cross	ing Improver	nents Project Plannin	Ig	REGION GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP					
ID# 111000135							00101111		
Sponsor (name of entity, not person)	Wilson (Cou	nty)							
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason fo	r Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments				
		_							
Study Details									
udy type Project Planning									
Study description Project planning to upgrade infrastructure at low water crossings to provide unimpeded access during 100 year base flood event to facilitate evacuation and response by emergency vehicles									
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipated	d models i	n near term?	Yes	
County Wilson		Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 12100202	2					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 80	06	Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002						
100-Year Flood Risk Summary									
Population at risk 33		# of structures	18		Critical facili	ties 0			
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	с	oastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 2,	Roadway(s) impacted	d (length)	4						
Number of low water crossings 0			Historical road closur	res	-				
Estimated Cost and Funding Av	stimated Cost and Funding Availability								


Total Cost \$150,000 Amount of Available Funding TBD Federal funding availability TBD Funding source TBD





noou managen				REGIO	N			
Title Wilson County Voluntary Buy	out Program	Project Planning		11		UAI		JPE
ID# 111000136					REGI	ONAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not perso	n) Wilson (C	County)						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reasor	for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	/DB require	ments			
		_						
Study Details								
Study type Project Plannin	g							
Study description Project plannin program to add		n of a voluntary aquistion ve loss, floodprone prop				on program,	, and structure	e elevation
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic mod	el? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models i	in near term?	Yes
County Wilson		Watershed HUC#	(if known) 1210020	2				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.)	806	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summar	У							
Population at risk 33		# of structures	18		Critical fac	ilities 0		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)	2,120		Roadway(s) impacte	d (length)	4			
Number of low water crossings	0		Historical road closu	ires	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding	Availability							


Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





Title Emergency power generators project planning	at critical infr	astructure/key resourc	e locations	REGIO	7.5		)ALI	JPE
ID# 111000137					REG	SIONAL FLOO	D PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not perso	n) Blanco (Co	unty)						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason f	or Recommendation	Meets minimum TV	VDB require	ments			
					-			
Study Details								
Study type Project Planning	I							
Study description Project planning	to install em	ergency generators at	critical facilities to pro	vide back-up	power fr	om hazard eve	ents.	
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existing	g/Anticipa	ated models in	near term?	Yes
County Blanco		Watershed HUC#	t (if known) 1210020	3, 12100201				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.)	711	Goal(s)	11000015, 11000016					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary	/							
Population at risk 299		# of structures	167		Critical fa	cilities 0		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)	4,092		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	14			
Number of low water crossings	30		Historical road closu	ures	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding A								


Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FIOOU Managem	entev	aluation	FIVIE)	DEOLO				
Title Cypress Creek Regional detenti	on			REGIO	2.77	UA	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000138					REGI	ONAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person	) Kendall (Co	unty)						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason fo	or Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments			
Study Details								
Study type Project Planning								
Study description Regional detention provide enhanced			Il reduce flooding throu	gh the uni	ncorporate	d town of C	omfort, TX aı	nd possibly
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model?	? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models i	n near term?	Yes
County Kendall		Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100201					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 3		Goal(s)	11000003, 11000004					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary Population at risk 882		# of structures	439		Critical fac	ilities 0		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?		Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)			Roadway(s) impacted		11	e liter i	110	
Number of low water crossings			Historical road closur	St 22 St	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding A	vailability							

Total Cost	\$113,855	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





Title Center Point ISD D	Drainage Imp	provement	s Study			- 7	GUA	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000009						KE	GIONAL FL	JOD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entit	y, not perso	n) Center	Point ISD						
RFPG recommend? Yes	s	Reas	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type Wat	ershed Plan	ning							
Study description Stud	dy of solutio	ns to cons	ruct new storm drainage	infrastructure to rea	duce the poten	itial impa	acts of future	flood events.	
New Hydrologic or Hyd	raulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency i	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticip	pated models	in near term	? Yes
County Kerr			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100	201				
Drainage area (Square	miles, est.)	96	Goal(s)	11000009, 1100001	10				
100-Year Flood Risk	Summary	/							
Population at risk 823			# of structures	462		Critical	facilities 0		
Flood risk type: Riv	erine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impa	cted (acres)	4,928		Roadway(s) impa	cted (length)	23			
Number of low water c	rossings	16		Historical road cl	osures	-			
Estimated Cost and	Funding A	Availabilit	Ξγ						
Total Cost \$100	,000	ļ	mount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fe	deral fur	nding availab	ility TBD	



Funding source TBD




ID# 111000004			Drainage and Utility y Emergency Service		1	REGION	GUADA REGIONAL FLOOD F		JPE IG GROUP
RFPG recommend?	Yes	Reason for R	ecommendation	Meets mi	nimum '	TWDB requiremen	its		
Study Details									
Study type	Watershed Plannin	g							
Study description	Develop a drainage	e and utility pla	n.						
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model?	No	Emergency Nee	ed? No		Existing/Ar	nticipated models in nea	ar term?	Yes
County Caldwell,H	lays		Watershed HUC# (	if known)	121002	203			
Drainage area (Squ	are miles, est.) 11	1	Goal(s) 11	1000009, 1	100001	0			

100-Year Flood F	Risk Summary	y						
Population at risk	289		# of structures	136		Critical fac	ilities 0	
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (acres)	4,873		Roadway(s) impact	ed (length)	11		
Number of low wat	ter crossings	13		Historical road clos	ures	-		

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






REGION Title Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3 River Crossing Improvements Study GUADA REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP ID# 111000005 Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3 RFPG recommend? Yes Reason for Recommendation Meets minimum TWDB requirements **Study Details** Study type Watershed Planning Study description Study solutions to upgrade river crossings throughout the district including but not limited to Scull Road Bridge. New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes Emergency Need? No Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203 County Caldwell Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 24 Goal(s) 11000001, 11000002

#### **100-Year Flood Risk Summary** Critical facilities 1 Population at risk 1,390 # of structures 465 Local? No Coastal? No Playa? No Flood risk type: **Riverine?** Yes Other? No Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 3,124 Roadway(s) impacted (length) 13 Number of low water crossings 5 Historical road closures

#### **Estimated Cost and Funding Availability**

Total Cost	\$1,000,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





	0.000				R	EGIO	N			
Title Blanco Count	y Low Water Cro	ssing Improv	vements Study			11		UAI	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000001							REGI	UNAL FLU	OD PLANN	NG GKOUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Blanco (C	ounty)							
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets min	imum TWDB	requiren	nents			
Study Details										
Study type	Watershed Plan	ning								
Study description			e and/or raise low wate elevation and improver						South Description and second	most severely
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic mode	I? No	Emergency N	leed? No		Existing	/Anticipate	ed models i	in near term	Yes
County Blanco			Watershed HUC	# (if known)	12100203, 12	100201				
Drainage area (Squ	are miles, est.)	711	Goal(s)	11000001, 11	.000002					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary	/								
Population at risk	299		# of structures	167			Critical faci	lities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	4,092		Roadway(s	) impacted (le	ength)	14			
Number of low wa	ter crossings	30		Historical r	oad closures		-			
Estimated Cost			and of Augilable Fund							

Total Cost	\$250,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		






#### at Evaluation (ENAE) Elaad Man

FIOOD IVIA	anagen	ient E	valuation (	FIVIE)		1010				
Title Blanco County	Soil Conservati	on Plan		REGION GUAD REGIONAL FLOOD				DAL	ALUPE	
ID# 111000002						REGIC	ONAL FLO	OD PLAN	NING GROUP	
Sponsor (name of e	ntity, not perso	n) Blanco (C	ounty)		_					
RFPG recommend?	Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum	n TWDB require	ments				
Study Details										
Study type	Watershed Plan	ning								
			an which provides infor , schedule for applying (					A DECEMBER OF THE OWNER OF	sessment of	
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes				m? Yes	
County Blanco			Watershed HUC#	JC# (if known) 12100203, 12100201						
Drainage area (Squa	are miles, est.)	711	Goal(s)	11000003, 110000	04					
100-Year Flood F	Risk Summary	/								
Population at risk	299		# of structures	167		Critical facil	ities 0			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (acres)	4,092		Roadway(s) impa	acted (length)	14				
Number of low wat	er crossings	30		Historical road c	losures	-				

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		






FME Area

11000111	unageme		luation			DECIO	N.I.			
Title City of Bulve	rde Drainage Improve	ements Study				REGIO	Gl			UPE.
ID# 111000013							REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLANN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) B	ulverde (Mun	nicipality)							
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for R	Recommendation	Meets mi	nimum TW	DB require	ments			
Study Details										
Study type	Watershed Planning	5								
Study description	Study of solutions to and remove hazardo				mprove dra	inage chan	nels; clear-ou	ut existing	g drainage cl	hannels; survey
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	/es	Emergency N	Need? No		Existing	g/Anticipated	d models i	in near term	n? Yes
County Comal			Watershed HUC	# (if known)	12100202	, 12100201				
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 16		Goal(s)	11000009, 1	1000010					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary									
Population at risk	0		# of structures	0			Critical facili	ties 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coa	stal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres) 1			Roadway(	s) impacted	i (length)	1			
Number of low wa	ater crossings 1			Historical	road closur	es	-			


#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Fe	ederal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD			






FIOOU Management	Evaluation	FIVIC)	DECIO	A.				
Title City of Bulverde Local Flooding Study			REGIO	<b>GUADALUP</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GRC				
ID# 111000014				REG	GIONAL FLOOD PLANNII	NG GROUP		
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Bulver	de (Municipality)							
RFPG recommend? Yes Reas	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments				
	_							
Study Details								
Study type Watershed Planning								
Study description Study of solutions to elev								
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne			701 M.	ated models in near term?	Yes		
County Comal	Watershed HUC#		0202, 12100201					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 16	Goal(s)	11000009, 110000	10					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary								
Population at risk 0	# of structures (	0		Critical fa	acilities 0			
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No			
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 1		Roadway(s) impa	acted (length)	1				
Number of low water crossings 1		Historical road cl	losures	-				
Estimated Cost and Funding Availabili	ty							
Total Cost \$100,000	Amount of Available Fundir	ng TBD	Fe	deral fun	ding availability TBD			



Funding source TBD



		(=/	REGION	1	
Title City of Ingram Drainage Improvements	Study		11		DALUPE
ID# 111000020				REGIONAL FL	OOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Ingram	n (Municipality)				
RFPG recommend? Yes Reas	son for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB requirem	ents	
Study Details					
Study type Watershed Planning					
Study description Study of solutions to upg New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency				s in near term? Yes
County Kerr	Watershed HU			Anticipated model	sinnear terni: res
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 1		11000009, 11000010			
100-Year Flood Risk Summary					
Population at risk 208	# of structure	s 122	С	ritical facilities 0	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	lo Other	? No
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 24		Roadway(s) impact	ed (length) 3		
Number of low water crossings 0		Historical road clos	ures -		
Estimated Cost and Funding Availabil	ity				
Total Cost \$100.000	Amount of Available Fun	ding TBD	Fede	eral funding availab	pility TBD




Funding source TBD



FME Area

	0000		- and a cross	(	REGIO	N.F.			
Title City of Kyle P	rairie and Woodlan	d Restorat	ion Plan		GUADALUI REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING G				
ID# 111000033						RE	GIONAL FLO	DOD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Kyle (Mur	nicipality)		_				
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Watershed Plannir	ng							
Study description				oration plan for 1 or mo tural grassland or wood		park pro	perties. Selec	tion of a mun	iicipal park where
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	No	Emergency	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticip	oated models	in near term	? Yes
County Hays			Watershed HUC	C# (if known) 1210020	03				
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 31		Goal(s)	11000003, 11000004					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	1,474		# of structures	422		Critical	facilities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres) 72	27		Roadway(s) impact	ed (length)	7			
Number of low wa	ater crossings 9			Historical road clos	sures	-			
Estimated Cost	and Funding Ava	ailability							
Total Cost	\$250,000	Am	ount of Available Fun	ding TBD	Fe	deral fur	nding availabi	lity TBD	

\$250,000 Funding source TBD






11000111	anagen	IEIIL L	valuation								
Title City of Lockha	art Drainage Imp	rovements S	tudy	REGION GUADAL REGIONAL FLOOD PLAN					DAL	UPE	
ID# 111000035							REGIO	NAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP	
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Lockhart	(Municipality)								
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets min	imum TWD	B require	nents				
Study Details											
Study type	Watershed Plan	ining									
Study description	Study to identif and reduce floc		provements to Municipa	al Drainage Sys	tem and st	udy soluti	ons to upgra	de systen	n to improve o	drainage capacity	
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic mode	I? Yes	Emergency N	Need? No		Existing	g/Anticipated	d models i	in near term?	Yes	
County Caldwell			Watershed HUC	# (if known)	12100203						
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	16	Goal(s)	11000009, 11	000010						
100-Year Flood	Risk Summar	y									
Population at risk	275		# of structures	62			Critical facili	ties 2			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	344		Roadway(s)	) impacted	(length)	6				
Number of low wa	ter crossings	6		Historical re	oad closure	es.	-				

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$2,400,000	Amount of Available Funding	ſBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






#### REGION JPE Title City of Lockhart USACE Study GUADAI REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP ID# 111000036 Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Lockhart (Municipality) RFPG recommend? Yes Reason for Recommendation Meets minimum TWDB requirements Study Details

Study Details									
Study type	Watershed Plan	ining							
Study description			e study of flood risk and ve limited studies with r						orporated areas
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic mode	el? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipated	d models i	in near term?	Yes
County Caldwell			Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100203	3				
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	16	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood	Risk Summar	y							
Population at risk	275		# of structures	62		Critical facili	ties 2		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	344		Roadway(s) impacted	d (length)	6			
Number of low wa	ter crossings	6		Historical road closur	res	-			
Estimated Cost	and Funding		/			idoral fundin		•	


Total Cost	\$360,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD	
Funding source	TBD			





noou managemen			DECIO	N			
Title City of Luling Drainage Improvements Study				GUADALUPE			
ID# 111000037			REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP				NNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Lu	ling (Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWI	DB require	ments			
Study Details							
Study type Watershed Planning							
Study description Study of solutions to	upgrade undersized stormwat						
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Ye	Emergency N	No No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ted models	in near te	rm? Yes
County Guadalupe,Caldwell	Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100203					
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 6	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 338	# of structures	74		Critical fa	cilities 0		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 210		Roadway(s) impacted	(length)	6			
Number of low water crossings 0		Historical road closur	es	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Avail	ability						


Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FIOOU Managemen	it Evaluation (	and the second s				
Title City of Martindale Drainage Improv	REC	REGION GUADALUP REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GRU			UPE	
ID# 111000038			REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP			
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Ma	rtindale (Municipality)					
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWDB rec	quirement	ts		
Study Details						
Study type Watershed Planning						
Study description Study of solutions to a	ipgrade undersized stormwate	r drains and culverts.				
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Ne	eed? No Ex	xisting/An	ticipated models i	n near term?	Yes
County Caldwell	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 12100203				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 2	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010				
100-Year Flood Risk Summary						
Population at risk 625	# of structures	196	Critical facilities 1			
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No Pla	aya? No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 53		Roadway(s) impacted (leng	gth) 6			
Number of low water crossings 3		Historical road closures	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Availa	bility					

Total Cost	\$100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






	anagemer		i di di ci o i i i	(	DEOLO				
Title City of New Braunfels Faust St / Nacogdoches Ave Improvements Project Planning					GUADALUPE				
ID# 111000044					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP			IING GROUP	
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) Ne	ew Braunfels	(Municipality)						
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for R	Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type	Watershed Planning								
	Watershed Planning Study to analyze drain solutions within proje		ance and flooding	issues within the Fau	ust Street and	Nacogdoches	Avenue a	area and pr	oject planning fo
Study description	Study to analyze drain	ect area.	ance and flooding Emergency N			Nacogdoches g/Anticipated			
Study description	Study to analyze drain solutions within proje	ect area.		Need? No	Existin				
Study description New Hydrologic or	Study to analyze drain solutions within proje Hydraulic model? Ye	ect area.	Emergency N Watershed HUC	Need? No	Existin 202				
Study description New Hydrologic or County Comal	Study to analyze drain solutions within proje Hydraulic model? Ye are miles, est.) 0	ect area.	Emergency N Watershed HUC	Need? No # (if known) 12100;	Existin 202				
Study description New Hydrologic or County Comal Drainage area (Squ 100-Year Flood	Study to analyze drain solutions within proje Hydraulic model? Ye uare miles, est.) 0 Risk Summary	ect area.	Emergency N Watershed HUC	Need? No # (if known) 12100; 11000009, 1100001	Existin 202		l models ir		
Study description New Hydrologic or County Comal Drainage area (Squ <b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk	Study to analyze drain solutions within proje Hydraulic model? Ye uare miles, est.) 0 Risk Summary	ect area.	Emergency N Watershed HUC Goal(s)	Need? No # (if known) 12100; 11000009, 1100001	Existin 202 0	g/Anticipated	l models ir	n near term	
Study description New Hydrologic or County Comal Drainage area (Squ	Study to analyze drain solutions within proje Hydraulic model? Ye uare miles, est.) 0 Risk Summary 8 Riverine? Yes	ect area.	Emergency N Watershed HUC Goal(s) # of structures	Need? No # (if known) 12100 11000009, 1100001 2	Existin 202 0 Playa?	g/Anticipated Critical facilit	l models ir ties 0	n near term	

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$1,102,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD	
Funding source	TBD			





	anageme				
	Braunfels Dry Comal (	Creek Tributary East Watershee	REGION GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP		
D# 111000045					
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) N	lew Braunfels (Municipality)			
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum	TWDB requirements	
Study Details					
Study type	Watershed Planning	3			
Study description		ainage conveyance and floodin project planning for solutions v		y Comal Creek Tributaries East area (Kerlick Lane/Encino Drive/	
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic model?	les Emergency	Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes	
County Comal		Watershed HU	C# (if known) 12100	202	
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.) 1	Goal(s)	11000009, 1100001	10	
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary				
Population at risk	804	# of structure	5 77	Critical facilities 0	
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa? No Other? No	
		Contraction of the second s	NUCLES NO.		


Roadway(s) impacted (length)

Historical road closures

Amount of Available Funding TBD

1

.



0

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 15

Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

\$344,000

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost

Funding source TBD




Federal funding availability TBD

FME Area

Title City of New B	entity, not person)	reek Regional P	roject Planning	,			GUAD REGIONAL FLOOD		JPE NG GROUP
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for R	ecommendation N	/leets min	nimum TWDE	3 requireme	nts		
Study Details									
Study type	Watershed Plannin	0							
Study description			ance and flooding issu oject planning for solut				ncluding the detentio	n facility fo	r the
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency Need	? No		Existing/A	nticipated models in r	ear term?	Yes
County Comal			Watershed HUC# (if	known)	12100202				
Drainage area (Squ	are miles, est.) 0		Goal(s) 110	00009, 11	1000010				

#### 100-Year Flood Risk Summary Critical facilities -Population at risk # of structures -Riverine? Yes Coastal? No Local? No Playa? No Other? No Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -Roadway(s) impacted (length) -Historical road closures Number of low water crossings -. **Estimated Cost and Funding Availability**

Total Cost	\$211,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FME Area

11000111	anageme			
				REGION
Title City of New E	Braunfels South Gua	dalupe Tributary Watershed Pro	ject Planning	<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID# 111000048				REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	New Braunfels (Municipality)		-
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimu	um TWDB requirements
Study Details				
Study type	Watershed Plannin	g		
Study description		ainage conveyance and flooding Ind project planning for solutions		South Guadalupe River tributary area (Mesquite/Eastman/Oleander/ ea.
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic model?	Yes Emergency	Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Comal		Watershed HUC	C# (if known) 1210	100202
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000	0010
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary			
Population at risk	35	# of structures	12	Critical facilities 0
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Plava? No Other? No

Roadway(s) impacted (length)

Historical road closures

Amount of Available Funding TBD

0

\_



-

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -

**Estimated Cost and Funding Availability** 

\$168,000

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost

Funding source TBD




Federal funding availability TBD

#### **F** 1 J N A 1 1.1 -

Flood Management E	valuation (	FIVIE)					
Title City of New Braunfels Dry Comal Creek W	est Watershed Project P	lanning	REGIO	G	<b>UA</b> [	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000049				REG	GIONAL FLO	OD PLANN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) New Brau	unfels (Municipality)						
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB require	ments			
Study Details							
Study type Watershed Planning							
Study description Project planning for solution	ns to minimize flooding i	issues within the Ceda	r Elm Street,	Landa-M	ladeline drain	age area.	
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipa	ated models i	n near term	1? Yes
County Comal	Watershed HUC#	# (if known) 1210020	02				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk -	# of structures	-		Critical fa	acilities -		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impact	ed (length)	-			
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road clos	ures	-			

#### **Estimated Cost and Funding Availability**

Total Cost	\$126,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	)	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






	-			REGION	
Title City of San N	larcos Regional Dete	ention Study			ADALUPE
ID# 111000054				REGION	AL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	San Marcos (Municipality)		-	
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB requirements	
Study Details					
Study type	Watershed Plannin	ng			
Study description	Study of solutions	for regional detention and water o	quality strategies.		
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	Yes Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing/Anticipated n	nodels in near term? Yes
County Guadalup	e,Caldwell,Hays	Watershed HUC#	(if known) 121002	03	

#### Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 36 Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010 100-Year Flood Risk Summary Critical facilities 14 Population at risk 20,199 # of structures 2,270 Coastal? No Local? No Playa? No Other? No Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 822 Roadway(s) impacted (length) 48 Number of low water crossings 12 Historical road closures . **Estimated Cost and Funding Availability**

Total Cost	\$200,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






Title City of San Marcos Modeling of Purgatory Creek and Willow Springs ( Overflow Area	Creek GUADALUPE REGION GUADALUPE
ID# 111000055	REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) San Marcos (Municipality)	
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWDB requirements
Study Details	

Study type	Watershed Planning								
Study description	2-Dimensional Modelir	ng of the Purgatory Creek an	d Willow Springs Creek	Overflow A	rea				
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	ed models i	in near term?	Yes	
County Hays		Watershed HUC	# (if known) 1210020	3					
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.) 0	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010						
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	588	# of structures	159		Critical faci	lities <mark>0</mark>			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres) -		Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length)	3				
Number of low wa	ter crossings 0		Historical road closu	ures	-				
Estimated Cost	and Funding Availal	bility							
Total Cost	\$271,000	Amount of Available Fund	ing TBD	Fe	deral fundi	ng availabil	ity TBD		

Funding source TBD






100010	anagement	LValuation							
Title City of Segui	n Drainage Improvements	Study		REGIO					
Title city of began	n brandge improvements.	, tody		<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP					
ID# 111000061					REGIO	ONAL FLC	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP	
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person) Seguin	(Municipality)							
RFPG recommend	? Yes Reas	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments				
Study Details									
Study type	Watershed Planning								
Study description	Study of solutions to incre improvements as deemed			and/or ret	ention basiı	ns, and im	plement drain	age	
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency M	Need? No	Existin	g/Anticipate	ed models	in near term?	Yes	
County Guadalup	e	Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100202						
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 38	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010						
100-Year Flood	Risk Summary								
Population at risk	3,190	# of structures	846		Critical faci	lities 5			
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land i	impacted (acres) 1,157		Roadway(s) impacted	d (length)	25				

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Number of low water crossings

Total Cost	\$1,100,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				

Historical road closures




8



Flood Management	Evaluation							
Title City of Seguin Low Water Crossing Im	provements Study	R			UA	DAL		
ID# 111000062			REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP					
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Segu	n (Municipality)							
RFPG recommend? Yes Re	ason for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWDB requirements						
			_					
Study Details								
Study type Watershed Planning								
Study description Study of solutions for de	ainage improvements at lov	v water crossings.						
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	eed? No	Existing	/Anticipat	ed models i	n near term	? Yes	
County Guadalupe	Watershed HUC#	t (if known) 12100202						
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 38	Goal(s)	11000001, 11000002						
100-Year Flood Risk Summary								
Population at risk 3,190	# of structures	846	0	Critical fac	ilities 5			
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 1,157		Roadway(s) impacted (l	ength)	25				
Number of low water crossings 8		Historical road closures		-				
Estimated Cost and Funding Availab	ility							

Total Cost	\$1,500,000	Amount of Available Funding	ГВD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





	0				REGION		
Title City of Victor	ia Drainage Improvo	ement Study				<b>GUADALL</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNIN	JPE
ID# 111000069						REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNIN	IG GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Victoria (Municipality)					
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommer	dation Meet	ts minimum T	WDB requiremen	ts	
Study Details							
Study type	Watershed Plannin	ng					
Study description	Study of solutions locations.	to increase dimensions o	f drainage culver	rts in areas p	rone to flooding a	nd/or drainage problems in vario	ous City
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model?	Yes Eme	rgency Need? N	lo	Existing/An	ticipated models in near term?	Yes
County Victoria		Watersh	ed HUC# (if knov	wn) 121002	04		

#### 100-Year Flood Risk Summary Critical facilities 24 Population at risk 5,112 # of structures 1,139 Riverine? Yes Coastal? No Local? No Playa? No Other? No Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 111 Roadway(s) impacted (length) 36 Number of low water crossings 0 Historical road closures .

Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 37

Total Cost	\$1,000,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





riood ivi	unugenn		1012)	REGION
Title City of Victori	a Stream Restorati	on Study		<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID# 111000078				REGIONAL FLOOD FLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of e	entity, not person)	Victoria (Municipality)		
RFPG recommend?	Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minim	num TWDB requirements
<b>Study Details</b> Study type	Watershed Plannir	ng		
		nt a stream restoration/channelizat ater crossings, streambeds, creek s		to ensure adequate drainage/diversion of storm water, throughout 'ies, and riverine areas.
New Hydrologic or	Hydraulic model?	Yes Emergency Ne	ed? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Victoria		Watershed HUC#	if known) 12	2100204
Drainage area (Squ	are miles, est.) 37	Goal(s) 1	1000009, 1100	000010

#### 100-Year Flood Risk Summary # of structures 1,139 Critical facilities 24 Population at risk 5,112 Riverine? Yes Coastal? No Local? No Playa? No Other? No Flood risk type: Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 111 Roadway(s) impacted (length) 36 Number of low water crossings 0 Historical road closures \_

#### Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$500,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes

Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 9

**100-Year Flood Risk Summary** 

Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 25

Number of low water crossings

Riverine? Yes

**Estimated Cost and Funding Availability** 

\$150,000

TBD

6

Population at risk 1,186

Flood risk type:

Total Cost

Funding source

County Hays

address and remedy the drainage needs and challenges in Wimberley.

Coastal? No

Title City of Wimb	erley Drainage Mas	ter Plan		REGION	GUADALUPE
ID# 111000080					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not person)	Wimberley (Municipality)			
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB requiremer	its
F					
Study Details					
Study type	Watershed Plannin	ng			
Study description	Creation of draina	ge master plan for City of Wimberl	ev to mitigate the fle	ood hazard by det	fining priorities, policies, and strategies to

Emergency Need? No

# of structures 503

Amount of Available Funding TBD

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203

Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010

Local? No

Roadway(s) impacted (length)

Historical road closures

Pioneer Town Wimberley
L



Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes

Other? No

Critical facilities 0

Federal funding availability TBD


Playa? No

8

FME Area

nood managem		andation		R	EGIO	N			
Title City of Cuero Drainage Improve	ments Study			Î	11	G			UPE
ID# 111000101						REG	IONAL FLO	OD PLANN	NING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person)	Cuero (Mun	icipality)							
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reason fo	or Recommendation	Meets minir	num TWDB	require	ments			
Study Details									
Study type Watershed Planni	ng								
Study description Study of solutions	to improve (		ater system to r		nage allo	mooung	133023.		
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	leed? No		Existing	g/Anticipa	ited models i	in near term	i? Yes
County De Witt		Watershed HUC	# (if known) 1	2100204, 1	2100202				
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 7		Goal(s)	11000009, 110	00010					
100-Year Flood Risk Summary									
Population at risk 5,110		# of structures	1,991			Critical fa	cilities 12		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	c	Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 1	16		Roadway(s)	impacted (I	ength)	35			
Number of low water crossings 2			Historical roa	ad closures		-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Av		unt of Augulahia Fund			<b>F</b> -	devel from	dine eveile bil	100	

Iotal Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				






1000101	anagen	IEIIL L	valuation						
Title GBRA FEMA	Cooperating Tecl	nnical Partne	rs (CTP) Modeling and I	Mapping	REG			DAL	JPE
ID# 111000108						R	EGIONAL FLO	OD PLANNI	NG GROUP
Sponsor (name of	entity, not perso	n) Guadalup	e-Blanco River Authorit	ty					
RFPG recommend	? Yes	Reason	for Recommendation	Meets min	imum TWDB requi	rements			
			_						
Study Details									
Study type	Watershed Plan	ining							
Study description	GBRA has enter and mapping, a		tnership with FEMA by eries modeling.	which GBRA o	commissions an en	gineering	firm to perform	n flood inunda	ition modeling
New Hydrologic or	r Hydraulic mode	el? Yes	Emergency N	leed? No	Exist	ing/Antic	ipated models i	n near term?	Yes
County Bandera,	Bastrop,Blanco,C	aldwell,Calho	oun, Watershed HUC	# (if known)	12100203, 121002	01			
Drainage area (Squ	uare miles, est.)	1,057	Goal(s)	11000009, 11	.000010				
100-Year Flood	Risk Summar	y							
Population at risk	22		# of structures	8		Critical	l facilities 0		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa	? No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres)	348		Roadway(s	) impacted (length	0			
Number of low wa	ater crossings	0		Historical r	oad closures	-			

## Estimated Cost and Funding Availability


Total Cost	\$250,000	Amount of Available Funding	TBD	Federal funding availability	TBD
Funding source	TBD				





FME Area

		(	REGIO	N			
Title Guadalupe County Drainage Improvement	ents Study		11		UAI	DAL	UPE
ID# 111000109		e (County)			IONAL FLC	OD PLANN	ING GROUP
Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Guada	upe (County)						
RFPG recommend? Yes Reas	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum T	WDB require	ments			
Study Details							
Study type Watershed Planning							
Study description Study of solutions to upgr New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	ade undersized stormwat			7/Anticina	ted models	in near term	2 Voc
				50 V.	teu moueis	in near term	rites
County Guadalupe Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 713		# (if known) 121002		•			
	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010	)				
100-Year Flood Risk Summary							
Population at risk 2,570	# of structures	1,649		Critical fa	cilities 4		
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 101,450		Roadway(s) impac	ted (length)	124			
Number of low water crossings 55		Historical road clos	sures	-			
Estimated Cost and Funding Availabili	ty						
Total Cost \$3,000,000	Amount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fe	deral fund	ling availabil	ity TBD	




Funding source TBD




	Upper Guadalı Facilities	upe River Authorit	y Evaluation of Water and Sedimer	nt Control		GUADALUPE
ID#	111000127					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
Spon	sor (name of e	ntity, not person)	Upper Guadalupe River Authority			
RFPG	i recommend?	Yes	Reason for Recommendation	Meets minimum	n TWDB requiremen	its

#### **Study Details**

Study type	Watershed Plan	ning								
Study description			d benefits and cost-effect ta to determine flood risk			-				include H&H
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes			Emergency M	Need? No		Existin	g/Anticipate	d models i	in near term?	Yes
County Kerr			Watershed HUC	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100201						
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.)	1,103	Goal(s)	Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010						
<b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk		/	# of structures	3,833			Critical facil	ities 6		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land	impacted (acres)	28,070		Roadway	(s) impacted	i (length)	ength) 124			
Number of low water crossings 158			Historical road closures		es -					
Estimated Cost	and Funding A	Availabili	ty							
Total Cost	\$250,000		Amount of Available Fund	ling TBD		Fe	ederal fundin	g availabil	ity TBD	
Funding source	TBD									





noou munuge	inche i		(1111)	REGIO	N				
Title Victoria County Planning a	nd Developme	nt Standards Study		<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP					
ID# 111000128					REGI	ONAL FLC	OD PLANN	IING GROUP	
Sponsor (name of entity, not pe	rson) Victoria	(County)		_					
RFPG recommend? Yes	Reaso	n for Recommendation	Meets minimum TW	DB require	ments				
Study Details									
Study type Watershed I	tudy type Watershed Planning								
tudy description Conduct study for the development and implementation of county wide planning & development standards, sub-division rules, infrastructure rules and building / construction codes.									
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic m	odel? No	Emergency M	Need? No	Existing	g/Anticipat	ed models	in near term	I? Yes	
County Victoria		Watershed HUC	# (if known) 12100204	, 12100403	, 1210030	3			
Drainage area (Square miles, es	t.) 887	Goal(s)	11000005, 11000006						
<b>100-Year Flood Risk Summ</b> Population at risk 6,566	ary	# of structures	1 909		Critical fac	ilities 10		6	
			a Province						
Flood risk type: Riverine?		Coastal? No	Local? No		No	Other?	No		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (act	10.0		Roadway(s) impacted	10 E 11	99				
Number of low water crossings	5		Historical road closur	res	-				
Estimated Cost and Fundi	ng Availability	1							
Total Cost \$100,000	Ai	mount of Available Fund	ling TBD	Fe	deral fund	ng availabi	ity TBD		



Funding source TBD



noou Management											
Title Victoria County Drainage Improvements	Study	REGION GUADALU REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING			JPE						
ID# 111000129				REGIONAL FLO	JOD PLANNI	NG GROUP					
ponsor (name of entity, not person) Victoria (County)											
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	on for Recommendation	Meets minimum TWI	B requireme	ents							
Study Details											
Study type Watershed Planning											
Study description Study of solutions to increase dimensions of drainage culverts in areas prone to flooding and/or drainage problems, in various count locations.											
New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency N	Need? No Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes			Yes						
County Victoria	Watershed HUC#	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100204, 12100403, 12100303									
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 887	Goal(s)	11000009, 11000010									
100-Year Flood Risk Summary											
Population at risk 6,566	# of structures	1,808	Cr	itical facilities 49							
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa? N	o Other?	No						
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 33,696		Roadway(s) impacted	(length) 99	9							
Number of low water crossings 5		Historical road closure	ures -								

## Estimated Cost and Funding Availability


Total Cost	\$150,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		





# Title Victoria County FIRMs ID# 111000130 Sponsor (name of entity, not person) Victoria (County) RFPG recommend? Yes Reason for Recommendation Meets minimum TWDB requirements Study Details

Study type	Watershed Planni	ng								
Study description	Engineering Studi	es to revise	e Flood Insurance Rate as unstudied Zone As.	Maps (FIRMs	) throughou	it the Cour	nty to estał	olish Base F	lood Elevations	: (BFE) in areas
New Hydrologic o	r Hydraulic model?	Yes	Emergency N	leed? No		Existin	g/Anticipat	ed models	in near term?	Yes
County Victoria	C# (if known) 12100204, 12100403, 12100303									
Drainage area (Sq	uare miles, est.) 8	37	Goal(s)	11000009, 1	1000010					
<b>100-Year Flood</b> Population at risk			# of structures	1,808			Critical fac	ilities 49		
Flood risk type:	Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ranch land i	mpacted (acres) 3	3,696		Roadway(	s) impacted	(length)	99			
Number of low water crossings 5			Historical road closures		es					
Estimated Cost	and Funding Av	ailability								
Total Cost	\$500,000	Am	ount of Available Fund	ing TBD		Fe	ederal fund	ing availabil	lity TBD	



Funding source TBD




FME Area

	igenie		valuation		DECIO	N.				
Title Wilson County Storr	nwater Mana	agement P	lan		REGIO		UADA ONAL FLOOD PL	LUP	E	
ID# 111000134					REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GRO					
Sponsor (name of entity,	not person)	Wilson (Co	ounty)		-					
RFPG recommend? Yes		Reason	for Recommendati	on Meets minimum T	WDB require	ments				
Study Details										
Study type Water	shed Plannin	g								
New Hydrologic or Hydra	ulic model?	Yes	Emergen	ncy Need? No Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes						
County Wilson			Watershed H	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100202						
Drainage area (Square mi	les, est.) <mark>80</mark>	6	Goal	(s) 11000015, 11000016						
100-Year Flood Risk S	ummary									
Population at risk 33			# of structu	res 18		Critical fac	ilities 0			
Flood risk type: River	ine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No			
Farm/Ranch land impacte	d (acres) 2,	120		Roadway(s) impact	ted (length)	4				
Number of low water crossings 0				Historical road clos	sures	-				

## Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$500,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding availability TBD
Funding source	TBD		






Title City of Cibolo	and Seguin USACE	Study	GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP										
Sponsor (name of	Sponsor (name of entity, not person) MULTIPLE												
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason for Recommendation Meets minimum TWDB requirements													
Study Details													
Study type	Watershed Plannir	g											
Study description		rehensive study of flood risk and re nent feasible alternatives for flood		tives, with the assistance of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Project									
was concerned as a strength of the second			and the second second										

New Hydrologic or Hydraulic model? Yes	Emergency Need? No	Existing/Anticipated models in near term? Yes
County Guadalupe	Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100202	
Drainage area (Square miles, est.) 59	Goal(s) 11000009, 11000010	
100-Year Flood Risk Summary		

Population at risk 3,190		# of structures 846			Critical facilities 5		
Flood risk type: Riverin	e? Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa?	No	Other? No	
Farm/Ranch land impacted	(acres) 1,157		Roadway(s) impa	acted (length)	25		
Number of low water crossings 8			Historical road closure		-		


## Estimated Cost and Funding Availability

Total Cost	\$1,000,000	Amount of Available Funding TBD	Federal funding	availability TBD
Funding source	TBD			







FME Area



FMS area




FMS area



FMS area

FIOC		nageme	ent strategy	$(\Gamma V I S)$	REG					
Title Pr	operty Acquis	itions and Structu	al Elevation		1	11 (COSC)	GIONAL FLOO			
ID# 11	12000187	Sponsor Guadalu	pe Regional Flood Planning G	roup						
RFPG reco	mmend? Yes	5	Reason for Recommenda	ation Meets n	ninimum TWDB	requirement	s			
Strategy	/ Details									
Strategy t	уре	Property Acquisit	on and Structural Elevation							
Strategy o	description		lement a voluntary buyout c grams to purchase/preserve				o eliminate re	oetitive loss	structures and	
County		Caldwell, Kendall,	Guadalupe, Bandera, Comal, Fayette, Kerr, Hays, Bastrop, Tugio, Calhoun, Goliad, Victor	Gillespie,	Associated FN Associated FN					
		12100201 1	2100202,12100203,12100204	4	Associated FN	/IS's _				
Watershe	ed HUC# (if kno	own) 12100201,1 12100303,1		"	Drainage area	a (mi², est.)	6,010			
Existing	100-Year Flo	ood Risk								
Populatio	n at risk 89,02	19	# of structures	27,069		Critical	facilities 127			
Flood risk	type: Riv	erine? Yes	Coastal? Yes	Local?	No	Playa?	No	Other?	No	
Farm/Ran	nch land impac	cted (acres) 360,25	1	Roadway(s) ir	mpacted (miles)	935				
Number o	of low water c	rossings 661		Historical roa	d closures	-				
100-Year	r Flood Risk	Reduction								
	n removed fro			# of struc	tures removed f	from 100-vr	_			
		ed from 100-yr			nch land remove		vr (acres) -			
Road rem	oved from 10	0-yr (miles)		Low water crossings removed from 100-yr -						
Other ber	nefits Flood	risk reduction and	nature based solutions		Reduction in # of road closures over 10 years -					
Impacts Negative i		No	Negative impacts descriptior	n N/A						
-	oply contribut		Water supply contribution de							
Estimate	ed Cost									
Strategy (	Cost \$	0	Amount of availa	ble funding -			% N	Nature-Based	- t	
8	35	San A	monio // Casim // 290 // 77 // 77 // // // // // // // // // // // // //	oria		SO	Austin			

FMS area



FMS area



 Watershed HUC# (if known)
 12100203
 Emergency Need?
 No

 Drainage area (mi² est.)
 6

 Associated FME's

 Associated FMS's

#### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type: F	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Lo	cal? No		Playa?	No	Other?	No
Population at risk 1,0	070		# o	f structures 50	08			Criti	cal facilities <mark>0</mark>		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 978			Roadway(s) impacted (length)			8					
Number of low water crossings 10		10	Historical road closures -								
100-Year Flood Ris	sk Reduct	ion									

Population removed from 100-yr		375	# of structures removed from 100-yr	131	
Critical facilities removed from 100-yr		0	Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres)	0	
Road removed from 100-yr (miles)		miles)	0	Low water crossings removed from 100-yr	0
Other benefits None				Reduction in # of road closures over 10 years	0
Impacts					
Negative impacts	?	No	Negative impacts description	No	

Estimate of Cost		
Water supply contributions? Yes	Water supply contribution description	-

Estimated Cost


Project Cost \$9,338,463

Recurring costs 47000


% Nature-Based 0

Issues Land aquisistion cost not included

BCR 1







REGION

#### **Project Description**

A proposed culvert improvement has been developed to convey a 1% ACE event. The proposed culvert improvement is to add one additional 8ft x 4ft culvert totaling three culverts at this location, and raising the finished deck elevation by 0.5ft.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Hays
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

#### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No		Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No	
Population at risk 4			# c	of structures	2		Critical facilities	0	
Farm/Ranch land im	pacted (acr	es) -			Roa	dway(s) impacted (length)	-		
Number of low wate	er crossings	0		Historical road closures -					
100-Year Flood Ri	LOO-Year Flood Risk Reduction								
Population removed	from 100-y	/r 0				# of structures removed fro	om 100-yr	0	
Critical facilities rem	100-yr <mark>0</mark>	Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (a			from 100-yr (acres)	0			
Road removed from	100-yr (mi	es) 1				Low water crossings remov	ed from 100-yr	0	
Other benefits Po	otentially les	s road closings				Reduction in # of road close	ures over 10 years	1	

Impacts			
Negative impacts?	No	Negative impacts description	No
Water supply contributions?	No	Water supply contribution description	


#### **Estimated Cost**

Project Cost \$557,000

Recurring costs 2800

Issues None


% Nature-Based 0





BCR -

FMP area



REGION

#### **Project Description**

The proposed culvert improvement resulted in eight (7ft x 4ft) box culverts, needed to clear the roadway and to alleviate additional backwater flooding.

Watershed HUC# (if known)	12100203	Emergency Need?	No
		Drainage area (mi² e	est.) 0
Associated FME's		County Hays	
Associated FMS's -		Associated FMP's -	

#### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Loca	l? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk	0		#	of structures	0		Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land in	mpacted (ac	res) 3			Roadway	s) impacted (leng	gth) 0	
Number of low wa		Historical road closures -						
100-Year Flood F	Risk Reduc	tion						
Population remove	ed from 100-	yr	0		# of s	tructures remove	ed from 100-yr	0
Critical facilities ren	moved from	100-yr	0		Farm	/Ranch land remo	oved from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed from	m 100-yr (mi	les)	1		Low	vater crossings re	emoved from 100-yr	0

Other benefits	Improve e	mergency ac	cess Re	duction in # of road closures over 10 years	0		
Impacts							
Negative impacts	?	No	Negative impacts description	No			
Water supply cont	tributions? No Water supply contribution des		Water supply contribution description	scription -			


#### Estimated Cost

**Project Cost** \$1,149,000

Recurring costs 5700

Issues None

% Nature-Based 0





BCR -



REGION

#### **Project Description**

The channel modifications consists of 65-ft bottom width channel modifications with 4:1 side slopes spanning from the North I-35 frontage road down past Goforth Road to Kym Way.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Hays
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

#### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk	269		# 0	of structures	39	Critical facilit	ies 0
Farm/Ranch land in	mpacted (ac	res) 2			Roadway(s) impacted (le	ength) 1	
Number of low water crossings 1				Historical road closures	-		
100-Year Flood F	Rick Roduc	tion					
100-1641 110001	NSK NEUUC						
Population remove	ed from 100-	yr 16			# of structures remo	oved from 100-yr	4
Critical facilities rei	moved from	100-yr 0			Farm/Ranch land re	moved from 100-vr (acre	es) 0

Road removed fi	rom 100-yr	(miles)	0 Lov	w water crossings removed from 100-yr	0
Other benefits	Alleviate p	onding, imp	prove conveyance Re	duction in # of road closures over 10 years	0
Impacts					
Negative impact	s?	No	Negative impacts description	No	
Water supply co	ntributions	? No	Water supply contribution description	-	

#### **Estimated Cost**


Project Cost \$589,000

Recurring costs 2900

% Nature-Based 18


BCR 2

Issues Possible wetland restrictions, utility relocation, SWPPP implementation





FMP area



REGION

#### **Project Description**

This project consists of a detention pond between the railroad track and the South bound I-35 frontage road. Under this proposed alternative a 13-ft high dam wall would be placed on Plum Creek near Kyle Center Drive.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Hays
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

#### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal? No	Lo	cal? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 31	12		# of structures	49		Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land imp	pacted (acr	res) 8		Roadwa	ay(s) impacted (length	) 1	
Number of low wate	r crossings	2		Historic	al road closures -		
100-Year Flood Ris	sk Reduct	tion					
Population removed	from 100-	yr	2	# o	f structures removed	rom 100-yr	1
Critical facilities remo	oved from	100-yr	0	Far	m/Ranch land remove	d from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed from	100-yr (mi	les)	0	Lov	v water crossings rem	oved from 100-yr	0
Other benefits No	one			Rec	duction in # of road clo	osures over 10 years	0
Impacts							
Negative impacts?	No	)	Negative impacts descriptio	n	No		
Water supply contrib	outions? Ye	s	Water supply contribution c	lescription	-		
Estimated Cost							


Project Cost \$864,000

Recurring costs 4300

% Nature-Based 8

BCR 2

Issues SWPPP implementation, utility relocation, geotechnical analysis





FMP area

Title	Wood Road/	Landa Street	Drainage I	mprovement		1		GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID#	113000013	Sponsor	New Brau	unfels (Municipality)				
RFPG re	ecommend? <mark>Y</mark>	es		Reason for Recommendation	Meets min	imum TWDB req	quirem	nents

REGION

#### **Project Description**

The drainage improvement project captures runoff east of Walnut Avenue and detains it in a 12-acre detention pond with 144 acre-feet of storage capacity. The pond outfall structure discharges to an existing channel south of Wood Road.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100202	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Comal
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

#### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Road removed from 100-yr (miles)

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk	667		# c	of structures	47	Critical facilitie	es 0
Farm/Ranch land in	mpacted (ac	res) -			Roadway(s) impacted (ler	ngth) 1	
Number of low wa	ter crossings	0			Historical road closures -		
100-Year Flood F	Risk Reduc	tion					
Population remove	ed from 100-	yr	144		# of structures remov	ved from 100-yr	27
Critical facilities re	moved from	100-yr	0		Farm/Ranch land rem	noved from 100-yr (acre	s) 0

Other benefits	None		Red	uction in # of road closures over 10 years	0
Impacts					
Negative impacts	?	No	Negative impacts description	No	
Water supply con	tributions?	No	Water supply contribution description	-	


#### Estimated Cost

**Project Cost** \$35,757,000

Recurring costs 179000

Issues None

% Nature-Based 0




0




2

Low water crossings removed from 100-yr

BCR 0









#### - 1 . . .

Title       Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement       Improvement       Improvement       Improvement         DW       13000026       Sponsor       San Marcos (Municipality)       Improvement       Reason for Recommendation       Meets minimum TWDB requirements         Project Description       Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement Project Preliminary Engineering Report       Emergency Need?       No       Drainage area (mi? est.)       0         Associated FME's       County Hays       Associated FME's       County Hays       Associated FMP's       -         Flood risk type:       Riverine?       Yes       Coastal? No       Local? No       Playa? No       Other? No         Population at risk 433       # of structures 73       Critical facilities 0       -       -         Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)       No       Local? No       Playa? No       Other? No         Population at risk 433       # of structures 73       Critical facilities 0       -         Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)       No       Local? No       Playa? No       Other? No         Population at risk 433       # of structures 73       Critical facilities 0       -         Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)       Nitorical road closures       -       -         100-Year Flood Risk Reduction       -	
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason for Recommendation Meets minimum TWDB requirements   Project Description   Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement Project Preliminary Engineering Report     Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203   Emergency Need? No   Drainage area (mi² est.) 0   Associated FME's   Associated FME's   County Hays   Associated FMS's   Flood Risk   Flood risk type:   Riverine?   Yes   Coastal?   No   Local?   Population at risk 433   # of structures   73   Critical facilities 0   Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -   Roadway(s) impacted (length)   1   Historical road closures   1   Historical road closures	
Project Description   Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement Project Preliminary Engineering Report     Watershed HUC# (if known)   12100203   Emergency Need?   No   Drainage area (mi² est.)   Drainage area (mi² est.)   Associated FME's   -   Associated FMS's   -   County Hays   Associated FMS's   -   Associated FMS's   -   County Hays   Associated FMS's   -   Associated FMP's -    Entisting 100-Year Flood Risk     Playa? No Other? No Other? No Population at risk 433  # of structures 73 Critical facilities 0 Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) - No Historical road closures -     Playa? No Other? No Other? No Other? No Other? No Playa? No Other? No Other? No Other? No Other? No Ot	
Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement Project Preliminary Engineering Report     Watershed HUC# (if known)     12100203     Emergency Need?   No   Drainage area (mi² est.)   O   Associated FME's   County   Hays   Associated FMS's     County   Hays     County   Hays     Associated FMS's     Flood risk type:   Riverine?   Yes   Coastal?   No   Local?   No   Population at risk   433   # of structures   73   Critical facilities 0   Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -   Roadway(s) impacted (length)   1   Historical road closures	
Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement Project Preliminary Engineering Report     Watershed HUC# (if known)     12100203     Emergency Need?   No   Drainage area (mi² est.)   O   Associated FME's   County   Hays   Associated FMS's     County   Hays     County   Hays     Associated FMS's     Flood risk type:   Riverine?   Yes   Coastal?   No   Local?   No   Population at risk   433   # of structures   73   Critical facilities 0   Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -   Roadway(s) impacted (length)   1   Historical road closures	
Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement Project Preliminary Engineering Report     Watershed HUC# (if known)     12100203     Emergency Need?   No   Drainage area (mi² est.)   O   Associated FME's   County   Hays   Associated FMS's     County   Hays     County   Hays     Associated FMS's     Flood risk type:   Riverine?   Yes   Coastal?   No   Local?   No   Population at risk   433   # of structures   73   Critical facilities 0   Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -   Roadway(s) impacted (length)   1   Historical road closures	
Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203   Emergency Need? No   Drainage area (mi² est.) 0   Associated FME's County Hays   Associated FMS's -   County Hays Associated FMP's    Existing 100-Year Flood Risk Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes Coastal? No Local? No Other? No Other? No Other? No Cortical facilities o Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) - Roadway(s) impacted (length) 1 Historical road closures - IO0-Year Flood Risk Reduction	
Associated FME's   Associated FME's   Associated FMS's   County Hays   Associated FMS's   County Hays   Associated FMP's	
Associated FME's Associated FME's	
Associated FMS's - Associated FMP's - Existing 100-Year Flood Risk Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes Coastal? No Local? No Playa? No Other? No Population at risk 433 # of structures 73 Critical facilities 0 Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) - Roadway(s) impacted (length) 1 Number of low water crossings 1 Historical road closures -	
Existing 100-Year Flood Risk     Flood risk type:   Riverine?   Yes   Coastal?   No   Local?   No   Playa?   No   Other?   No   Coastal?   No   Local?   No   Playa?   No   Other?   No   Other?   No   Critical facilities 0   Interview of low water crossings   1   Interview of low water crossings Intervie	
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes Coastal? No Local? No Playa? No Other? No   Population at risk 433 # of structures 73 Critical facilities o   Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) - I Roadway(s) impacted (length) 1   Number of low water crossings 1 I I	
Population at risk 433 # of structures 73 Critical facilities 0   Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) Roadway(s) impacted (length) 1   Number of low water crossings 1 Historical road closures	
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres)       Roadway(s) impacted (length)       1         Number of low water crossings       1       Historical road closures         100-Year Flood Risk Reduction	
Number of low water crossings       1       Historical road closures         100-Year Flood Risk Reduction	
100-Year Flood Risk Reduction	
Population removed from 100-yr 56 # of structures removed from 100-yr 27	
Critical facilities removed from 100-yr 0 Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres) 0	
Road removed from 100-yr (miles)1Low water crossings removed from 100-yr5	
Other benefits Added natural features Reduction in # of road closures over 10 years 0	
Impacts	
Negative impacts?         No         Negative impacts description         No	
Water supply contributions? No Water supply contribution description -	
Estimated Cost	
Project Cost \$22,391,000 % Nature-Based 2 BCR -	
Recurring costs     112000     Issues     Utility Relocation, Underground telecom, permitting	















FMP area



REGION

#### **Project Description**

The scope of work includes constructing a regional detention pond on Baldridge Creek upstream of the City. The proposed pond would be located northwest of the City and would release runoff at a substantially lower flowrate, resulting in lower flood eleva

Watershed HUC# (if known)	12100202	Emergency Need? No
		Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 1
Associated FME's		County Gonzales
Associated FMS's -		Associated FMP's -

#### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type: Rive	erine?	Yes	Coa	istal?	No		Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 190				# o	of structures	132		Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land impac	ted (acre	es) 128				Road	dway(s) impacted (le	ength) 2	
Number of low water cr	ossings	5				Histo	orical road closures -	-	
		,							
100-Year Flood Risk	Reducti	on							
Population removed fro	om 100-y	r	72			;	# of structures remo	oved from 100-yr	48
Critical facilities remove	d from 1	00-yr	0				Farm/Ranch land rer	moved from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed from 100	0-yr (mile	es)	1				Low water crossings	removed from 100-yr	3
Other benefits None							Reduction in # of roa	ad closures over 10 years	0
Impacts									
Negative impacts?	No		Negative i	mpact	ts descriptio	n	No		

Water supply contributions? Yes	Water supply contribution description	-

#### **Estimated Cost**


Project Cost \$2,573,000

Recurring costs 10000

Issues SWPPP, utility relocation


% Nature-Based 2

BCR 1





FMP area



REGION

#### **Project Description**

A combination of a 50 ft. bottom width channel modification with 3:1 side slopes downstream of SH 97 and the addition of two 10 foot by 10 foot concrete box culverts was determined to be the most effective flood mitigation solution for the area. The propo

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100202	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Gonzales
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

#### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes		Coastal?	No		Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 179				# of structures 12				Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 7						Roa	dway(s) impacted (lengtl	n) 2	
Number of low water crossings 4				Historical road closures -					
100 Verse Ele est Dist. De dustriere									
100-Year Flood Risk Reduction									
Population removed from 100-yr			131	1			# of structures removed from 100-yr		87
Critical facilities removed from 100-yr			0				Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres)		0
Road removed from 100-yr (miles)			1				Low water crossings removed from 100-yr		7
Other benefits	None						Reduction in # of road cl	osures over 10 years	0

Impacts			
Negative impacts?	No	Negative impacts description	No
Water supply contributions? No		Water supply contribution description	-

#### **Estimated Cost**

Project Cost \$3,928,000

Recurring costs 20000

% Nature-Based 2

BCR 1

Issues permitting, jurisdictional waters, SWPPP implementation, utility relocation,





FMP area

Floo	od M	litiga	itic	on F	<b>Projec</b> t	: (FMP	) REG	and the second second second second	
Title	Wilson Cre	ek - Green	Acres	: Dr. Imp	rovement		1	100000 m	ADALUPE
ID#	113000039	e Spon	nsor	Wimber	ley (Municipal	ity)			
RFPG re	commend?	Yes			Reason for	Recommendatio	on Meets minimum TWDB re	quirements	
	t Descript								
A propo	sed update	ed culvert g	eome	try cons	ists of 11 box of	culverts (10ft-12	2ft) and a raised finished deck	elevation (3ft rise).	
Watersh	ed HUC# (i	f known) 1	L2100	203			Emergency Need? No		
							Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0		
Associat	ed FME's						County Hays		
Associat	ed FMS's -						Associated FMP's -		
Existin	g 100-Yea	r Flood R	lisk						
Flood r	isk type:	Riverine	? Yes	5	Coastal?	No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Populat	ion at risk	5			#	of structures 2		Critical facilit	ties 0
Farm/R	anch land i	mpacted (a	acres)	-			Roadway(s) impacted (length	0	
Numbe	r of low wa	ter crossin	gs	1			Historical road closures -		
100-Ye	ar Flood F	Risk Redu	ictior	า					
Populat	ion remove	ed from 10	0-yr	0			# of structures removed	rom 100-yr	0
Critical	facilities re	moved from	m 100	-yr O			Farm/Ranch land remove	d from 100-yr (acro	es) 0
Road re	moved from	m 100-yr (r	niles)	0			Low water crossings rem	oved from 100-yr	0
Other b	enefits	None					Reduction in # of road clo	sures over 10 year	rs O
Impac Negativ	t <b>s</b> e impacts?		No	1	Negative impa	cts description	No		

**Estimated Cost** 

Project Cost \$1,246,000

Recurring costs 6200

Water supply contributions? No

% Nature-Based 2

Water supply contribution description -

BCR -

Issues permitting, temporary erosion and sedimentation controls





Title	Regional Deten	tion South	of Mounta	ain Crest Drive		11	GUA REGIONAL FL	DALL OOD PLANNIN	
ID#	113000040	Sponsor	Woodcre	ek (Municipality)					
RFPG re	commend? Yes			Reason for Recommendation	Meets minim	num TWDB require	ements		

REGION

#### **Project Description**

The alternative consists of a 20 ft. tall detention structure with a 175 ac-ft detention capacity. The outflow control would consist of culverts for low flow and an overflow weir for high flow.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Hays
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No		Local? No	Playa? No	Ot	her? No
Population at risk	60		# 0	of structures 2	28		Critical facilities	0	
Farm/Ranch land in	mpacted (ac	res) -			Roa	dway(s) impacted (length)	0		
Number of low wa	ter crossings	0			Hist	orical road closures -			
100-Year Flood F	Risk Reduc	tion							
Population remove	ed from 100-	yr :	17			# of structures removed fro	om 100-yr	8	
Critical facilities re	moved from	100-yr	0			Farm/Ranch land removed	from 100-yr (acres)	0	
Road removed from	m 100-yr (mi	les)	0			Low water crossings remov	ed from 100-yr	0	
Other benefits	Paduca savar	ity and fr	equency of floodi			Reduction in # of road close	ures over 10 years	0	

Other benefits	Reduce se	verity and fre	equency of flooding along Hog Red	uction in # of road closures over 10 years	0
Impacts					
Negative impacts	?	No	Negative impacts description	No	
Water supply con	tributions?	Yes	Water supply contribution description	-	


**Estimated Cost** 

Project Cost \$946,000 Recurring costs 4700

% Nature-Based 0

BCR 1

Issues None





Title	Improvement	s to Brooksic	le Drive Cul	vert Crossing			1	<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID#	113000041	Sponsor	Woodcree	k (Municipality)				Dimension
RFPG re	commend? Ye	S		Reason for Recommendation	Meets min	imum TWDE	8 require	ments

REGION

#### **Project Description**

The culvert opening will be increased to three 36" concrete pipes to match the culvert capacity just downstream at Brook Meadow Dr. and also involve some minimal re-grading of the stream flowline.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Hays
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Loc	al? No		Playa?	No	Othe	er? No
Population at risk -			# o	f structures -				Criti	cal facilities -		
Farm/Ranch land im	npacted (acr	es) -			Roadwa	/(s) impac	ted (length)	0			
Number of low wate	er crossings	-			Historic	I road clo	sures -				
100-Year Flood R	isk Reduct	ion									

Population remo	oved from 100-yr	0	# of	structures removed from 100-yr	0
Critical facilities	removed from 100-yr	0	Farn	n/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed fi	rom 100-yr (miles)	0	Low	water crossings removed from 100-yr	0
Other benefits	Repair undercutting a	ind prevent future road	Red	uction in # of road closures over 10 years	0
Impacts					

Water supply contributions?	NO	Water supply contribution description	•
Materia and the second of the state of the			
Negative impacts?	No	Negative impacts description	No


#### **Estimated Cost**

Project Cost \$38,000

Recurring costs 200

Issues None

% Nature-Based 0





BCR -

Title	Brookmeadow	v Drive Drain	iage Impr	ovements		1	1	<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP	
ID#	113000042	Sponsor	Woodcr	eek (Municipality)				Difference of the second se	
RFPG re	ecommend? Ye	S		Reason for Recommendation	Meets min	imum TWDB r	equiren	ments	

REGION

### **Project Description**

The proposed alternative consists of a rip rap ditch along the south side of Brookmeadow Drive, under Overbrook Court and down to Hog Creek. The capacity of the ditch would be enough to hold the most frequent flows

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Hays
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Loc	cal? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 1	4		# c	f structures	7		Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land im	pacted (ac	res) -			Roadwa	y(s) impacted (length)	0	
Number of low wate	er crossings	0			Historic	al road closures -		
100-Year Flood Ri	isk Reduc	tion						
Population removed	d from 100-	yr	0		# of	structures removed f	rom 100-yr	0
Critical facilities rem	noved from	100-yr	0		Farr	m/Ranch land removed	d from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed from	n 100-yr (mi	les)	0		Low	water crossings remo	ved from 100-yr	0
Other benefits No	one				Red	uction in # of road clo	sures over 10 years	0
Impacts								
Negative impacts?	N	D	Negative impac	ts description		No		
Water supply contri	butions? No	D	Water supply co	ntribution de	scription	-		

#### **Estimated Cost**


Recurring costs 300

\$65,000

Project Cost

 % Nature-Based 0
 BCR

 Issues
 None







### **Project Description**

RFPG recommend? Yes

113000044

Title

ID#

The proposed dam height of 85 ft. and dam length of 620 ft. will provide a maximum storage capacity of approximately 3,375 ac-ft.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100202	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 7
Associated FME's	County Comal
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No		Local? No	Play	a? No	Other? No
Population at risk 2	,274		# o	f structures	456		С	ritical facilities 0	
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (acr	es) 254			Road	lway(s) impacted (length)	10		
Number of low wat	er crossings	9			Histo	prical road closures -			

### **100-Year Flood Risk Reduction**

Population remov	ved from 100	)_vr	456	# of structures removed from 100-yr	159
Population Territo	veu nom 100	)-yı	430	# of structures removed from 100-yr	123
Critical facilities r	emoved fron	n 100-yr	0	Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed fro	om 100-yr (n	niles)	0	Low water crossings removed from 100-yr	0
Other benefits	Would also	benefit cit	y of New Braunfels and Seguin	Reduction in # of road closures over 10 years	0
Impacts					
	-				
Negative impacts	1 S	10	Negative impacts description	No	

Water supply contributions? Yes	Water supply contribution description -
Estimated Cost	

#### Estimated Cost

Project Cost \$6,973,000

Recurring costs 35000

Issues None


% Nature-Based 0





BCR 4

FMP area



REGION

#### **Project Description**

A 29 ft. high dam with a length of 5780 ft. would provide approximately 41,774 ac-ft of storage. This site would be able to store a large volume of water and greatly reduce the peak from the Peach Creek watershed.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100202	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 7
Associated FME's	County Gonzales
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 5	1		# 0	of structures	22	Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land im	pacted (ac	res) 2,82	1		Roadway(s) impacted (ler	ngth) 2	
Number of low wate	er crossings	3			Historical road closures -		
400 V/ El   D'							
100-Year Flood Ri	ISK REQUC	πon					
Population removed	d from 100-	yr	34		# of structures remov	ved from 100-yr	12
Critical facilities rem	noved from	100-yr	0		Farm/Ranch land rem	noved from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed from	n 100-yr (mi	les)	0		Low water crossings	removed from 100-yr	0
Other benefits No	one				Reduction in # of roa	d closures over 10 years	0
Impacts							
Negative impacts?	No	C	Negative impac	ts descriptior	No		

Water supply contributions? Yes	Water supply contribution description	-

#### Estimated Cost


Project Cost \$7,821,000

Recurring costs 39000


% Nature-Based 0

BCR 1

Issues Cost of land acquisition is not included







REGION

### **Project Description**

Installing generators at critical facilities will help ensure physical safety for facility occupants and maintain electronic systems functionality during power outages. Portable generators will maintain additional systems functionality

Watershed HUC# (if known) 1210	00201	Emergency Need? No
		Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 24
Associated FME's		County Kerr
Associated FMS's -		Associated FMP's -

### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Flood risk type: Riverine? Y	'es	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 7,519		# of structures	1,522	Critical facilities	4
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres	s) 193		Roadway(s) impacted (length)	31	
Number of low water crossings	20		Historical road closures -		
100-Year Flood Risk Reduction	on				
Population removed from 100-yr	0		# of structures removed fr	om 100-yr	0
Critical facilities removed from 10	00-yr 0		Farm/Ranch land removed	l from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed from 100-yr (mile	s) 0		Low water crossings remove	ved from 100-yr	0
Other benefits None			Reduction in # of road clos	sures over 10 years	0
Impacts					

Negative impacts?	No	Negative impacts description	No
Water supply contributions?	No	Water supply contribution description	-


#### **Estimated Cost**

Project Cost \$806,000

Recurring costs 2500


Issues None

% Nature-Based 0





BCR 0



REGION

#### **Project Description**

Proposed project to extend the existing 54" storm drain, regrading and compacting the earthen channel to stabilize the erosion that has taken place, and constructing a concrete baffled chute to convey flow down the steep channel embankment with a stilling

Watershed HUC# (if known)	12100201	Emergency Need? No
		Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's		County Kerr
Associated FMS's -		Associated FMP's -

### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Road removed from 100-yr (miles)

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local?	lo	Playa? No		Other? No
Population at risk	-		# c	of structures			Critical fa	acilities -	
Farm/Ranch land in	mpacted (ac	res) -			Roadway(s) ii	mpacted (length)	0		
Number of low water crossings -				Historical road closures -					
100-Year Flood F	Dick Doduc	Hon							
100-fear Floou r		LION							
Population remove	d from 100-	yr O			# of strue	ctures removed f	rom 100-yr	0	
Critical facilities ren	moved from	100-yr <mark>0</mark>			Farm/Ra	nch land remove	d from 100-yr	(acres) 0	


Road removed from 100-yr (miles)		(miles)	0 Lo	ow water crossings removed from 100-yr	0
Other benefits	Prevent soil erosion		Re	eduction in # of road closures over 10 years	0
Impacts					
Negative impacts	;?	No	Negative impacts description	No	
Water supply contributions? No			Water supply contribution description	n -	
Estimated Cos	t				

Project Cost \$800,000

Recurring costs 4000

Issues None

% Nature-Based 2




٥



BCR -

0



REGION

#### **Project Description**

Proposed project to reconfigure and reconstruct the existing Kroc Center outlet structure and Clay Street drainage improvements. No adverse impacts have been identified downstream.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100201	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Kerr
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -


### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes		Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No						
Population at risk -		# of structur	es -	Critical facilitie	25 -						
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) -			Roadway(s) impacted (	length) -							
Number of low water crossings -			Historical road closures -								
100-Year Flood Risk Reduction	100-Year Flood Risk Reduction										
Population removed from 100-yr	0		# of structures rem	noved from 100-yr	0						
Critical facilities removed from 100-	r O		Farm/Ranch land r	emoved from 100-yr (acres	s) 0						
Road removed from 100-yr (miles)	0		Low water crossing	gs removed from 100-yr	0						
Other benefits None			Reduction in # of re	oad closures over 10 years	0						

Impacts			
Negative impacts?	No	Negative impacts description	No
Water supply contributions? No		Water supply contribution description	
Ectimated Cost			

### Estimated Cost

Project Cost\$9,561,000% Nature-Based0BCR-Recurring costs30000IssuesNone--







REGION

#### **Project Description**

Proposed proposed street and drainage improvements project to alleviate street ponding and nuisance flooding at Coronado Drive north of Junction Highway.

Associated FME's     _       Associated FME's     _	Watershed HUC# (if known)	12100201	Emergency Need? No
County Ren			Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated EMS's - Associated EMP's -	Associated FME's		County Kerr
	Associated FMS's -		Associated FMP's -

### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local? No		Playa?	No	Other? No
Population at risk 1	.44		# c	f structures 9			Criti	cal facilities 0	
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (acr	es) -			Roadway(s) impacted (le	ength)	0		
Number of low water crossings -		Historical road closures -							
100 Voor Flood P	iel: Deduct	ion							

#### 100-Year Flood Risk Reduction

\$528,000

Population removed from 100-yr	111	# of structures removed from 100-yr 7
Critical facilities removed from 100-yr	1	Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres) 0
Road removed from 100-yr (miles)	0	Low water crossings removed from 100-yr 0
Other benefits None		Reduction in # of road closures over 10 years 0
Impacts		
Negative impacts? No	Negative impacts description	No
Water supply contributions? No	Water supply contribution descri	iption -

#### **Estimated Cost**


Recurring costs 2600

Project Cost

% Nature-Based 0 BCR 0 Issues None








Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No			
Population at risk	-		# c	of structures		Critical facilities	š -			
Farm/Ranch land	impacted (ac	res) -			Roadway(s) impacte	d (length) -				
Number of low w	ater crossings	5 -			Historical road closu	ires -				
100-Year Flood Risk Reduction										
Population remov	ed from 100-	·yr	0	# of structures removed from 100-yr			0			
Critical facilities re	emoved from	100-yr	0		Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres) 0					
Road removed fro	om 100-yr (m	iles)	0		Low water crossings removed from 100-yr 0					
Other benefits	None				Reduction in # c	of road closures over 10 years	0			
Impacts										
Negative impacts	? N	0	Negative impac	ts description	No					
Water supply con	tributions? <mark>N</mark>	0	Water supply co	ontribution de	escription -					

#### **Estimated Cost**

**Project Cost** 

\$551,000 % Nature-Based 0 BCR 0 Recurring costs 2500 Issues None





Title	City of Buda-Li	fschutz Hea	adwaters Volun	tary Buyout	11	<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP	
ID#	113000061	Sponsor	Buda (Munici	pality)			
RFPG r	ecommend? <mark>Ye</mark> s	i	Re	ason for Recommendation	Meets mir	imum TWDB requir	rements
Proje	ct Description	1					

REGION

Voluntary, targeted buyouts for 1 or more affected properties. (November 11, 2016 Preliminary Engineering Report)

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100203	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 10
Associated FME's	County Hays
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Road removed from 100-yr (miles)

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal? No	)	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk	72		# of st	tructures 22		Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (ac	res) 10		Road	dway(s) impacted (lengt	n) 1	
Number of low water crossings 1			Historical road closures -				
100-Year Flood R	Risk Reduc	tion					
Population remove	d from 100-	yr O			# of structures removed	from 100-yr	1
Critical facilities rer	noved from	100-yr <mark>0</mark>			Farm/Ranch land remov	ed from 100-yr (acres)	0

Other benefits	None		Red	uction in # of road closures over 10 years 0		
Impacts						
Negative impacts?	?	No	Negative impacts description	No		
Water supply contributions? No		No	Water supply contribution description			

Low water crossings removed from 100-yr

BCR 0


**Estimated Cost** 

Project Cost \$565,000

Recurring costs 2800

Issues None

% Nature-Based 0



0



0

Title	City of Nixon-V	Wastewater	System Flood In	provments		1	1	GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP
ID#	113000062	Sponsor	Nixon (Municip	ality)				
RFPG re	ecommend? Yes	5	Reas	on for Recommendation	Meets mini	imum TWDB	requiren	nents
				_				

REGION

### **Project Description**

The WWTP lift station and 8th Avenue lift station have experienced inundation and caused overflows as a result of stormwater inflow into the wastewater system. Also need a new generator & SCADA System Improvements at the City's WWTP, Water Well 6/Water PI


Natershed HUC# (if known) 12100202	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 1
Associated FME's	County Gonzales
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal? No	L	ocal? No		Playa? No		Other? No
Population at risk 25	5		# of structures	13			Critical facilities	0	
Farm/Ranch land imp	pacted (acı	res) 8		Roadv	vay(s) impacted (ler	ngth)	0		
Number of low wate	r crossings	0		Histor	ical road closures -				
100-Year Flood Risk Reduction									
Population removed	from 100-	yr	0	# of structures removed from 100-yr		m 100-yr	0		
Critical facilities remo	oved from	100-yr	0	Fa	Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres) 0				
Road removed from	100-yr (mi	les)	0	Lc	Low water crossings removed from 100-yr 0				
Other benefits No	ne			Reduction in # of road closures over 10 years 0					
Impacts									
Negative impacts?	No	)	Negative impacts description	ı	No				
Water supply contrib	outions? No	ס	Water supply contribution de	escriptio	n -				
Estimated Cost									

 Project Cost
 \$3,949,000
 % Nature-Based
 0
 BCR
 0

 Recurring costs
 2000
 Issues
 None
 Issues
 Issues
 None





Title City of San I	tle City of San Marcos-Emergency Generators							<b>GUADALUPE</b> REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROU			
ID# 113000063	Spo	nsor	San Marc	os (Muni	cipality)						
RFPG recommend?	Yes			Reason	for Recom	mendation	Meets minimum	TWDB requirem	nents		
Project Descripti	on										
Purchase and install	ation of	genera	tors for te	emporary	sheltering	efforts in a	all public facilities c	capable of housir	ng citizens.		
Watershed HUC# (if	known)	12100	203				Emergency Need?	No			
						Drainage area (mi	age area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 26				
Associated FME's							County Hays				
Associated FMS's -							Associated FMP's	-			
Existing 100-Year	Flood	Risk									

REGION

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal? No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No			
Population at risk 2	20,103		# of structure	s 2,275	Critical facilities	5 14			
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (acr	es) 385		Roadway(s) impacted (I	ength) 46				
Number of low wat	ter crossings	11		Historical road closures	-				
100-Year Flood R	100-Year Flood Risk Reduction								
Population remove	d from 100-	yr O		# of structures rem	oved from 100-yr	0			
Critical facilities rer	moved from	100-yr 0		Farm/Ranch land re	emoved from 100-yr (acres)	0			
Road removed from	n 100-yr (mi	les) 0		Low water crossing	s removed from 100-yr	0			
Other benefits	lone			Reduction in # of ro	oad closures over 10 years	0			

Impacts			
Negative impacts?	No	Negative impacts description	No
Water supply contributions?	No	Water supply contribution description	•


### **Estimated Cost**

Project Cost \$58,000

Recurring costs 2500

Issues None

% Nature-Based 0







BCR 0

Flood Mitigation Proje	ect (FMP)	REGION			
Title Victoria County-Emergency Generators			DALUPE		
ID# 113000064 Sponsor Victoria (County)					
RFPG recommend? Yes Reason	n for Recommendation Meets minimum	TWDB requirements			
Project Description					
Install emergency generators at critical facilities.					
Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100204	Emergency Need?	No			
	Drainage area (mi	<sup>2</sup> est.) 33			
Associated FME's	County Victoria				
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's	-			
Existing 100-Year Flood Risk					
Flood risk type: Riverine? Yes Coa	astal? No Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No		
Population at risk 5,081	# of structures 1,129	Critical facilities 24	l .		
Farm/Ranch land impacted (acres) 85	Roadway(s) impacte	ed (length) 37			
Number of low water crossings 0	Historical road closu	ures -			
100-Year Flood Risk Reduction					
Population removed from 100-yr 0	# of structures r	removed from 100-yr 0			
Critical facilities removed from 100-yr 0	Farm/Ranch lan	Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres) 0			
Road removed from 100-yr (miles) 0	Low water cross	Low water crossings removed from 100-yr 0			
Other benefits None	Reduction in # c	Reduction in # of road closures over 10 years 0			
Impacts					
	impacts description No				
Water supply contributions? No Water sup	pply contribution description -				
Estimated Cost					
Project Cost \$551,000	% Nature-Based 0	BCR 0			
Recurring costs 2500	Issues None				
Manage An			Austin		














REGION

#### **Project Description**

Proposed project to add two additional 10 ft. by 10 ft. reinforced concrete box culverts on either side of the existing two- 10 ft. by 10 ft. box culverts at Guadalupe River Dr.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100202	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Guadalupe
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 6	1		# c	f structures 18	<b>;</b>	Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land im	npacted (acr	es) 0			Roadway(s) impacted (length)	0	
Number of low wat	er crossings	0			Historical road closures -		
100-Year Flood R	isk Reduct	tion					
Population removed	d from 100-	yr 1	.3		# of structures removed f	om 100-yr	6

Critical facilities removed from 100-yr		0	Farm/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres) 0			
Road removed from 100-yr (miles)		1	Low water crossings removed from 100-yr	1		
Other benefits	efits Relieve structures upstream Mays Creek fro		Reduction in # of road closures over 10 years	0		
Impacts						
Negative impacts	? No	Negative impacts description	No			

Water supply contributions? No	Water supply contribution description	-

#### Estimated Cost

Project Cost \$594,000

Recurring costs 3000

Issues None

% Nature-Based 2





BCR 1

GUADALUPE City of Victoria Channel and Bridge Modifications on State Highway 87 Project Title AL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP 113000067 ID# Sponsor Victoria (Municipality) RFPG recommend? Yes Reason for Recommendation Meets minimum TWDB requirements

REGION

#### **Project Description**

Proposed channel and bridge modification project. The design modification consists of adding two additional piers to the right and left overbanks of the bridge.

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100204	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 0
Associated FME's	County Victoria
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk	5		# of	structures 3		Critical facilitie	s 0
Farm/Ranch land in	npacted (aci	es) 68		F	Roadway(s) impacted (le	ength) 0	
Number of low wat	ter crossings	0		H	listorical road closures	-	
100-Year Flood R	Risk Reduct	tion					
Population remove	d from 100-	ur	4		# of structures remo	oved from 100-vr	2

Population remo	ved from 100-yr		4	# of structi	ures removed from 10	0-yr	2
Critical facilities	removed from 100	)-yr	0	Farm/Ranc	ch land removed from	100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed fr	om 100-yr (miles)		0	Low water	crossings removed fro	om 100-yr	0
Other benefits	Reduces severity	and f	requency of flooding along SH	Reduction	in # of road closures o	ver 10 years	0
Impacts							
Negative impacts	s? No		Negative impacts description	No			
Water supply cor	ntributions? No		Water supply contribution descripti	on -			

#### **Estimated Cost**

**Project Cost** \$8,350,000

Recurring costs 42000


% Nature-Based 2

BCR 0

Issues SWPP implementation, utility relocation, geotechnical analysis







REGION

#### **Project Description**

Proposed detention structure located upstream of State Highway 87. The detention basin has a proposed height of 11ft from crest to inlet structure. The dam has a proposed capacity of 3700 ac-ft. Three culvert outlet structures are proposed to be used for

Watershed HUC# (if known) 12100204	Emergency Need? No
	Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.) 1
Associated FME's	County Victoria
Associated FMS's -	Associated FMP's -

### **Existing 100-Year Flood Risk**

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal	No	Lo	cal? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 1	26		#	of structures	55		Critical facilities	0
Farm/Ranch land im	npacted (ac	res) 230			Roadwa	ay(s) impacted (length	) 0	
Number of low wat	er crossings	0			Historio	cal road closures -		
100-Year Flood R	isk Reduc	tion						
Population removed	d from 100-	yr	52		# o	f structures removed	rom 100-yr	38
Critical facilities rem	noved from	100-yr	0		Far	m/Ranch land remove	d from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed from	n 100-yr (mi	les)	0		Lov	w water crossings rem	oved from 100-yr	0
Other benefits	one				Ree	duction in # of road clo	osures over 10 years	0
Impacts								
Negative impacts?	No	D	Negative impa	cts descriptio	n	No		
Water supply contri	butions? No	C	Water supply	ontribution d	escription	-		


#### **Estimated Cost**

**Project Cost** \$58,395,000

Recurring costs 292000

% Nature-Based 1

BCR 0









REGION

#### **Project Description**

Project for detention on York Creek. The currently proposed dam height of 48 ft. and dam length of 4800 ft. will provide a maximum storage capacity of approximately 48,130 ac-ft.

Watershed HUC# (if known)	12100203	Emergency Need? No	
		Drainage area (mi <sup>2</sup> est.)	4
Associated FME's		County Guadalupe	
Associated FMS's -		Associated FMP's -	

### Existing 100-Year Flood Risk

Flood risk type:	Riverine?	Yes	Coastal?	No	Local? No	Playa? No	Other? No
Population at risk 4	11		# o	f structures 1	83	Critical facili	ties 0
Farm/Ranch land im	pacted (acre	es) 2,300			Roadway(s) impacted (le	ength) 4	
Number of low wate	er crossings	2			Historical road closures	-	
		-					

#### **100-Year Flood Risk Reduction**

Population remov	ved from 10	00-yr	287	# of	structures removed from 100-yr	100
Critical facilities r	emoved fro	om 100-yr	0	Farr	m/Ranch land removed from 100-yr (acres)	0
Road removed fr	om 100-yr (	(miles)	0	Low	water crossings removed from 100-yr	0
Other benefits	Reduces fl	ooding alor	g San Marcos and Guadalupe	Red	uction in # of road closures over 10 years	0
Impacts						
Negative impacts	?	No	Negative impacts description		No	
Water supply con	tributions?	Yes	Water supply contribution descri	iption	-	


### Estimated Cost

Project Cost \$15,133,000

Recurring costs 76000

Issues None

% Nature-Based 0





Regional view of FMP area

BCR 2

Appendix 9-A

**Table 19:** FME, FMS, FMP Funding Survey

						Estimated Costs in Plan		Sponsor				
RFPG Number	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME	FMS FMP FME - Name	Regional Plan's Unique FMS/FMP/FME Identification Number	Target Year of Full Implementation	Non- construction Costs	Construction Related Costs	Total Estimated Cost	Anticipated Source of Sponsor Funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue, dedicated revenue incl. fees)	Funding to be Financed by Sponsor (Including local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (Including state, federal and/or other funding)	TOTAL
11	Blanco County	FME	Blanco County Low Water Crossing Improvements Study	111000001	TBD	\$250,000		\$250,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Blanco County	FME	Blanco County Soil Conservation Plan	111000002	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Blanco County	FME	Emergency power generators at critical infrastructure/key resource locations project planning	111000137	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Blanco County	FMP	Detention on the Blanco River	113000001	TBD			\$9,338,463	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Buda	FME	City of Buda Dam Study	111000012	TBD	\$500,000		\$500,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Buda	FMP	City of Buda-Lifschutz Headwaters Voluntary Buyout	113000061	TBD			\$565,046	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Bulverde	FME	City of Bulverde Drainage Improvements Study	111000013	TBD	\$150,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,150,000	TBD	10%*	<i>90%*</i>	100%*
11	Bulverde	FME	City of Bulverde Local Flooding Study	111000014	TBD	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Caldwell County	FME	Caldwell County Bridge Improvements Project Planning	111000003	TBD	\$256,000	\$2,560,000	\$2,816,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #1	FME	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #1 Drainage and Utility Plan	111000004	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3	FME	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3 River Crossing Improvements Study	111000005	TBD	\$1,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$11,000,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3	FME	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #3 Repetitive Loss Property Mitigation Study	111000006	TBD	\$1,000,000	\$10,000,000	\$11,000,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #4	FME	Caldwell County Emergency Service District #4 Fire Station 2 Project Planning	111000007	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	Canyon Regional WA	FME	Canyon Regional WA Hays Caldwell Water Treatment Plant Floodwall Project Planning	111000008	TBD	\$159,355	\$1,593,553	\$1,752,908	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	Center Point ISD	FME	Center Point ISD Drainage Improvements Study	111000009	TBD	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$350,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Cibolo, Seguin	FME	City of Cibolo and Seguin Road Access and Conditions Study	111000010	TBD	\$500,000		\$500,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Cibolo, Seguin	FME	City of Cibolo and Seguin USACE Study	111000011	TBD	\$1,000,000		\$1,000,000	TBD	10%*	<i>90%*</i>	100%*
11	Comal County	FME	Comal County Evacuation and Dam Safety Plan	111000096	TBD	\$50,000		\$50,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Comal County	FME	Comal County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	111000097	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Comal County	FME	Comal County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000098	TBD	\$357,000	\$3,564,000	\$3,921,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Comal County	FME	Comal County Retention Dam Project Planning	111000099	TBD	\$8,000,000	\$80,000,000	\$88,000,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Comal County	FMP	Regional Detention on Bear Creek	113000044	TBD			\$6,973,056	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Comal County Master WID	FME	Comal County Master WID River Road Low Water Crossing Improvement Project Planning	111000100	TBD	\$700,000	\$7,000,000	\$7,700,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Cuero	FME	City of Cuero Drainage Improvements Study	111000101	TBD	\$150,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Cuero	FME	City of Cuero City Public Service Station Project Planning	111000102	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Cuero	FME	City of Cuero WWTP Floodproofing Project Planning	111000103	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Dewitt County Drainage District	FME	Dewitt County Drainage District Channel Improvements Project Planning	111000104	TBD	\$250,000		\$250,000	taxes	10%	90%	100%
11	DeWitt County, Nordheim	FME	DeWitt County (City of Nordheim) Flash Flood Mitigation Project Planning	111000105	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Flatonia	FME	City of Flatonia Drainage Project Planning	111000015	TBD	\$2,739,000	\$27,390,000	\$30,129,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Flatonia	FME	City of Flatonia WWTP Floodproofing Project Planning	111000016	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Garden Ridge	FME	City of Garden Ridge Drainage Improvements Project Planning	111000017	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Gillespie County	FME	Gillespie County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	111000106	TBD	\$50,000		\$50,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*

						Estimated Costs in Plan			Sponsor			
RFPG Number	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME	FMS FMP FME - Name	Regional Plan's Unique FMS/FMP/FME Identification Number	Target Year of Full Implementation	Non- construction Costs	Construction Related Costs	Total Estimated Cost	Anticipated Source of Sponsor Funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue, dedicated revenue incl. fees)	Funding to be Financed by Sponsor (Including local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (Including state, federal and/or other funding)	TOTAL
11	Gonzales	FME	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Improvement Project Planning	111000018	TBD	\$600,000	\$6,000,000	\$6,600,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Gonzales	FME	City of Gonzales Tinsley Creek Flood Mitigation Project Planning	111000019	TBD	\$430,000	\$4,293,000	\$4,723,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Gonzales County	FME	Gonzales County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000107	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Gonzales County	FMP	Regional Detention on Peach Creek	113000047	TBD			\$7,821,176	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe Blanco RA	FME	GBRA FEMA Cooperating Technical Partners (CTP) Modeling and Mapping	111000108	TBD	\$250,000		\$250,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe County	FME	Guadalupe County Drainage Improvements Study	111000109	TBD	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$6,000,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe County	FME	Guadalupe County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000110	TBD	\$150,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe County	FME	Guadalupe County LWC Project Planning	111000111	TBD	\$2,000,000	\$20,000,000	\$22,000,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe County	FMP	Guadalupe County Detention on York Creek Project	113000069	TBD			\$15,132,650	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe RFPG	FMS	Education and Outreach	112000186	TBD			\$977,450	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe RFPG	FMS	Property Acquisition and Structural Elevation	112000187	TBD			\$1,250,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe RFPG	FMS	Regulatory and Guidance	112000188	TBD			\$92,500	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe RFPG	FMS	Flood Measurement and Warning	112000189	TBD			\$9,541,200	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Guadalupe RFPG	FMS	Infrastructure Projects	112000190	TBD			\$21,611,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Hays County	FME	Hays County Dam Inundation Maps	111000112	TBD	\$500,000		\$500,000	General fund	25%	75%	100%
11	Hays County	FME	Hays County Harden Critical Infrastructure Project Planning Use County Project Planning (Willow)	111000113	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	General fund	25%	75%	100%
11	Hays County	FME	Hays County Drainage Project Planning (Willow Springs Creek between McCarty Lane and Hunter Road)	111000114	TBD	\$800,000	\$8,000,000	\$8,800,000	General fund or bonds	25%	75%	100%
11	Hays County	FME	Hays County Drainage Project Planning (Willow Springs Creek between Hunter Rd and the Railroad)	111000115	TBD	\$1,200,000	\$12,000,000	\$13,200,000	General fund or bonds	25%	75%	100%
11	Hays County	FME	Hays County Southeastern Property Acquisition Project Planning	111000116	TBD	\$800,000	\$8,000,000	\$8,800,000	General fund or bonds	25%	75%	100%
11	Hays County	FME	Hays County Community Flood Mitigation Project Planning	111000118	TBD	\$238,035		\$238,035	General fund	25%	75%	100%
11	Hunts ISD	FME	Hunts ISD Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	111000119	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Ingram	FME	City of Ingram Drainage Improvements Study	111000020	TBD	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$350,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Ingram ISD	FME	Ingram ISD Construct New Storm Drainage Infrastructure	111000120	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Ingram ISD	FME	Ingram ISD Improve Existing Storm Drainage Infrastructure	111000121	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kendall County	FME	Cypress Creek regional detention	111000138	TBD	\$113,855		\$113,855	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerr County	FME	Kerr County Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	111000122	TBD	\$125,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,375,000	taxes, bonds	25%	75%	100%
11	Kerr County	FME	Kerr County Dam Integrity Study	111000123	TBD	\$500,000		\$500,000	taxes	10%	90%	100%
11	Kerr County	FMP	Kerr County Back-up Power Generators	113000052	TBD			\$806,196	taxes	10%	90%	100%
11	Kerr ISD	FME	Kerr ISD Storm Drainage Infrastructure Project Planning	111000124	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville Pinto Trail Project Planning	111000022	TBD	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville Park Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000023	TBD	\$340,000	\$3,400,000	\$3,740,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville First Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000024	TBD	\$510,000	\$5,100,000	\$5,610,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville Fourth Street Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000025	TBD	\$180,000	\$1,800,000	\$1,980,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville Hill Country Drive at SH 16 Project Planning	111000026	TBD	\$245,000	\$2,450,000	\$2,695,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*

						Estimated Costs in Plan		Sponsor				
RFPG Number	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME	FMS FMP FME - Name	Regional Plan's Unique FMS/FMP/FME Identification Number	Target Year of Full Implementation	Non- construction Costs	Construction Related Costs	Total Estimated Cost	Anticipated Source of Sponsor Funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue, dedicated revenue incl. fees)	Funding to be Financed by Sponsor (Including local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (Including state, federal and/or other funding)	TOTAL
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville Harper Street between Culberson Avenue and Lewis Avenue Project Planning	111000028	TBD	\$180,000	\$1,800,000	\$1,980,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville Circle Avenue Drainage Channel Project Planning	111000029	TBD	\$100,000	\$190,000	\$290,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville Jack Drive - Undersized Inlet Project Planning	111000030	TBD	\$240,000	\$2,400,000	\$2,640,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FME	City of Kerrville Harper Road to Town Creek (Fay Drive) Drainage Improvements Study	111000031	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FMP	Spring Street Erosion at Outfall Project	113000057	TBD			\$799,578	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FMP	Clay Street Drainage and Kroc Center Detention Pond Spillway Improvements	113000058	TBD			\$9,561,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kerrville	FMP	Coronado Drive and Junction Highway Drainage Improvements	113000059	TBD			\$527,722	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kyle	FME	City of Kyle Prairie and Woodland Restoration Plan	111000033	TBD	\$250,000		\$250,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kyle	FME	City of Kyle - N. Burleson Street Drainage Improvements Project Planning	111000034	TBD	\$983,000	\$9,830,000	\$10,813,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kyle	FMP	Plum Creek Tributary 3 Arbor Knot Dr. Improvement	113000006	TBD			\$557,397	TBD	10%*	<i>90%*</i>	100%*
11	Kyle	FMP	Plum Creek Tributary 4 Sledge Rd. Improvement	113000007	TBD			\$1,148,532	TBD	10%*	<del>9</del> 0%*	100%*
11	Kyle	FMP	65ft Channel Modification and Additional Culvert	113000010	TBD			\$589,402	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Kyle	FMP	Plum Creek Detention Pond Upstream of IH35	113000011	TBD			\$863,674	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Lockhart	FME	City of Lockhart Drainage Improvements Study	111000035	TBD	\$2,400,000	\$2,400,000	\$4,800,000	TBD	1%	99%	100%
11	Lockhart	FME	City of Lockhart USACE Study	111000036	TBD	\$360,000		\$360,000	TBD	1%	99%	100%
11	Luling	FME	City of Luling Drainage Improvements Study	111000037	TBD	\$150,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Martindale	FME	City of Martindale Drainage Improvements Study	111000038	TBD	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$350,000	taxes	1%	99%	100%
11	Mountain City	FME	City of Mountain City Repetitive Loss Structure Mitigation Study	111000039	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	New Braunfels	FME	City of New Braunfels - Box Culvert Installation to Reduce Flood Risk on Blieders Creek, Comal River and Landa Park Project Planning	111000043	TBD	\$878,000	\$10,888,000	\$11,766,000	agreement with developer	42%	58%	100%
11	New Braunfels	FME	City of New Braunfels Faust St / Nacogdoches Ave Improvements Project Planning	111000044	TBD	\$1,102,000	\$12,425,000	\$13,527,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	New Braunfels	FME	City of New Braunfels Dry Comal Creek Tributary East Watershed Project Planning	111000045	TBD	\$344,000	\$4,464,000	\$4,808,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	New Braunfels	FME	City of New Braunfels Hunters Creek Regional Project Planning	111000047	TBD	\$211,000		\$211,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	New Braunfels	FME	City of New Braunfels South Guadalupe Tributary Watershed Project Planning	111000048	TBD	\$168,000	\$1,512,000	\$1,680,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	New Braunfels	FME	City of New Braunfels Dry Comal Creek West Watershed Project Planning	111000049	TBD	\$126,000	\$1,459,000	\$1,585,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	New Braunfels	FMP	Wood Road/Landa Street Drainage Improvement	113000013	TBD			\$35,757,024	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	Niederwald	FME	City of Niederwald Engineering Review of City Hall	111000051	TBD	\$10,000		\$10,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Nixon	FME	City of Nixon Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000052	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Nixon	FMP	City of Nixon-Wastewater System Flood Improvments	113000062	TBD			\$3,948,714	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	San Marcos	FME	City of San Marcos Regional Detention Study	111000054	TBD	\$200,000		\$200,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	San Marcos	FME	City of San Marcos Modeling of Purgatory Creek and Willow Springs Creek Overflow Area	111000055	TBD	\$271,000		\$271,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*

						Estimated Costs in Plan			Sponsor			
RFPG Number	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME	FMS FMP FME - Name	Regional Plan's Unique FMS/FMP/FME Identification Number	Target Year of Full Implementation	Non- construction Costs	Construction Related Costs	Total Estimated Cost	Anticipated Source of Sponsor Funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue, dedicated revenue incl. fees)	Funding to be Financed by Sponsor (Including local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (Including state, federal and/or other funding)	TOTAL
11	San Marcos	FME	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Jackman Project Planning	111000056	TBD	\$150,000	\$800,000	\$950,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	San Marcos	FME	City of San Marcos Low Water Crossing at Mitchell and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	111000057	TBD	\$200,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,200,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	San Marcos	FME	City of San Marcos LWC at River Road and Railroad Trestle/Blanco River Project Planning	111000058	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	San Marcos	FME	City of San Marcos LWC at S LBJ and Purgatory Creek Project Planning	111000059	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	San Marcos	FME	City of San Marcos - Extension of River Ridge Parkway West Project Planning	111000060	TBD	\$298,000	\$2,979,000	\$3,277,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	San Marcos	FMP	Improve Flood Warning Systems	113000015	TBD			\$339,028	TBD	10%*	<i>90%*</i>	100%*
11	San Marcos	FMP	Purgatory Creek Channel Improvement	113000026	TBD			\$22,390,690	TBD	10%*	<del>9</del> 0%*	100%*
11	San Marcos	FMP	Sherwood/Kingwood Drainage Improvements	113000027	TBD			\$5,644,140	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	San Marcos	FMP	City of San Marcos-Emergency Generators	113000063	TBD			\$58,252	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	Seguin	FME	City of Seguin Drainage Improvements Study	111000061	TBD	\$1,100,000	\$11,000,000	\$12,100,000	TBD	10%*	<i>90%*</i>	100%*
11	Seguin	FME	City of Seguin Low Water Crossing Improvements Study	111000062	TBD	\$1,500,000	\$15,000,000	\$16,500,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Seguin	FME	City of Seguin Ingress Egress Improvements Project Planning	111000063	TBD	\$250,000		\$250,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Seguin	FME	City of Seguin City-wide Drainage Improvements Project Planning	111000064	TBD	\$200,000	\$2,000,000	\$2,200,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Seguin	FME	City of Seguin Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000065	TBD	\$300,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,300,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Seguin	FME	City of Seguin Citywide Drainage Project Planning	111000066	TBD	\$4,304,000	\$43,038,000	\$47,342,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Seguin	FME	City of Seguin Sewage Treatment Plant Floodproofing Project Planning	111000067	TBD	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$350,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Seguin	FMP	Guadalupe Street Automatic Flood Gates	113000035	TBD			\$115,095	TBD	10%*	<i>90%*</i>	100%*
11	Seguin	FMP	City of Seguin Regional Detention Southwest of Seguin City Limits Project	113000065	TBD			\$2,014,511	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Seguin	FMP	City of Seguin - Culvert Improvements at Guadalupe River Drive Project	113000066	TBD			\$593,857	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Travis County	FME	Travis County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000126	TBD	\$300,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,300,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Uhland	FME	City of Uhland Drainage Improvement Project Planning	111000068	TBD	\$1,334,000	\$13,331,000	\$14,665,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Upper Guadalupe River Authority	FME	Upper Guadalupe River Authority Evaluation of Water and Sediment Control Facilities	111000127	TBD	\$250,000		\$250,000	TBD	0%	100%	100%
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Drainage Improvement Study	111000069	TBD	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Harden Critical Infrastructure Project Planning	111000070	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000071	TBD	\$150,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Flood Gate Project Planning	111000072	TBD	\$45,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,045,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Regional Drainage Solutions Project Planning	111000073	TBD	\$1,327,962	\$13,279,625	\$14,607,587	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria - Storm Sewer Improvements Project Planning	111000074	TBD	\$3,946,100	\$39,461,000	\$43,407,100	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Clean and Televise Storm Sewers Project Planning	111000075	TBD	\$1,662,106	\$16,621,061	\$18,283,167	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Regrade Priority Ditches and Driveway Culverts Project Planning	111000076	TBD	\$1,165,853	\$11,658,531	\$12,824,384	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Repair Channel Failures & Sediment Removal Project Planning	111000077	TBD	\$276,201	\$2,762,014	\$3,038,215	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FME	City of Victoria Stream Restoration Study	111000078	TBD	\$500,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,500,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FMP	City of Victoria Back-up Power Generators	113000060	TBD			\$550,864	TBD	10%*	<i>90%*</i>	100%*

						Es	timated Costs in F	Plan	Sponsor	Funding		
RFPG Number	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME	FMS FMP FME - Name	Regional Plan's Unique FMS/FMP/FME Identification Number	Target Year of Full Implementation	Non- construction Costs	Construction Related Costs	Total Estimated Cost	Anticipated Source of Sponsor Funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue, dedicated revenue incl. fees)	Funding to be Financed by Sponsor (Including local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Other Funding Needed (Including state, federal and/or other funding)	TOTAL
11	Victoria	FMP	City of Victoria Channel and Bridge Modifications on State Highway 87 Project	113000067	TBD			\$8,350,270	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria	FMP	City of Victoria Detention Structure Located Upstream of State Highway 87 Project	113000068	TBD			\$58,395,033	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria County	FME	Victoria County Planning and Development Standards Study	111000128	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria County	FME	Victoria County Drainage Improvements Study	111000129	TBD	\$150,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria County	FME	Victoria County FIRMs	111000130	TBD	\$500,000		\$500,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria County	FME	Victoria County Drainage Improvements around County EOC Project Planning	111000131	TBD	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$350,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria County	FME	Victoria County Bridge Improvements Project Planning	111000132	TBD	\$500,000	\$5,000,000	\$5,500,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria County	FME	Victoria County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000133	TBD	\$300,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,300,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Victoria County	FMP	Victoria County-Emergency Generators	113000064	TBD			\$550,864	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Waelder	FME	City of Waelder Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000079	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Waelder	FMP	Baldridge Creek Regional Detention Pond	113000036	TBD			\$2,573,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Waelder	FMP	Baldridge Creek Channel and Culvert Improvement	113000037	TBD			\$3,927,508	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wilson County	FME	Wilson County Stormwater Management Plan	111000134	TBD	\$500,000		\$500,000	taxes	10%	90%	100%
11	Wilson County	FME	Wilson County Low Water Crossing Improvements Project Planning	111000135	TBD	\$150,000	\$1,200,000	\$1,350,000	taxes	10%	90%	100%
11	Wilson County	FME	Wilson County Voluntary Buyout Program Project Planning	111000136	TBD	\$150,000	\$850,000	\$1,000,000	taxes	10%	90%	100%
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Drainage Master Plan	111000080	TBD	\$150,000		\$150,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley FM 1492 at Blanco River Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000081	TBD	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Hidden Valley at Blanco River Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000082	TBD	\$100,000	\$800,000	\$900,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Little Arkansas at Blanco River Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000083	TBD	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Valley Drive at Pierce Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000084	TBD	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$600,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Flite Acres Road Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000085	TBD	\$100,000	\$500,000	\$600,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley FM 1492 at Pierce Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000086	TBD	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$350,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Wilson Creek at River Road Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000087	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Green Acres Dr. at Fire Station Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000088	TBD	\$100,000	\$250,000	\$350,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Leveritt's Loop Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000089	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Spoke Hollow Dr. at Spoke Pile Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000090	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley River Road at Western City Limit Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000091	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Paradise Hills Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000092	TBD	\$100,000		\$100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley River Road Reconstruction Project Planning	111000093	TBD	\$100,000	\$850,000	\$950,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Little Ranches at Panther Creek Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000094	TBD	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FME	City of Wimberley Hoots Holler Low Water Crossing Project Planning	111000095	TBD	\$100,000	\$1,000,000	\$1,100,000	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Wimberley	FMP	Wilson Creek - Green Acres Dr. Improvement	113000039	TBD			\$1,246,339	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*

						Estimated Costs in Plan			Sponsor Funding			
RFPG Number	Sponsor Entity Name	FMS or FMP or FME	FMS FMP FME - Name	Regional Plan's Unique FMS/FMP/FME Identification Number	Target Year of Full Implementation	Non- construction Costs	Construction Related Costs	Total Estimated Cost	Anticipated Source of Sponsor Funding (e.g., taxes; general revenue, dedicated revenue incl. fees)	Funding to be Financed by Sponsor (Including local, county, or regional mechanisms available but not yet fully utilized)	Needed (Including state, federal and/or other	TOTAL
11	Woodcreek	FMP	Regional Detention South of Mountain Crest Drive	113000040	TBD			\$945,955	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Woodcreek	FMP	Improvements to Brookside Drive Culvert Crossing	113000041	TBD			\$38,175	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*
11	Woodcreek	FMP	Brookmeadow Drive Drainage Improvements	113000042	TBD			\$64,781	TBD	10%*	90%*	100%*

Appendix 10-A | Summary Memorandum of Pre-Planning Meeting August 4, 2021

### Blanton 🚷 Associates, Inc.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING \* PLANNING \* PROJECT MANAGEMENT

# Memorandum

To:	Lauren Willis - Director of Regulatory & Customer Affairs, Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority
	Jay Scanlon, PE, CFM, ENV SP - Project Manager, Freese & Nichols, Inc.
	Adam Conner – Assistant Project Manager, Freese & Nichols, Inc.
From:	Velma R. Danielson, Project Manager/Public Involvement Lead, Blanton & Associates Alicia Reinmund-Martinez, Deputy Project Manager
Date:	August 17, 2021

Re: Summary Report – Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group Pre-Planning Public Meeting – August 4, 2021

The Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) held their second pre-planning public meeting on Wednesday, August 4, 2021 as an item on their regular monthly RFPG meeting agenda. The purpose of this agenda item was to solicit public input regarding suggestions and recommendations on the development of the Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan. Below is a summary of the meeting discussion related to this agenda item.

### **Meeting Attendance**

There were 61 attendees, (16 RFPG members, seven elected officials, 32 members of the public, one Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority (GBRA) staff member and eight members of the consultant team assisting the Guadalupe RFPG with developing the 2023 Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan), at the August 4, 2021 Guadalupe RFPG Meeting. Sign-in sheets are included in **Appendix A**.

### **Pre-Planning Public Meeting Format**

While the Guadalupe RFPG regular monthly meeting began at 4:02 p.m., the pre-planning public meeting agenda item began at approximately 5:20 p.m. Chairman Doug Miller reviewed the guidelines for those wanting to provide public comments. Chairman Miller also stated that RFPG members would not be addressing comments during the meeting as this was their opportunity to hear from the public. He then opened the meeting for public input. Eleven individuals spoke and provided comments, with one speaker submitting copies of emails and letters concerning flood planning and potential solutions. A matrix of the stakeholder and public comments received is found in **Appendix B**, and the emails and letters submitted are found in **Appendix C**. The meeting adjourned at 6:02 p.m.

If you have any questions, please let us know.

### Appendix A

Sign-In Sheets

### Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group

### Wednesday, August 4, 2021

Last Name	First Name	Organization	Email	Signature
Brzozowski	Patrick	Region 10 Liaison	pbrzozowski@Inra.org	
Buck	Ray	River Authorities	rbuck@ugra.org	M
Christmas	Bobby	Electric Generating	bchristmas@gvec.org	FBCOD
Conner	Adam	FNI	adam.conner@freese.com	
Danielson	Velma	Blanton	velma.danielson@blantonassociates.com	Volma
Durden	Don	Public	don.durden@co.kendall.tx.us	Am phul
Fieseler	Ron	Water Districts	manager@blancogw.org	Ron Fiche
Gill	Ken	Municipalities	kgill@victoriatx.gov	0111-
Harris	Daniel	Scheibe Consulting		at the
Hegemier	Tom	Doucet & Associates	thegemier@doucetengineers.com	Julie M. allin-
Johnson	Natalie	TDEM	natalie.johnson@tdem.texas.gov	1
Johnston	John	Counties	jjohnston@vctx.org	
Klumpp	Joel	TCEQ	joel.klumpp@tceq.texas.gov	0
McCool	Jami	Texas Agriculture	Jami.McCool@TexasAgriculture.gov	amin Su "Call
McDaniel	Joseph	Water Utilities	jimcdaniel@aquaamerica.com	
Meitzen	Kimberly	Public	kmeitzen@txstate.edu	Acintry her b
Miller	Doug	Agricultural	doug@miller-miller.com	Dog Miller
Nash	Allen	TSSWCB	anash@tsswcb.texas.gov	allah
Pantalion	Joe	Municipalities	jpantalion@sanmarcostx.gov	MA
Parker	Beth	Flood Districts	bparker@dcdd1.com	
Peace	Annalisa	Environmental	annalisa@aquiferalliance.org	X
Perkins	Brian	River Authorities	bperkins@gbra.org	Present
Reilly	Sue	TPWD	Sue.Reilly@TPWD.Texas.gov	
Robles	Kris	GLO	kris.robles glo@recovery texas gov	
Ryan	Robert	Blanton	rrvan@blantonassociates.com	- 1 A
Scanlon	Jay	FNI	jay.scanlon@freese.com	Children
Scott	Suzanne	Region 12 Liaison	suzanne.scott@tnc.org	Massault
Sethness	Doug	Flood Districts	dsethness@reagan.com	Dethum
Shell	Lon	Counties	lon.shell@co.hays.tx.us	fresent
Stone	Kevin	Industries	kevin.stone@martinmarietta.com	0
Villarreal	Gian	Small Business	GVILLARREAL@seaguilpme.com	anda.l
White	Morgan	TWDB	morgan.white@twdb.texas.gov	Mouth

August 4, 2021

Wimberley Community Center 14068 Ranch Rd 12, Wimberley, TX 78676

Name (Print)	Affiliation	Address, Email or Phone Number	How did you hear about the meeting? (Email, Social Media post, Website, other?)	Comment during the meeting? Yes or No
John Espinoza	City of Sun Marga	jespinoze@sanmarastx.gov	Other	No
KEN BENNETT	Centert Point	KBENNETT 4834@GMAil.com 830-456-5010		NO
Mika Junes	HAYS CO. OF S	810 S-STAG RECOACH TK. Schulkros Mike Jones GCI. ha	15, The 45	N
Dianne Wasse	nich individua		eincer	yes
melissazaride	- Guadalupe co.	2605 N. Guadalopest 880.303.8858	email	0
Shelly Jackson	Guadalyze Co		email	No
GARY LOUIE	KENDALL	POB 905 Compost gary a loure@gmail	email	Tes
Viaque Maldande	PEC	512-755-2446	Email	16
Kurt Backner	PEC	830-330-0655	Ema.)	No
Dennis Engelke	Coldwell County	dennis engelke e eo. Caldwell, tx. us		us yes
Nathan Glaiser	Cib of Wimberley	nglaisev@ City of wimbe		he

Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group August 4, 2021

X

August 4, 2021

Wimberley Community Center 14068 Ranch Rd 12, Wimberley, TX 78676

	Name (Print)	Affiliation	Address, Email or Phone Number	How did you hear about the meeting? (Email, Social Media post, Website, other?)	Comment during the meeting? Yes or No
	Michael BOESE	City of Windfiley	mboese e city of wimberley, com	Encil invite	NO
	Charlie Flatten	Aus Trinity GCD	grandwater.	om	No
-	Eugenie Schieve		Eugenie schieve a	Email	NO
	Toyce Mannuzzi	Sen. Campbell	joyce. vannuzzi@ " senate. texas. gove	email	No
	Diana Generales	PEC	POBOX 1, Johnson Cit	conail	NO
	Blake Dollador	City of Buda	buchedor Bci, budatives	evail	No
	JIM GUIN	THEM	James. guin@tden. texas. gov	Email Added	Noyes
	Marcus Pacheco	Hays County	Marcus Pacheco Chays. (s. #	emi 1	NO
	Bob MAYE	hand owner lippia C.	robert MAYO 43@ Joiles	PNC, bor	Yes
	LINDA BS	to Helf Lat		30 rutinorr.	in 18
	Belton Bish	20 4			

Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group August 4, 2021

August 4, 2021

Wimberley Community Center 14068 Ranch Rd 12, Wimberley, TX 78676

Name (Print)	Affiliation	Address, Email or Phone Number	How did you hear about the meeting? (Email, Social Media post, Website, other?)	Comment during the meeting? Yes or No
Humber to Runs	CNWA	huromas Berna	Com	
MICHAELSHARP	CITY OF SEGUIN	108 E MOUNTAIN 7B		
Dishman	1 Disbetur	103 Treactory Dr	Deaspa	245
Shaila Stiles		422 Chines ST TY 7801	FRIENDS	no
÷				

August 4, 2021

Wimberley Community Center 14068 Ranch Rd 12, Wimberley, TX 78676

	Name (Print)	Affiliation	Address, Email or Phone Number	How did you hear about the meeting? (Email, Social Media post, Website, other?)	Comment during the meeting? Yes or No
*	Kari Potter		428 China St. 830-25 Centur Point IX 2654 Karipottergt Syahoo con	5 Added Amelisa Peace	YS .
	Ene VAN GAASBEEK	Hars co.		e-ma'l	NO
	En VAN GRASSERIK Ray Don Tilley Songthan Let	WVWA	125 Augusta Dr 78676	email	No
	Donathan Let	2 New Com	ty		Yes
			)		

## SIGN-IN SHEET – GENERAL PUBLIC Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group

August 4, 2021

Wimberley Community Center 14068 Ranch Rd 12, Wimberley, TX 78676

Name (Print)	Affiliation	Address, Email or Phone Number	How did you hear about the meeting? (Email, Social Media post, Website, other?)	Comment during the meeting? Yes or No
KURTSOLIS	CITIZEN	KURTSOUS EDVILOOK	Empi	
Christing Loper	Pum Creek Wetestal	RANE COALLINK KURTSOUS @OVTLOOKA Cloper @ pumarach waterson	e.gg email	NO
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

## SIGN-IN SHEET – ELECTED OFFICIALS Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group

August 4, 2021

Wimberley Community Center 14068 Ranch Rd 12, Wimberley, TX 78676

	Name (Print)	Representing	Address, Email or Phone Number	How did you hear about the meeting? (Email, Social Media post, Website, other?)	Comment during the meeting? Yes or No
Cour	Drew Engelke	Guada lupe Cour	ty drew.engelke@co.guadalu	reitxing	$\mathcal{N}$
oum	Jen Crawnover	ComalCourt	jencrownover Omycondcount	am Email	N
part	Anita Collins	HaysCo	anity collinseco.huy	strus	N
Ć	Judge Ruben Becer	ra l'II	judge becerra @ what		λ
	Mank Gleuson	City of San Movices	ngleason@soan manostx.gov	Pmgil	N

Page \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_

## SIGN-IN SHEET – ELECTED OFFICIALS Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group

August 4, 2021

Wimberley Community Center 14068 Ranch Rd 12, Wimberley, TX 78676

Name (Print)	Representing	Address, Email or Phone Number	How did you hear about the meeting? (Email, Social Media post, Website, other?)	Comment during the meeting? Yes or No
Miristine Brae	Cow Place 3	places caty uturinitaly, con	remail	NO
Joyce Yannuzi	Sen. Campbell			
N				

## Appendix **B**

Matrix - Stakeholder/Public Comment

NAME/AFFILIATION	STAKEHOLDER/PUBLIC COMMENTS
	• Mrs. Wassenich is a resident of San Marcos. She indicated that she was very interested and concerned about recreational development within floodplain.
	• She noted that during future flooding events, debris from these developments could potentially become a "battering ram" downstream.
	• Mrs. Wassenich also emphasized that land conservation measures, especially of riparian areas and in the 100-year flood plain, need to be implemented.
Dianne Wassenich/ Individual	• She suggested that these lands should be bought, and that funding for this measure should be the highest priority.
	• Mrs. Wassenich also suggested that land at higher elevations should be open and undeveloped.
	• Mrs. Wassenich stated that the City of San Marcos did a "sensible" thing by increasing the elevation at which development can occur and changing the floodplain elevation from 1ft to 2ft.
	• She would like the floodplain raised from 1ft to 2ft elsewhere.
	• Lastly, Mrs. Wassenich emphasized the importance of purchasing land.
	• Mr. Gary Louie is a resident of Comfort, Texas.
	• Mr. Louie noted that the funding for an early warning system is of importance.
Gary Louie/Individual	• Mr. Louie provided several letters to the RFPG regarding an early warning system and concern for loss of life, and he stated that the funding of an early warning system is affordable and timely.
	• Mr. Louie also stated that restrictors and retention devices will result in less property damage and provide some long-term economic benefits.
	• Mr. Louie would like to ensure that any projects keep the downstream in mind.

NAME/AFFILIATION	STAKEHOLDER/PUBLIC COMMENTS
	• Mr. Bob Mayo is a resident of Comfort.
	• Mr. Mayo was interested to know how much funding is available for these projects.
	• Mr. Mayo also mentioned that people have been getting drinking water out of Cypress Creek.
Bob Mayo/ Individual	• He noted that development on the land between the Cypress Creek and the river is not possible and suggested turning the area in to a lake.
	• Mr. Mayo also cited a concern over the pumping of water to the cities.
	• Mr. Mayo asked if desalination studies have been completed.
	• Mr. Mayo would like to keep farmland in consideration during flood planning.
	• Mrs. Linda Bishop, a landowner on Lake Gonzales, expressed concern regarding a non-responsive gate on the dam.
	• She stated that the gates were up and down throughout the day of August 4th.
	• Mrs. Bishop also noted that a news service came to her property to report on the issues at the dam.
Linda Bishop/ Individual	• Mrs. Bishop also expressed concern over the homes in Gonzales and Cuero that were destroyed and is afraid that will happen to her property.
Individual	• She stated that as of August 3 <sup>rd</sup> , both gates were down at the Lake Gonzales Dam.
	• Mrs. Bishop stated that "those dams need to be in place for the next flood. Now there is no H-5, and no dam for Lake Gonzales."
	• Mrs. Bishop noted that she is afraid Lake Gonzales will be drained like Lake Dunlap.
	• Mrs. Bishop wanted to clarify that she did not contact the news service to come to her property.

NAME/AFFILIATION	STAKEHOLDER/PUBLIC COMMENTS
	• Mrs. Sara Dishman stated that she is a Hays County resident and a former City of Wimberley Councilmember.
	• Mrs. Dishman noted that rock wall structures with stairs have been built along the river to create easy access to the river.
	• Mrs. Dishman emphasized that this development was dangerous.
	• Mrs. Dishman stated that she was present during the flood in 2015. She noted that six years have passed, and people have forgotten.
	• Mrs. Dishman commented that current officials are not making flood planning a priority.
Sara Dishman/ Individual and former City of Wimberley Councilmember	• Mrs. Dishman emphasized the importance of disseminating information to local governments, and said that communication is lacking, and that city elected officials are not aware of flooding issues.
	• She then cited the lack of communication has led to local governments not enforcing rules, which would have prevented the development of the rock walls along the river.
	• Mrs. Dishman wanted construction activities along the river to be better enforced and regulated.
	• Mrs. Dishman wanted to ensure that municipalities have the information needed so that the rules don't change when the people in charge change.
	• Mrs. Dishman wanted rule enforcement to be more consistent from the City of Wimberley and believed there is a gap in communication between the City of Wimberley and the citizens.
	• Commissioner Letz noted that Kerr County is part of five river basins, making it difficult to plan for. He encouraged that there should be direct communication with county judges and mayors.
	• Commissioner Letz stated that conservation priorities will have a huge impact on water quality and runoff.
Commissioner Jonathan Letz/ Kerr County	• Commissioner Letz noted that he would like to take into consideration conservation efforts, partner with NRCS, and keep water quality in mind.
	• Commissioner Letz also noted that RV parks need to be looked at.
	• Commissioner Letz notified the RFPG that Kerr County will be submitting three flood planning projects, and he wanted to know how to do that and what the deadline for submission was.
	• He also stated that there will be two joint projects from Kendall/Kerr counties that will be submitted to the RFPG.

NAME/AFFILIATION	STAKEHOLDER/PUBLIC COMMENTS
	• Mr. Engelke stated that Caldwell County has been identified as a natural disaster county many times.
	• Mr. Engelke stated that flood planning will take a collaborative effort. He wanted to work collaboratively with this RFPG.
	• He encouraged county officials to get involved in the flood planning process.
Dennis Engelke/	• Mr. Engelke noted that Caldwell County has applied for a grant to develop a (flood) management plan and has utilized existing resources.
Caldwell County staff	• He suggested that others take advantage of the existing resources, such as TWDB grants.
	• Mr. Engelke also noted that Caldwell County is involved in a buy- out program to turn previously flooded properties into green space.
	• Mr. Engelke wanted to encourage local governments to work together to solve this problem and thanked the RFPG for being an available collaborative resource.
	• Mr. Engelke also made note of the growth in Caldwell County.
Raymond Slade/Individual	• Mr. Slade submitted his comments through the Guadalupe RFPG Virtual Public Meeting website. He requested that the following comments be read to the RFPG: "As a hydrologist my studies have included the Guadalupe River. I published a report about flood peaks on the river. The study documents that annual peaks have increased 38 % for the river at Spring Branch. Because of this the 100-year flood plain as published is too low. This is because the flood plain is based on historic data but does not represent increased floods. I was in contact with NOAA about Atlas 14 which represents the current floodplain. They agree with me about this problem but do not have the authority to include increased floods in the creation of the current Guadalupe River floodplains. Any questions about this can be sent to me."
	• Mrs. Potter was concerned about proposed high density developments in eastern Kerr County near the Guadalupe River.
Kari Potter/Individual	• She expressed concern that these developments and their impervious cover will have runoff that will go directly into the Guadalupe River and potentially impact drinking water downstream She noted that there will be 300 houses and RV lots.
	• Mrs. Potter commented that high density developments could be an issue and was concerned about their environmental impact.

NAME/AFFILIATION	STAKEHOLDER/PUBLIC COMMENTS
	• Mr. Gleason stated that he was acting on his own behalf. His property was flooded twice in 2015.
	• He mentioned that the Blanco River doesn't have any flood control measures and wanted to know if there have been any studies completed.
Mark Gleason/ City of San Marcos Councilmember	• Mr. Gleason stated that "we should be looking at this (flood planning) regionally."
Councilmentoer	• Mr. Gleason wanted the group to look at the Blanco River. He noted that the Blanco River has thousands of structures built within the floodplain that can't be bought out.
	• He emphasized that there is a need to implement projects for the Blanco.
Jim Huen/Texas Division of Emergency Management	• Mr. Huen is the Region 6 floodplain coordinator. He mentioned that he can offer help with hazard mitigation grants.

## Appendix C

Emails and letters submitted to RFPG on August 4, 2021

Beth Bourland #10 High Street Road Comfort, Texas 78013 bethbourland@hotmail.com

August 4, 2021

Via email

Don Durden, Kendall County Commissioner Precinct 4 201 E. San Antonio Ave. Boerne, TX 78006 don.durden@co.kendall.tx.us

#### Dear Don,

I have lived in Comfort for 36 years. My husbands' family has lived here since the early late 1900s. Our interest in flood management planning arises from both personal observation and historic understanding of the confluence of the Guadalupe River and Cypress Creek. We applaud comprehensive floodplain management strategies that consider structural and nonstructural programs on both waterways.

We support state and local flood mitigation plans that can reduce disaster losses and break the cycle of disaster damage, reconstruction, and repeated damage. Measures that arise from this work will save lives and advance community endorsed initiatives such as capital improvements, economic development, environmental quality, and riparian preservation.

Stream monitoring and early warning notification systems for flash flooding on Cypress Creek would be relatively lowcost and life saving measures that would allow residents and emergency services to respond quickly and appropriately. We consider this to be a priority.

Given that increased development along the Cypress Creek is occurring and will continue to escalate, stream monitoring will also allow us to better understand the impact of growth on the nature of flooding and quality of the water source.

Flood control on the Guadalupe River is also critical to the community. Of particular concern are the effects of high magnitude, low frequency flooding that damages the bedrock channel stream of the river. The effects of gravel deposits over time in the base flow channel chokes effective drainage at meanders and tributaries such as the point of confluence of the Guadalupe River and Cypress Creek. This increases the threat of flooding in the community and forces flood water to scour the natural riparian functions of the banks on both water ways.

Structural methods such as retention ponds or levees, and diversion channels along the Guadalupe River and the Cypress Creek, where feasible, would provide an opportunity to control rising water more effectively reducing damage to properties. Integrating retention and detention measures into developments, using floodplains for green space or parks that will hold and spread out water during floods could be beneficial. Such measures provide improved safety of all downstream communities, offer a chance to develop alternative water sources for residents of the area or enhance recreational options.

Thank you for the opportunity to address the Guadalupe Region 11 Flood Planning Group through this letter and your volunteer service on the committee.

Sincerely,

Beth Bourland

Commissioner Durden,

3 August 2021

I am writing as an individual stakeholder and as an interested party of the Comfort Floodplain Coalition to voice my support for stream monitoring stations & early high water/flood warning systems in and around Comfort and upstream on the Cypress and Guadalupe stream/river systems. As you are aware, in our community Cypress Creek has no flow or height monitoring installations, resulting in deadly surprises, giving emergency services little to no warning to evacuate residents, close roads and save lives. Early warning and stream monitoring may be considered small, but it can be very effective at saving lives and providing important historical data to improve future decision making.

At the same time, I believe no flood mitigation project In the Guadalupe River Basin (GRB) should be disregarded because of cost. The various projects underway and the execution of a GRB Flood Master Plan will be critical to all entities in the GRB, especially Kendall County. Easier said than done because of the many jurisdictions involved.

For our community, I believe an early warning system is our closest "alligator to the boat" and the most cost-effective item of the many other projects, such as retention dams, that may take years or even decades to implement.

Sincerely, Craig McDonald 409 Broadway Comfort, TX GARY A. LOUIE

P.O. Box 905 \* 126 Idlewilde Blvd \* Comfort, TX 78013 281-221-0132 \* gary.a.louie@gmail.com

August 4, 2021

Doug Miller, Chair Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group #11

cc: Kendall County Commissioner Don Durden

Chairman Miller and Planning Group,

Even though my wife and I do not live directly in the floodplain or floodway of the Guadalupe River or associated tributaries, we are quite concerned about the safety, security, and general economic impact of flooding along the watershed in Comfort.

I appreciate that issues of drainage, retention, and flooding can be complicated and expensive. The heavy rain events during the past few months have brought to light how quickly streams and tributaries can fill, causing dangerous situations for residents and travelers, especially at low water crossings.

My first suggestion for the Planning Group is to consider **funding of an Early Warning System** to protect lives. My understanding is that a system of this nature is affordable and can be implemented in at reasonable time frame.

Longer term, I hope that the Planning Group will **invest in flood control measures** that eventually will help control problems downstream. Thoughtful development of restrictors and retention devices both save lives and protect property, but have the added benefits of creating much needed water supplies as well as economic benefits for the region and state.

Your efforts to address flooding is much appreciated,

Hang Fromis

Gary A. Louie

### Guadalupe Regional Planning Group August 2, 2021

Dear Committee Members:

As property owners in Comfort, Texas, and more specifically, property owners affected by potential flooding of Cypress Creek, my wife and I encourage the committee to seriously support all efforts to mitigate flooding of this waterway. Our property is located at 228 Broadway Street.

Due to the history of flooding on Cypress Creek, structural mitigation projects are definitely the most advantageous actions to be taken to alleviate this problem. Such projects can potentially reduce the flooding itself, while also providing additional fresh water supply for the Comfort area. Such structural mitigation could go far to prevent loss of life and property damage.

Additionally, the installation of stream monitoring stations and early warning systems on Cypress Creek will provide emergency services time to warn and evacuate those residents living nearby. My wife's mother and step-father were evacuated on two separate occasions from this property when Cypress Creek flooded during night time hours.

Based upon historical events, the Cypress Creek area should be a prime candidate to receive funds to finance drainage, flood mitigation, and flood control projects along this waterway.

Sincerely,

William & micia

William G. Miears

Kathryn B. Micars

Kathryn B. Miears

### don.durden@co.kendall.tx.us

From: Sent: To: Subject: Marcy Downey Dunn <marcyrdowney@yahoo.com> Saturday, July 24, 2021 7:57 AM don.durden@co.kendall.tx.us Flood planning meeting

Don, please push for a complete and safe flood resolution. I have lived on the Guadalupe river since I was 8 years old and have dealt with it's flooding for years, I'm 72 now. For the protection of our homes, animals, human life, our businesses...we must improve things!

Thanks you for all your hard work and dedication to our community needs.

Marcy and Neil Dunn

### don.durden@co.kendall.tx.us

From:	Steve Spence <saspence@hctc.net></saspence@hctc.net>
Sent:	Sunday, July 25, 2021 9:02 AM
То:	don.durden@co.kendall.tx.us
Subject:	Ref: Flood protection in the Comfort area

Dear Commissioner Durden,

Many thanks for your continued efforts to promote flood mitigation and early warning systems in the elevations above Comfort. The recent establishment of the Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group give us a great opportunity to present our ideas and eventually get the appropriate funding to relieve property damage and loss of life as the result of flooding on the Guadalupe River and Cypress Creek.

I suggest the first order of business would be to install automated early warning systems which can be done at minimal expense then followed by structural solutions such as off channel reservoirs, aquifer storage and recharge wells, and aquifer recharge dams.

During heavy rains the Highway 27 bridge across Cypress Creek always gets blocked by dead trees creating a dam that backs up water into the nearby homes and businesses. An effort should be made to clear out the creek bed (with the consent of the landowners) for some distance, say a quarter of a mile, upstream of the bridge.

Thanks again for your help.

Steve Spence

#### don.durden@co.kendall.tx.us

From:	ctrono@gmail.com
Sent:	Monday, July 26, 2021 11:30 AM
To:	don.durden@co.kendall.tx.us
Subject:	Region 11 Flood Planning Group

Dear Commissioner Durden:

I am writing to urge the Regional Planning Group 11 to address the flooding issues, lack of early warning and need for surface water supply in the Comfort area, especially relating to Cypress Creek.

Specific items I urge the Group to consider include the following:

- To prevent loss of life and property, structural mitigation is the preferred type of project, especially when
  constructed in such a way that the structure not only reduces flooding, but also adds a new fresh water supply
  and potential recreational benefits.
- To prevent loss of life only, stream monitoring stations & early warning systems are essential and very cost effective. Large tributaries, such as the Cypress Creek, have no flow or height monitoring installations, resulting in deadly surprises, giving emergency services little to no warning to evacuate residents, close roads and save lives. These devices will also provide historical data to better understand flooding in Texas.
- Cost benefit calculations must take into account flood impact mitigation/protection in downstream communities
  all the way to the coast, as well as any benefits related to increased fresh water supply, quality of life and
  recreational implications. Reducing flooding in Comfort reduces flooding dangers in Sisterdale, Bergheim, Spring
  Branch, Canyon Lake, San Marcos, etc., and those benefits should be taken into account.
- Taking water supply into account is essential and will show that many structural flood mitigation projects are
  economically feasible due to the multiple positive effects of said structures. You cannot ignore the water supply
  benefits when areas such as Western Kendall County are forecast to suffer severe water shortages over the next
  40 years, according to the 2010 Regional Water and Wastewater Study conducted by AECOM.
- No minimum project should be disregarded. Early warning and stream monitoring may be inexpensive and considered small, but it is very effective at saving lives and providing important historical data to improve decision making in the future.

Thank you for your time and consideration of these suggestions and issues. Regards, Carol & Ruben Trono 160 Antler Falls Run Comfort Texas 78013 July 25th, 2021

Maria C. Villanueva 618 Water St. Comfort, Texas

Alfredo and Yolanda Arizola 612 Water St. Comfort, Texas

To Regional Planning Group 11.

First, we would like to extend our appreciation with the volunteers, who in their efforts, are committed to the general management of problem solving, strategizing and striving for improvements dealing with pre and post flood consequences.

We are aware of the negative impacts with flooding in our community and have directly experienced the destruction of our homes on Water Street, Broadway and surrounding neighborhoods, which caused displacement and loss of property. The loss of loved ones, although indirectly, had a deeper impact that was traumatic for all of us in the years past. The experience of hesitation, fear and facing an indecisive state of mind during impending floods has been emotionally overwhelming for many residents. In the past and present we rely on communication from local news-worthy channels, community fire departments, networking and other resources of information focusing on current weather conditions, flood warnings, etc. Those of us living in the flood zone areas rely on the senses of past experiences and can determine a more rapid direction of thought, however, they must still follow direction from local emergency organizations and responders connected with the community.

In 2016, Comfort, Texas experienced a flash flood event that completely overwhelmed the community, without warning, no communication of evacuation within flood zone, no efforts in providing barriers, no visible signs of responders going door to door reaching out to evacuate, as in the past. We all know how devastating it is to succumb to these forces of nature beyond our control. Regarding "who" should be responsible in providing flood warning systems is still uncertain to most of us. We truly believe that Education should be an important variable in allowing influences on all opinions, setting clear lines of responsibility, coordinating flood information that dispenses heightened awareness within the local flood zone community. Our families have been to Town meetings when topics are introduced for the purpose of communication or Q & A's involving community input. Comfort flood zone residents would have a better outcome and be more effective in understanding the strategies and preparing ahead with group meetings such as Comfort Floodplain Coalition provides. This group is a new avenue for our family and will certainly take the opportunity to be more proactive in the involvement and information it provides.

Our opinion...We need a more reliable flood warning system along with better flood preparedness measures so that people in this community can take action that further minimizes flood destruction of life and property. Too many years have passed in the attempts of minimizing flood impacts. Why are the creek beds and rivers still without sensors, devices and dams that could minimize the flow of flood waters and send out alerts? We understand the funding issues, budgets and constraints along with all the Regional and State involvement; however, the frustration lies within those who can make decisive action plans. We need greater clarity on responsibility for issuing effective flood warnings.

Thank you for the opportunity in hearing our sincere opinions and thoughts relating to Flood issues at hand.

Respectfully,

Yolanda Arizola

August 1st, 2021

Emmanuel Flatten 417 Water St. Comfort, Texas

To Regional Planning Group 11:

Thank you for your efforts to improve Texans' safety and security by addressing the significant flood dangers along the Guadalupe River and major tributaries. To achieve such ends, I believe stream monitoring, early warning and structural flood mitigation are necessary on the Cypress Creek, upstream of Comfort, Texas.

In 2016, a flash flood on the Cypress Creek surprised residents sleeping in their beds and emergency responders alike. With no warning, everyone was caught off guard, resulting in the death of a young woman. Her car was swept away less than thirty feet from my property line. A small memorial near my home reminds me of her family's loss daily. Had flow monitoring and early warning been in place, their tragic loss may have been avoided. Had structural mitigation been in place, the waters might never have reached homes in the first place.

I implore you to prioritize projects near the community of Comfort, and take the following into account:

Prevent loss of life by implementing stream monitoring stations & early warning systems, which are essential and very cost effective. Large tributaries, such as the Cypress Creek, have no flow or height monitoring installations, resulting in deadly surprises, giving emergency services little to no warning to evacuate residents, close roads and save lives. These devices will also provide data to better understand flooding in Texas.

Prevent loss of life and property by implementing structural flood mitigation. Cost benefit calculations should consider flood protection in downstream communities all the way to the coast, as well as any benefits related to increased fresh water supply, quality of life and recreation. Peak flow reduction in Comfort reduces flood dangers in Sisterdale, Bergheim, Spring Branch, Canyon Lake, etc.

Structural flood mitigation projects are economically feasible when the multiple positive effects are considered. The potential increase to water supply should not be ignored when areas such as Western Kendall County are forecast to suffer a 50% water supply shortfall by 2040, according to the 2010 Regional Water and Wastewater Study conducted by AECOM.

Thank you for the opportunity to make our voices heard and for working toward the betterment of Texan lives.

Sincerely,

**Emmanuel Flatten** 

### 3 August 2021

### To: Region 11 Flood Planning Group, Meeting 8/4/2021, Wimberly TX

Subject: Proposition 8 legislation, "The constitutional amendment providing for the creation of the flood infrastructure fund to assist in the financing of drainage, flood mitigation, and flood control projects."

My spouse and I are long-time residents of Kendall County, residing in Comfort near the confluence of the Guadalupe River and Cypress Creek. Our residence/property is on Cypress Creek (highway 27 bridge). I am also a 'grassroots' member of the Comfort Floodplain Coalition (CFC) which, since its inception in 2011, has been seeking ways to mitigate flooding in the greater Comfort area, which as you know is subject to significant flooding events resulting in property damage and most importantly, loss of lives.

To that end I offer some feedback/comments as requested by the organizers of this Region 11 Planning Group:

Structural mitigation, e.g. upstream dam(s), retention ponds (in Kerr County) is the preferred type of project, especially when constructed in such a way that the structure not only reduces flooding, but also adds a new fresh water supply and potential recreational benefits.

To prevent/minimize loss of life, stream monitoring stations & early warning systems are essential and very cost effective. Large tributaries, such as Cypress Creek, have no flow or height monitoring installations, resulting in deadly surprises, giving emergency services little to no warning to evacuate residents, close roads, etc. No minimum project should be disregarded. Early warning and stream monitoring may be relatively inexpensive, but it is very effective at saving lives. As well, reducing flooding in Comfort reduces downstream flooding/dangers in Sisterdale, Bergheim, Spring Branch, Canyon Lake, San Marcos, etc.

These measurement systems/devices will also provide historical data to better understand flooding in Texas.

Upstream structural flood mitigation projects will concurrently enhance the water supply and benefits areas of Western Kendall County which are forecast to suffer severe water shortages over the next 40 years (according to the 2010 Regional Water and Wastewater Study conducted by AECOM).

I trust that the above comments are of considered value to the Region 11 Flood Planning group work efforts.

Sincerely, Kurt Solis 4 Country Lane Comfort, Texas 78013 (832) 489-6236 Amy Sinclair Comfort, TX 78013

August 1, 2021

Regional Planning Group 11:

I appreciate your efforts to address flooding issues affecting communities along the Guadalupe River. Living on Cypress Creek for 17 years, I've experienced two significant floods and can attest to the need for reliable early warning systems in our area. Every time we have substantial rainfall, I suffer anxiety knowing there is no flood protection whatsoever, and I might receive no warning before the floodwaters enter my bedroom.

As I'm sure you're aware, Comfort's population has been growing faster than our local water supply can keep up with, which is another major concern in our area. Building a dual-purpose flood mitigation / water retention structure upstream of Comfort would benefit our community in multiple ways. I urge you to investigate every possible means to implement such a structure.

Thank you again for your attention to these important steps toward a safer future.

Sincerely,

Amy Sinclair

# Appendix 10-B | Guadalupe RFPG Stakeholder Survey Form

#### Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan Survey

#### Introduction

Tell us about yourself and your community.

The deadline to provide input for this planning cycle is Thursday, September 30, 2021. Comments provided after September 30, 2021 will be considered in the next planning cycle.

#### **Contact Information (Optional)**

**Email Address** 

Phone

Number	

#### 1. Which of the following best describes you?

() I am the floodplain manager for a community participating in the National Flood Insurance Program.

() I am a public-sector employee with flood-related responsibilities.

 $\bigcirc$  I am an elected or appointed official with flood-related responsibilities.

() I am a person interested in the regional flood planning process.

) Other (describe)

duction			
	and your community.		
What type of entit	y do you represent?		
Myself/General Public		Electrical Utilities	
County	[	Water Utilities	
Municipality	[	Water Districts	
Industrial Interests	[	River Authorities	
Agricultural Interests	[	Flood Districts	
Environmental Interes	sts	State/Federal	
Small Business Intere	sts		
Other (please specify)			
hat is the name of	your entity?	1	
hat is the name of hat is your job title			
hat is your job title			1
hat is your job title In which county is	e? 5 your entity located?	Lavaca Real	1
hat is your job title In which county is Bandera	e? 5 your entity located?		
hat is your job title In which county is Bandera Bastrop	e? s your entity located? Gillespie Goliad	Real	
hat is your job title In which county is Bandera Bastrop Blanco	e? s your entity located? Gillespie Goliad Gonzales	Real Refugi	0
hat is your job title In which county is Bandera Bastrop Blanco Caldwell	e? s your entity located? Gillespie Goliad Gonzales Guadalupe	Real Refugi	o a
hat is your job title	e? s your entity located? Gillespie Goliad Gonzales Guadalupe Hays	Real Refugi Travis	o a

#### 6. In which city is your entity located?

O Belmont	○ Kerrville	◯ Schertz
O Blanco	○ Kingsbury	Seguin
Canyon Lake	◯ Kyle	◯ Sisterdale
Center Point	◯ Lockhart	Smiley
Comfort	◯ Luling	O Spring Branch
◯ Cost	O Martindale	🔘 Stairtown
Cuero	McQueeney	🔵 Uhland
○ Fentress	🔵 Monthalia	🔵 Victoria
🔵 Flatonia	O Mountain City	○ Waelder
⊖ Geronimo	O New Braunfels	○ Wimberley
◯ Gonzales	○ Niederwald	Woodcreek
Hochheim	🔘 Nixon	O Yorktown
🔵 Hunt	○ Nolte	🔘 Zipp
🔵 Ingram	O Prairie Lea	
🔵 Kendalia	🔵 San Marcos	
Other (please specify)		

7. Are you aware of any other jurisdiction beyond cities and counties with floodrelated responsibilities in your area, such as drainage districts, levee districts, flood control districts, etc.?

YesNo

8. If yes, please provide the name of the entity, the name of the contact person, contact information for that entity.

### Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan Survey

#### Inventory

The Regional Flood Plan will develop an inventory of natural features and major flood infrastructure within the region. The following section will help us identify and evaluate key features in your community.

9. Does your entity maintain GIS datasets or other digital inventories for any of the following natural features in your jurisdiction? Select all that apply.

*If so, please provide this information by utilizing the <u>Upload Data</u> engagement tool at VPM Station 9 to provide any supporting data and documentation.* 

Rivers, creeks, tributaries, and functioning floodplains	
Wetlands	
Sinkholes	
Alluvial fans	
Vegetated dunes	
No digital inventory of natural features	
This has already been provided to GLO	
Other (please specify)	

## 10. Does your entity maintain GIS datasets or other digital inventories of the following constructed features in your jurisdiction? Select all that apply.

*If so, please provide this information by utilizing the <u>Upload Data</u> engagement tool at VPM Station 9 to provide any supporting data and documentation.* 

Levees
Sea barriers, walls and revetments
Tidal barriers and gates
Stormwater tunnels
Stormwater canals
Flood protection dams
Detention/retention ponds
Weirs
Storm drain systems
No digital inventory of constructed features
This has already been provided to GLO
Other (please specify)

### 11. If available, provide a link to the location of the data on your entity's website.

## 12. What percentage of the following infrastructure or natural features within your jurisdiction would you consider <u>non-functional</u>?

*Non-functional: The infrastructure is not providing its intended or design level of service.* 

	N/A	0%	25%	75%	100%
Stormwater tunnels	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Stormwater canals	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Flood protection dams	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Weirs	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Storm drain systems	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Levees	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Sea barriers, walls, revetments	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Tidal barriers and gates	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Rivers, creeks, tributaries, and functioning floodplains	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Wetlands	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Sink holes	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Alluvial fans	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Vegetated dunes	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$

#### 13a. What are the reasons that man-made infrastructure is <u>non-functional</u>?

Please indicate the reason the infrastructure is non-functional.

	N/A	Inadequate standards during original design/construction	Inherited from others	Impacts from development	Inadequate budget to construct proper system	Lack of maintainance
Stormwater tunnels						
Stormwater canals						
Flood protection dams						
Weirs						
Storm drain systems						
Levees						
Sea barriers, walls, revetments						
Tidal barriers and gates						
Rivers, creeks, tributaries, and functioning floodplains						
Wetlands						
Sink holes						
Alluvial fans						
Vegetated dunes						

13b. What are the main reasons that natural features in your area have not retained potential flood-related functions (e.g. conveyance, drainage, infiltration, retention, storage, erosion control)?

						Damage from flood or other	Lack of management	
	N/A	Development impacts	Sedimentation	Erosion	Debris accumulation	natural event	or maintainance	Invasive species
Stormwater tunnels								
Stormwater canals								
Flood protection dams								
Weirs								
Storm drain systems								
Levees								
Sea barriers, walls, revetments								
Tidal barriers and gates								
Rivers, creeks, tributaries, and functioning floodplains								
Wetlands								
Sink holes								
Alluvial fans								
Vegetated dunes								

## 14. What percentage of the following infrastructure or natural feature within your jurisdiction would you consider <u>deficient</u>?

Deficient: The infrastructure or natural feature is in poor structural or non-structural condition and needs replacement, restoration, or rehabilitation.

	N/A	0%	25%	75%	100%
Stormwater tunnels	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Stormwater canals	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Flood protection dams	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Weirs	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Storm drain systems	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Levees	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Sea barriers, walls, revetments	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Tidal barriers and gates	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Rivers, creeks, tributaries, and functioning floodplains	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Wetlands	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Sink holes	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Alluvial fans	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$
Vegetated dunes	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$	$\bigcirc$

#### 15a. What are the main reasons that man-made infrastructure is <u>deficient</u>?

Please indicate the reason the infrastructure is deficient.

	N/A	Lack of adequate standards during original construction	Infrastructure has reached its useful life	Impacts from development	Damage from flood or other natural event	Inadequate budget to maintain system
Stormwater tunnels						
Stormwater canals						
Flood protection dams						
Weirs						
Storm drain systems						
Levees						
Sea barriers, walls, revetments						
Tidal barriers and gates						
Rivers, creeks, tributaries, and functioning floodplains						
Wetlands						
Sink holes						
Alluvial fans						
Vegetated dunes						

potential flood-related functions?									
	N/A	Development impacts	Sedimentation	Erosion	Debris accumulation	Damage from flood or other natural event	Lack of management or maintainance	Invasive species	
Stormwater tunnels									
Stormwater canals									
Flood protection dams									
Weirs									
Storm drain systems									
Levees									
Sea barriers, walls, revetments									
Tidal barriers and gates									
Rivers, creeks, tributaries, and functioning floodplains									
Wetlands									
Sink holes									
Alluvial fans									
Vegetated dunes									

# 15b. What is the main reason natural features in your area have not retained potential flood-related functions?

Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan Survey

Flood Prone Areas

The Regional Flood Plan will identify flood hazards and vulnerability in the region. The following section will help us identify who and what might be harmed by flooding in your community.

16. Provide a list of historical flood events that have affected your jurisdiction. Please provide as much information as possible, such as the date(s), specific location(s) (if appropriate), newspaper articles, the financial value damages (if known).

Identify areas on the <u>Interactive Comment Map</u>, and/or upload historical information through the <u>Upload Data</u> page.

### Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan Survey

Floodplain Management

The Regional Flood Plan will consider how current floodplain management practices and regulations impact flood risks. The following section will help us evaluate these practices and identify specific flood mitigation and management goals appropriate for this region.

### 17. Does your community participate in the following programs?

Select all that apply.

National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
Community Rating System (CRS)
Do not participate but interested in National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP)
Do not participate but interested in Community Rating System (CRS)
I don't know
Do not participate in either program and not currently interested (Please Describe)
Describe here

# **18.** Does your community participate in the following floodplain management activities?

Sele	ct all that apply.
	Development review/regulation
	Floodplain or drainage capital projects
	Local assistance with home elevation
	Acquisition of repetitive loss properties
	Flood risk communication campaigns and public outreach
	Flood warning systems (Examples: flashers or staff gages)
	Emergency alert systems
	Priority evacuation areas
	Identification of vulnerable populations
	Programmed operations & maintenance
	Reactive maintenance following complaints or damages after a storm
	Programmed inspection/repair/rehab
	Asset inventory and comprehensive condition assessments
	Ordinance enforcement
	None of the above
	Other (please specify)
L	
<b>19.</b> ]	Development standards
	Floodplain ordinance
	Drainage ordinance
	Stormwater management ordinances
	Building standards for flood proofing and flood protection
	Consideration for fully developed or future conditions land use

Zoning/land use regulations

None of the above

Other (please specify)

#### 20. Infrastructure engineering design standards or Drainage Criteria Manual

Roadway
Crossings (bridges and culverts)
Storm drainage systems
Detention facilities
Dams
Levees/Floodwalls
None of the above
Other (please specify)
21. Higher standards
Freeboard
Detention policy
Fill restrictions

Other (please specify)

None of the above

## 22. What future conditions scenarios are required to be evaluated for flood protection projects in your jurisdiction?

Please provide this information by utilizing the <u>Upload Data</u> engagement tool at VPM Station 9 to provide any supporting data and documentation.

Existing development
Projected development over a future time horizon
Fully developed areas
0.2% ACE or 500-year Floodplain as proxy
We do not use future conditions considerations for flood projection projects
Other (please specify)

## 23. Identify the resources your jurisdiction uses to predict future land use and development.

Please provide this information by utilizing the <u>Upload Data</u> engagement tool at VPM Station 9 to provide any supporting data and documentation.

TX Demographic Center Population Projections
Future Land Use Plan from Comprehensive Plan
Annexation Plans
Utility CCNs
Public Improvement Districts
Texas Enterprise Zones
Transportation Plans
None of the above
Other (please specify)

# 24. Which of the following best describes how your community enforces its Floodplain Management practices?

Select one.

- We actively enforce the entire floodplain management ordinance, perform many inspections throughout construction process, issue fines, violations, and Section 1316s where appropriate, and enforce substantial damage and substantial improvement.
- We enforce much of the ordinance, perform limited inspections and are limited in issuance of fines and violations.
- We provide permitting of development in the floodplain, may not perform inspections, may not issue fines or violations.
- $\bigcirc$  We do not currently enforce floodplain management regulations.

#### Additional comments on enforcement:

The Regional Flood Plan Group (RFPG) will consider recommending or adopting consistent minimum standards across the entire region. "Recommended" standards would not require the communities to adopt the minimum standards to have projects included in the Regional Flood Plan and to be eligible for funding. "Adopted" standards would require the communities to adopt the minimum standards to have projects included in the Regional Flood Plan and to be eligible for funding. "Adopted" standards Plan and to be eligible for funding. Recommended and Adopted standards can consider the unique needs of urban vs. rural, geographic needs, or other subregions defined by the RFPG.

# 25. Should the Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) "recommend" consistent minimum flood risk management standards across the entire Region?

These standards would be considered regional best practices, but would not be required to be adopted by local communities to participate in the Plan and be eligible for funding.

🔿 Yes

) No

Please describe

## 26. What are some minimum flood risk management standards the Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) should consider *recommending*?

#### Select all that apply.

Participation in the NFIP or equivalent standards

Regulate development in the FEMA floodplain or other floodplain designation identified by the RFPG

Establish higher standards for development or freeboard (additional feet above) known floodplain (Examples: Future Conditions BFE (base flood elevation), feet above existing BFE, 0.2% ACE (500-year floodplain) BFE, feet above street or curb

Establish infrastructure protection standards, minimum design criteria for buildings, critical facilities (hospitals, schools, fire stations, etc.), roadways, drainage infrastructure (culverts, bridges, storm drain, detention facilities, dams, or levees), property acquisition, and open space

The RFPG should not recommend minimum flood risk management standards

Other (please specify)

# 27. Should the Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) "adopt" consistent minimum flood risk management standards across the entire Region?

These standards would be required to be adopted by local communities to participate in the *Plan and be eligible for funding.* 

) Yes

🔿 No

Please describe

Participation in the NFIP or equivalent standards	
Regulate development in the FEMA floodplain or other floodplain designation identified by the RFPG	
Establish higher standards for development or freeboard (additional feet above) known floodplain (Examples: Future Conditions BFE (base flood elevation), feet above existing BFE, 0.2% ACE (500-year floodplain) BFE, feet above street or curb	
Establish infrastructure protection standards, minimum design criteria for buildings, critical facilities (hospitals, schools, fire station, etc.), roadways, drainage infrastructure (culverts, bridges, storm rain, detention facilities, dams, or levees), property acquisition, and open space	
The RFPG should not adopt minimum flood risk management standards.	
Other (please specify)	
. What are the top 3 priorities the Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) should clude in the establishment of regional goals?	
elect up to 3	
Implement protective standards and policies	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms         Provide or enhance inter-jurisdictional cooperation	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms         Provide or enhance inter-jurisdictional cooperation	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms         Provide or enhance inter-jurisdictional cooperation	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms         Provide or enhance inter-jurisdictional cooperation	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms         Provide or enhance inter-jurisdictional cooperation	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms         Provide or enhance inter-jurisdictional cooperation	
Implement protective standards and policies         Identify and communicate flood risk         Quantify potential reduction in risk to life and property         Restore failing/aging infrastructure         Implement flood warning and response mechanisms         Provide or enhance inter-jurisdictional cooperation	

## 31. Are there any certain areas within the region that have especially unique circumstances that warrant their own sub-regional goals?

For example, the RFPGs may wish to consider the unique needs of coastal vs. inland, urban vs. rural areas, areas with detailed vs. approximate floodplain mapping and modeling, or upsteam vs. downstream areas.

O Yes

🔿 No

Please describe

32. Do you have any suggestions in the categories of Legislative, Regulatory/Administrative, or Revenue Generation that could help the region in the areas of floodplain management, flood mitigation planning, and mitigation, and/or reducing flooding impacts to life and property?

Legislative	
Regulatory/ Administrative	
Revenue Generation	

#### Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan Survey

#### Flood Planning

The Regional Flood Plan will identify potential study needs and potentially feasible flood management strategies and projects. The following section will help us incorporate the needs of your community.

# 33. What types of local and regional flood planning information does your jurisdiction have?

*Check all that apply and utilize the <u>Upload Data</u> engagement tool at VPM Station 9 to provide any supporting data and documentation.* 

Hazard Mitigation Plan
Master Drainage Plans/Stormwater Drainage Plans
Flood Protection Plans
Flood Studies/Flood Risk Assessments
Watershed Plans
CRS Plans
Floodplain Management Plan
Flood risk screening tools
Models, including hydrology, hydraulics or any available screening level models
None of the above

# 34. What additional relevant planning documents or information does your jurisdiction have?

*Check all that apply and utilize the <u>Upload Data</u> engagement tool at VPM Station 9 to provide any supporting data and documentation.* 

Flood disaster reports
Coastal resiliency master plans
Transportation plans
Substantial Damage Estimation (SDE) forms
Emergency Action Plans (flood-related portions)
Other information relevant to the RFPG
None of the above

35. Are there priority areas in your community with no inundation maps or detailed
studies that could benefit from a flood study? If yes, please describe the reason for
the need.

Please use the <u>Interactive Comment Map</u> to identify specific areas.

No or limited inundation maps
Outdated maps in need of updates study
Need maps to identify flooding for urban areas, low lying areas, and/or streets
No areas in need of study
36. Is there funding in your community for the necessary flood studies?
No funding identified
Partial local funding available
Full funding identified
Full funding secured
Other (please specify)
37. Have grants or loans been secured for all or a portion of this funding?
⊖ Yes
○ No
If yes, please describe

### 38. Identify the resources your jurisdiction uses to identify how physical changes to the land might affect future flood risk. Please provide this information by utilizing the <u>Upload Data</u> engagement tool at VPM Station 9 to provide any supporting data and documentation. Subsidence studies Studies on geomorphic changes Sea level rise studies Watershed studies with future conditions analysis Analysis of sedimentation of flood control None of the above structures Other (please specify) 39. What has your jurisdiction done to address flooding concerns? Nothing yet Upgraded existing drainage infrastructure Performed existing drainage system maintainence Constructed new drainage systems Performed project identification and planning Wetland/floodplain/open space activities restoration/preservation Performed more detailed analyses of areas to Implemented and enforced drainage design identify the source of the flooding criteria/floodplain management policies Other (please specify)

40. What, if any, major infrastructure under development?	or flood mitigation projects are currently
	provide this information by utilizing the <u>Upload</u> o provide any supporting data and documentation.
Levees	Stormwater canals
Sea barriers, walls and revetments	Flood protection dams
Tidal barriers and gates	Weirs
Stormwater tunnels	Storm drain systems
Other (please specify)	
projects currently under development	Interactive Comment Map. Please utilize the

# 42. Is there funding in your community for the necessary engineering evaluations and/or design and construction of proposed flood mitigation projects?

Select one.	
○ No funding identified	
O Partial funding available	
O Full funding identified	
○ Full funding secured	
Other (please specify)	
43. Have grants or loans been secured for	all or a portion of this funding?
Yes	
○ No	
○ N/A	
	ion projects in your community with
funding needs? If so, what level of funding	
funding needs? If so, what level of funding	
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?  No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community  There is a need to identify non-structural flood	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
<pre>funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?      No non-structural flood mitigation projects are      needed in my community      There is a need to identify non-structural flood      mitigation projects in my community</pre>	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?         No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community         There is a need to identify non-structural flood mitigation projects in my community         Projects are identified with no funding identified	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?         No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community         There is a need to identify non-structural flood mitigation projects in my community         Projects are identified with no funding identified	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?         No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community         There is a need to identify non-structural flood mitigation projects in my community         Projects are identified with no funding identified	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?         No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community         There is a need to identify non-structural flood mitigation projects in my community         Projects are identified with no funding identified	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?         No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community         There is a need to identify non-structural flood mitigation projects in my community         Projects are identified with no funding identified	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?         No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community         There is a need to identify non-structural flood mitigation projects in my community         Projects are identified with no funding identified	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?         No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community         There is a need to identify non-structural flood mitigation projects in my community         Projects are identified with no funding identified	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available
funding needs? If so, what level of funding projects?         No non-structural flood mitigation projects are needed in my community         There is a need to identify non-structural flood mitigation projects in my community         Projects are identified with no funding identified	g is there in your community for these Projects are identified with partial funding available

### Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan Survey

#### Funding

Flood studies (evaluations), management strategies, and projects identified in the Regional Flood Plan will be eligible for TWDB funding through grants and loans. The following section will help us understand the current funding mechanisms in your community and identity the proposed role of State financing.

45. Which of the following describes your local funding sources for flood management activites?

Select all that apply.	
General Fund	Permitting Fees
Bond Program	Ad Valorem Tax
Stormwater utility or Drainage fee	I don't know
Special Tax Districts	No current dedicated funding but interested
Impact Fees	We do not have a local funding source for flood management activities
Other (please specify)	

### 46. Have you ever applied for Federal or State grants or loan programs?

If yes, please select which ones below.
Flood Infrastructure Fund (FIF) [TWDB]
Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities Program (BRIC) [FEMA]
Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP) [FEMA, TDEM]
Pre-Disaster Mitigation (PDM) [FEMA, TDEM]
U.S. Department of Agriculture - Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)
Community Development Block Grant-Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) [HUD, GLO]
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Small Continuing Authorities Program (USACE CAP)
Cooperating Technical Partners Program (CTP) [TWDB]
State Water Implementation Fund for Texas (SWIFT) [TWDB]
Flood Protection Planning Grant [TWDB]
Texas Water Development Fund (DFund) [TWDB]
Clear Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) [TWDB]
I don't know
Other (please specify)

47. If you have not considered applying for Federal or State grant/loan programs, please state main reasons below.

od Response	
Regional Flood Plan will document the e region. The following section will help us munity uses for emergency response.	
8. Select the flood response measures you eponse:	ır jurisdiction uses for emergency
elect all that apply.	
Public Emergency Alert System (i.e. reverse 911)	Flood forecasting tool
Flood warning signs	Crew(s) set up barricades or close gates
Flood warning signs with flashing lights	Automatic low water crossing gates
Flood gauges	Outdoow siren/message speaker system
Rain/stream gauges with alerts	Swift water rescue team
Public-facing website	Cameras
Portable/temporary traffic message boards	None of the above

49. If your jurisdiction plans to implement changes or additions to the emergency response system over the next five years, select the measures that you anticipate implementing:

Select all that apply.	
Public Emergency Alert System (i.e. reverse 911)	Flood forecasting tool
Flood warning signs	Crew(s) set up barricades or close gates
Flood warning signs with flashing lights	Automatic low water crossing gates
Flood gauges	Outdoow siren/message speaker system
Rain/stream gauges with alerts	Swift water rescue team
Public-facing website	Cameras
Portable/temporary traffic message boards	None of the above
Other (please specify)	

50. Does your community have staff dedicated to flood response activities during emergency situations?

🔵 No

Yes (Please describe)

# 51. Are the staff embedded within the emergency operations center (or similar centralized location) during the event?

🔿 No

O Yes (Please describe)

# 52. Indicate the entities with whom you coordinate actions related to flood events (preparation, response, recovery, and cleanup).

Select all that apply.

	Before	During	After	N/A
Flood Control District				
City				
County				
USACE				
TxDOT				
NOAA/NWS				
Local dam owner/operator				
Local levee owner/operator				
TDEM				
Ag Extension Agents				
Brush/bulk debris contractor (on-call)				
Consultant engineer (on-call)				
Local or regional assistance through existing MOUs				

### **53.** Any suggestions/recommendations to improve flood response?

## Appendix 10-C | Public Comments

- C.1 Public Comments at Pre-Planning Meeting (August 4, 2021)
- C.2 Public Comments at Regular RFPG Meetings
- C.3 Compilation of Comment Tracking Matrices provided at Regular RFPG Meetings

### Appendix C.1

Public Comments During Pre-Planning Public Meeting (August 4, 2021) and Virtual Public Meeting Room/ Interactive Comment Map (Aug 4 – 18, 2021)

Commenter Name	<b>Commenter Affiliation</b>	Nature of Comment	Method of Comment
Dianne Wassenich	Individual	Would like consideration of recreation activities	In - person
		in the floodplain. Would like to see the buying of	
		land in the riparian area. To promote land	
		conservation. Would like to see the Flood Plain	
		elevation changed from 1ft to 2ft. The City of San	
		Marcos took this approach	
Gary Louie	Kendal	Emphasized loss of life and property; Provided	In - person
		letters from community; Would like consideration	
		of funding for an early warning system; Would	
		like to ensure that any projects keep the	
		downstream in mind	
Bob Mayo	Individual	Mentioned several projects for drinking water	In - person
		supply; Asked if Desalination studies have been	
		done; Would like to keep farmland in	
		consideration when flood planning	
Linda Bishop	Individual	Would like to see the repair of the Lake Gonzales	In - person
		dam; Emphasized the importance of the Lake	
Sara Dishman	City of Wimberley	Would like for construction activities along the	In - person
		river to be better enforced; Would like the	
		enforcing of rules to be more consistent from the	
		City of Wimberley; Believes there is a gap in	

		communication between the City of Wimberley and the citizens	
Commissioner Jonathan Letz	Kerr County	Mentioned the struggles with Kerr County being in multiple watersheds; Would like to see Mayors and County Judges participating since these entities will need to apply for funding; Would like to take into consideration conservation efforts, partner with NRCS and keep water quality in mind; Would like to consider the RV Parks along the river; Kerr County would be submitting 3 projects and 2 joint projects from Kendall/Kerr County would be submitted to the RFPG.	In - person
Kari Potter	Individual	Would like to keep in mind the effect of high- density Developments and the additional impervious cover	ln - person
Dennis Engelke	Caldwell County	Mentioned that Caldwell County has had multiple natural disasters from flood, fire and COVID-19; Would like for County, City and Local entities to stay involved and would like to ensure that this is a collaborative effort; Spoke of the growth in Caldwell County	In - person
Mark Gleason	San Marcos City Council	Mentioned that he was flooded twice in 2015; Mentioned that the Blanco River doesn't have any flood control and would like to know if there have been any studies done; Thanked the committee for their service	In - person

Jim Guin	TDEM	Introduced himself and informed the group that	In - person
		he is the individual that will be applying for	
		hazard mitigation grants	
Raymond Slade	Hydrogeologist	He shared information from published reports	VPM online
		about flood peaks on the river at Spring Branch.	
		He expressed concerns about the historic flood	
		data not accurately representing the current	
		flood plain.	
Tatjana Walker	Public Citizen	He shared opinions on recommended priorities	VPM online
		for flood control which included increase in open	
		space and park lands, development regulations in	
		the flood plain, regulatory authority for counties,	
		and protection of karst features.	
Holly Veselka	Public Citizen	She shared opinions on recommended natured	VPM online
		based mitigation strategies. She also	
		recommended priorities for flood control which	
		included increase in open space and park lands,	
		development regulations in the flood plain,	
		regulatory authority for counties, and protection	
		of karst features.	
Steven Fonville	Public Citizen	He shared concerns regarding the level of	VPM online
		development currently allowed in floodway	
		designated areas on the banks of the San Marcos	
		River in Guadalupe Co.	
Shannon Curtice	Public Citizen	She shared recommendations on nature based	VPM online
		solutions and watershed protection strategies.	

Eric Telford	Public Citizen	He expressed concerns over the floodplain	VPM online
		designation on his property.	
Laurie Moyer	City of San Marcos	Identified multiple flood drainage channels and	Interactive Comment Map
		impacted roadways areas.	
Thomas Manes	Public Citizen	Identified a flood drainage channel on the map.	Interactive Comment Map
Neil Rose	City of New Braunfels	Provided GIS data	Interactive Comment Map

### Appendix C.2

Table C.2 Public Comments Made During Regular Guadalupe RFPG Meetings

			Date of Regular
Commenter Name	<b>Commenter Affiliation</b>	Nature of Comment	Meeting
TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
Ben Eldredge	Cibolo Center for	Would like the RFPG to consider the importance	March 30, 2022
	Conservation	of natural infrastructure, such as riparian areas	
Ben Eldredge	Cibolo Center for	Mentioned the importance of natural	February 9, 2022
	Conservation and Cow	infrastructure, especially within the recharge	
	Creek GCD	zone.	
Ben Eldredge	Cibolo Center for	Spoke about the San Antonio RFPG and Dr.	December 1, 2022
	Conservation	Dorman's work with the City of Boerne on	
		stormwater ordinances. The San Antonio RFPG	
		has suggested recommendations/ordinances	
		based on the work done for the City of Boerne.	
		The recommendations were created to improve	
		stormwater quality for cities. Region 11 "would be	
		interested in Dr. Dorman presenting at the	
		February meeting".	
Alan Montemayor	Chairman of the Alamo	Spoke of green infrastructure/nature base	November 3, 2021
	Group of the Sierra	solutions being made a priority. Mr. Montemayor	
	Club	provided a letter.	

Virginia Conde	Executive Director of	Two comments. Comment 1: Since the majority of	November 3, 2021
	the San Marcos River	the San Marcos River is not within the city limits,	
	Foundation	floodplain management falls to the county, which	
		has had issues with grazing practices. It would be	
		nice for counties to have more jurisdiction with	
		regards to management. Comment 2: There are	
		many break away structures within the floodplain,	
		which has led to objects such as picnic tables	
		ending up in the river during flood events.	
Michael Pieprzica	N/A	Comment 1: Questions about flood planning	September 8, 2021
		process, rules, and recommendations. Comment	
		2: Has experience in the San Antonio area/Bexar	
		County flood control district. Mentioned that	
		frequently flooded soils area important variables,	
		and talked about the money San Antonio has	
		spent removing homes from the floodplain. Asked	
		about any assistance that can help reviewers of	
		subdivisions. Hopes that Region 11 can learn from	
		San Antonio. Comment 3: mentioned the	
		importance of natural methods for	
		treating/controlling flood waters. Comment 4:	
		Mentioned development upstream of a quarry	
		and resulting flooding. Wants Region 11 to	
		consider regional effects. Comment 5: Spoke	
		about detention ponds and soil types for future	
		developments.	

N/A	N/A	No public comments were provided at the Regular	November 4, 2021 – June 30, 2021
		RFPG Meetings occurring November 4, 2021 –	
		June 30, 2021.	

Appendix C.3

Compilation of Comment Tracking Matrices provided at Regular RFPG Meetings

Insert pdf of All Comment Tracking Matrices here.

Comments Received Via <u>comments@guadaluperfpg.org</u> July 14, 2021 – August 4, 2021

Date			Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team
	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Member and Response
8/4/21	Raymond Slade	Requested his comment that follows be shared with the RFPG at the 8/4/21 meeting:	Assigned to: B&A Response: Comment read before the RFPG on 8/4/21.
		As a hydrologist my studies have included the Guadalupe River. I published a report about flood peaks on the river. The study documents that annual peaks have increased 38 % for the river at Spring Branch. Because of this the 100-year flood plain as published is too low. This is because the flood plain is based on historic data but does not represent increased floods. I was in contact with NOAA about Atlas 14 which represents the current floodplain. They agree with me about this problem but do not have the authority to include increased floods in the creation of the current Guadalupe River floodplains. Any questions about this can be sent to me.	
8/4/21	James Blakey/ Councilmember District 6/ New Braunfels, TX	Are both meetings open to the public tonight?	Assigned to: FNI Response: Yes
7/30/21	Charlie Hastings/Kerr County	Can I join 8/4/21 meeting via zoom or other?	Assigned to: B&A

Comments Received Via <u>comments@guadaluperfpg.org</u> July 14, 2021 – August 4, 2021

Date	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team Member and Response
			Response: No Zoom capability and provided the VPM link for 8/4/21 – 8/18/21 VPM.
7/30/21	Virginia Condie/San Marcos River Foundation	Is there a Zoom link to the 8/4/21 meeting?	Assigned to: B&A Response: No Zoom capability and provided the VPM link for 8/4/21 – 8/18/21 VPM.
7/29/21	Tracy Denton/ Fayette Electric Cooperative	We are located in La Grange, Texas. I do not think this affects our area. Please remove.	Assigned to: B&A Response: Thank you for your email. We will remove from our email list. (Note: Email address removed).
7/29/21	James Blakey/ Councilmember District 6/ New Braunfels, TX	Thank you for reminder email about the 8/4/21 meeting. I will try to attend.	Assigned to: B&A Response: Thank you for email response, and we look forward to seeing him at the meeting.
7/26/21	David Pipes	As someone who has been trained in riparian corridors we try to protect the native and natural habitat within the first 200 feet from the river. This transition zone is critical to protect river banks from erosion. When at all possible encourage developers or landowners to protect the banks.	Assigned to: FNI Response: FNI responded on 8/6/21.
7/15/21	Dianne Wassenich/San Marcos River Foundation	Could not find list of public hearings that may have been referenced in other emails from L. Wills or on Facebook. This list is not on the website for flood planning. Did	Assigned to: B&A Response: Clarification request regarding email question.

Comments Received Via <u>comments@guadaluperfpg.org</u> July 14, 2021 – August 4, 2021

Date			Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team
	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Member and Response
		these dates get sent out to the public and/or members on your email list?	
7/14/21	Jimmy Harless/ Floodplain Administrator Gonzales County	Will there be another RFPG meeting a little closer to the lower Guadalupe River basin?	Assigned to: FNI Response: The Guadalupe RFPG intends to host a meeting in the lower Guadalupe River basin; has initiated the planning for a meeting in Victoria and could explore potential of hosting a meetings in Gonzales as well. The RFPG monthly meetings are generally held in Seguin at the Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority and all planning group meetings have opportunities for public input.

Comments Received Via <u>comments@guadaluperfpg.org</u> August 5, 2021 – September 8, 2021

Date	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team Member and Response
9/3/2021	Dan Gibson	I am unable to attend in person or remotely due to the heavy workload in my office. We are having to decline any meetings that are not direction related to our core functions at this time.	Assigned to: Response:
		DAN GIBSON, AICP City Planner	
9/1/2021	Lance Kyle	Dear GRFPG- I got your contact info from Annalisa Peace at the GEAA. I've got two questions: 1) Can the GRFPG provide state or federal aid to fix the stormwater time bomb in the Cascade Caverns Watershed in Boerne, Texas? 2) Can the GRFPG arrange funding to	Assigned to: FNI Response: The Guadalupe RFPG appreciates your interest in the flood planning process, and was happy that your analysis of the frequency of major flood events agrees with ours. We will present to the next planning group meeting.
		purchase critical recharge areas in Kendall County like the Pfeiffer Tract which are being threatened by development? Please see attached. Thanks. Lance Kyle   LinkedIn (703) 785-7953 **Attached two pdfs (Boerne Flood History and Pfeiffer's Water Cave) and an	Guadalupe RFPG cannot provide/arrange funding, only tasked with estimating the funding required to implement Flood Management Strategies and Flood Management Projects. Your proposals can be considered for inclusion in the plan, which would make them eligible for some TWDB funding. A member of our team will reach out to arrange a chance

Comments Received Via <u>comments@guadaluperfpg.org</u> August 5, 2021 – September 8, 2021

			Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team
Date	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Member and Response
		aerial image of the Cascade Caverns	to visit and gather additional
		Watershed.	information.
8/18/2021	Marjorie Lucey	Hi!	Assigned to: B&A
		I recently started getting your newsletter	Response: The Guadalupe Regional
		and I think it is great! It is a true service to	Flood Planning Group appreciates your
		those of us who care about the	interest in the regional flood planning
		environment. I have a complaint about	process. Thank you for these
		TXDOT. I never realized how bad for the	comments and input.
		environment they are. When they were	
		trying to push through the changes to	
		Wurzbach Pkway the plan involved the	
		destruction of the mature trees along the	
		parkway. I was appalled! At a time when	
		the western US is experiencing	
		horrendous fires it really hit home what	
		they wanted to do! Not to mention I live	
		right off of Wurzbach! We cannot let	
		private and public entities destroy our	
		mature trees! We have to stop the	
		destruction of our planet and slowing	
		TXDOT is a step in the right direction.	
8/16/2021	Elizabeth (Lisa) Arceneaux,	Hi Lauren,	Assigned to: B&A
	P.E., CISEC, CPESC/City of San	You know me and how I'm a big	Response: From Alicia- The RFPG
	Marcos	proponent of using green infrastructure to	appreciates your interest in the
		protect our streams from receiving too	regional flood planning process. Thank
		much volume, and also stormwater with	you for taking the time to provide us
		pollutant loading. So I would like to	with these comments and input

			Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team
Date	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Member and Response
		<ul> <li>include lots of options for green</li> <li>infrastructure in the plan to filter, infiltrate</li> <li>and detain storm water runoff. Here are</li> <li>some other suggestions that I think would</li> <li>help with inland flooding in cities like San</li> <li>Marcos: <ol> <li>Purchase flood-prone lands for</li> <li>parks and open space- make the parks</li> <li>infiltration areas that also provide</li> <li>recreational space and connected by trails.</li> <li>Place more stringent building rules</li> <li>and regulations within the flood way and</li> <li>floodplain- do not allow exceptions to the</li> <li>rules like many land development codes</li> <li>do.</li> <li>Give more power to the counties</li> <li>to regulate things like break-away</li> <li>structures and activities in the floodplain</li> <li>and flood way</li> </ol> </li> <li>Allow lots to be stormwater</li> <li>management lots by building the structure</li> <li>on pier and beam or elevated and allowing</li> <li>the stormwater to flow under the house.</li> <li>Allows stormwater to spread out over a</li> <li>larger area of lot when it rains</li> </ul>	Added email address to stakeholder list.

			Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team
Date	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Member and Response
		5. Increase protection of karst	
		recharge features in the Guadalupe River	
		basin	
		6. Add more green infrastructure	
		and low impact development in urbanized	
		areas through permeable pavement,	
		cisterns, rain gardens, and green roofs.	
		Incentivize these projects for funding with	
		lower qualifying percentage of the total	
		project (5% instead of 30%) and increasing	
		the amount subsidized to 80-100% for up	
		to \$500,000 or some other maximum	
		deemed reasonable.	
		7. Require 2D flood modeling with	
		the NOAA Atlas 14 updated rainfall runoff	
		predictions for the entire watershed basin	
		8. Include future development and	
		land cover change scenarios that come	
		with population growth in the modeling.	
		9. Fund 100% Green Infrastructure	
		Master Plans and Green Infrastructure	
		Implementation Plans for those cities that	
		have a Watershed Protection Department	
		10. Incentivize projects with higher	
		subsidy that have triple bottom line	
		benefits: environment, economic, equity.	

			Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team
Date	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Member and Response
		We have a great project that is being	
		discussed but not committed to by city	
		staff in San Marcos called the Green Alley	
		Initiative that would convert 2.5 acres of	
		underutilized downtown alleys into	
		permeable paved alleys that are activated	
		for public use and environmental benefit.	
		The FIF would be a great option that the	
		San Marcos City Council could consider to	
		help get this off of the conceptual phase	
		and into a preliminary engineering report.	
		The options mentioned above could really	
		benefit this kind of project and show the	
		potential of activating alleys in this	
		manner to store large volumes of	
		stormwater (up to 475,000 gallons per	
		rain event) while giving the downtown	
		area a real boost in appearance and social	
		function. This green infrastructure could	
		alleviate the grey infrastructure by holding	
		rainfall and reducing the height of the	
		peak flow reaching the grey infrastructure	
		piping. The end result is cleaner water to	
		the river, and not having to upsize the	
		grey infrastructure, plus economic benefit	
		to downtown. I hope you all can consider	

			Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team
Date	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Member and Response
		some of these options for the plan. Thanks!	
8/16/2021	Melissa Reynolds/ First Assistant City Engineer of New Braunfels	Jay, Our team uploaded low water crossings, MS4, historic flood closures, and drainage as both shapefiles and in a database format. The map upload was a bit confusing for municipal data so we also included some contact information. We have a great deal of data available for open download on our webpage which is how GLO retrieved most of it. We are open to meeting (Teams works well for us) if that would hep facilitate any other data needed by the RFPG. Please let me know if we can be of further assistance.	Assigned to: FNI Response: From Jay Scanlon – Recognition that the data had been received, and that a teams meeting would be scheduled to discuss data and ways to improve the upload function in the interactive tool.
8/7/21	Shirley Solis/ Greater Comfort Area Chamber of Commerce	Please add my email address to your mailing list.	Assigned to: B&A Response: Added email address to stakeholder list.
8/7/21	Margaret Gomez/Travis County	Referred the RFPG to <u>Shawn.snyder@traviscountyyx.gov</u> since she is up with all our records on flooding	Assigned to: B&A Response: Pending. Added Ms. Snyder to contact list.

Date	Name/Affiliation	Comment/Question	Assigned to GBRA/FNI Team Member and Response
		in my precinct as well as wherever it happens in Travis County. Continues to have interest in addressing flooding and process.	

## Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group - Public Comment Tracking Matrix For Public Meeting October 6, 2021

Comments received September 9, 2021 – September 24, 2021

Date Comment Received	Name/Affiliation of Commenter	Comment/Question	Respondent and Response Date
9/16/2021	Commissioner Jonathan Letz Kerr County	To: FNI Project Team Re: Data Submission Adam, I sent in the questionnaire. At the public meeting in Seguin, I mentioned again that Kerr County had five projects we would like to submit. One on these projects was presented to the board. I was under the impression that projects were to be submitted by 8/31/2021. We never heard what to submit or in what format. Kerr County will likely be the sponsor for any flood mitigation project in the county. Kerr County Commissioners Court does not have a seat on the flood planning board. Therefore, it is critical that that we be kept in the loop outside meetings. To date no consultant for the planning group has contacted anyone at our county level. This is becoming a concern. Thanks, Jonathan Letz	Respondent: FNI Staff (Adam) Response Date: 9/17/21
9/16/2021	Raymond Buck Jr. General Manager Upper Guadalupe River Authority	<ul> <li>To: FNI Project Team</li> <li>Re: Data Submission</li> <li>Adam,</li> <li>I spoke with Commissioner Letz today about materials he was going to submit to the consultants. I understand he did not receive a reply to his email query on how to do so. I hope he can still submit and copied him on this email so you can reply directly.</li> <li>Thanks for taking care of this.</li> </ul>	Respondent: FNI Staff (Adam) Response Date: 9/17/21

## Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group - Public Comment Tracking Matrix For Public Meeting October 6, 2021

Comments received September 9, 2021 – September 24, 2021 Comments Received Via comments@guadaluperfpg.org

		Ray	
9/12/2021	James 'JP' Fancher, DDS, PhD General Public	To: Region 11 Regional Flood Planning Group Re: Meeting 8 September 2021 Thank you for the opportunity to observe this regularly scheduled meeting. I hope to be able to observe and participate in all meetings in the future. My wife and I live on the banks of the San Marcos River in Guadalupe County across the stream from Martindale. We both have a great interest in issues concerning local and regional water management, flood plain and land management. I reviewed the online presentations in August. I added comments and also completed the online survey. I appreciate the time and effort that this working group is committed to completing in the next many months. It appeared to me that this group is still in the early stages of forming and developing a consensus to carry out the mandates and create deliverables. I was particularly glad to hear that the general purpose of this working group is to develop ideas and plans for action, not just recommendations for concrete projects. It is also my understanding that this group has no approval authority for projects but is a regional voice to gather information for further coordination. I have many ideas to share with you as this group progresses. The first is to consider that water management is much more than planning for floods. It also involves conserving a key resource that is in high demand 24/7 throughout this region and the entire state. I urge you to keep in mind that aquifer protection must work hand-in-hand with flood management. Retaining water for daily use as a key community resource is part of the solution to flood management. Please consider such innovations as swell and berm construction throughout the savannah, woodlands, and developed areas that make up the majority of this region's landscape; an innovation that will slow the runoff of water and charge the aquifer systems. I look forward to the next meeting when it is scheduled.	Respondent: Blanton & Associate Staff (Vanessa) Response Date: 9/14/21
9/9/2021	Ken Gill County of Victoria	Provided documents relating to Victoria County's Storm Drainage Master Plan (including pdf maps) and Drainage Criteria Manual. link to the Spring Creek Study for Victoria County	Respondent: Blanton & Associate Staff (Vanessa) Response Date: 9/14/21

## Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group - Public Comment Tracking Matrix For Public Meeting October 6, 2021

Comments received September 9, 2021 – September 24, 2021

9/9/2021	John Johnston County of Victoria	Provided a link to the Spring Creek Study for Victoria County	Respondent: Blanton & Associate Staff (Vanessa) Response Date: 9/14/21
9/9/2021	John Johnston County of Victoria	Provided map kmz dataset related to flood impact resources used by the City and County during a forecasted flood of the Guadalupe river.	Respondent: Blanton & Associate Staff (Vanessa) Response Date: 9/14/21

For Public Meeting November 3, 2021

Comments received September 25, 2021 – October 25, 2021

Date			
Comment Received	Name/Affiliation of Commenter	Comment/Question	Respondent and Response Date
10/20/2021	Elizabeth Yakubik Public Citizen	<ul> <li>From: Elizabeth Yakubik</li> <li>Sent: Wednesday, October 20, 2021 7:43 AM</li> <li>To: Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group <comments@guadaluperfpg.org></comments@guadaluperfpg.org></li> <li>Subject: Re: Thank you for Your Comments in the Region 11 Interactive Map!</li> <li>Yes, I'm available to talk next week. Would Monday at 10:30am work for you? I'll try to gather pictures and videos of flood events in my neighborhood as well, if that would be helpful!</li> <li>On Mon, Oct 18, 2021, 4:57 PM Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group <comments@guadaluperfpg.org> wrote:</comments@guadaluperfpg.org></li> <li>Good evening Ms. Yakubik. We have reviewed all map comments and yours is one that we've flagged to incorporate into the Guadalupe Flood Plan. Thank you for making us aware of this flood risk that our preliminary map did not capture.</li> <li>Are you available sometime this week or next, so that a member of our Technical Consultant team can talk with you to identify specific areas of flooding that you have witnessed? It could be between 8:00 AM and 5:00 PM or after 5:00 PM if you'd prefer, we just ask that you be in front of a computer with Internet connection, so that we can interactively view the areas that experienced flooding in October 2015.</li> <li>Please be assured that this modification to Region 11's flood hazard area will not change the regulatory floodplain. We are simply using citizen science to see where additional data might improve flood risk, health and safety.</li> <li>Thank you.</li> </ul>	Respondent: FNI Staff (Adam) Response Date: 10/20/21 Call on: 10/25/2021

For Public Meeting November 3, 2021

Comments received September 25, 2021 - October 25, 2021

10/15/2021	Lance Kyle Public Citizen	From: LB Kyle         Sent: Friday, October 15, 2021 4:53 PM         To: Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group <comments@guadaluperfpg.org>         Subject: Re: Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan Group (GRFPG)         Is there a video of the last GRFPG meeting?         Lance Kyle   LinkedIn         (703) 785-7953</comments@guadaluperfpg.org>	Respondent: B&A Staff (Vanessa) Response Date: 10/16/21
10/13/2021	Sherry Walden Comfort Floodplain Coalition	From: Sherry Walden         Sent: Wednesday, October 13, 2021 11:40 AM         To: Lauren Willis < <a href="https://www.new.october.com">https://www.new.october.com</a> ; Sundancecsc. Info <a href="https://www.new.october.com">info@sundancecsc.com</a> ;         Subject: Fw: Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning - project list         +Emmanuel "Mani" Flatten (info@sundancecsc.com) Mani is the spokesperson for         the Comfort Floodplain Coalition, a grass roots, volunteer group formed to         consolidate our efforts.         Thank you Lauren! You are correct, you made clear the group did not have a list of         projects yet I mis-typed when I sent my reminder email. Last Friday, I asked about         the input process, specifically where were the 11 letters our group had submitted as         we didn't see any comments for Kendall county via the interactive tool. You clarified         they were in meeting notes and the team was organizing that information         manually. I asked how they are tracking it and what visibility do we have? You         offered to send me the list that is what I was expecting, a work-in-progress list of         requirements and comments. Did I misunderstand?         Thanks!         sherry	Respondent: GBRA Staff (Lauren) Response Date: 10/13/21
10/12/2021	Sherry Walden Comfort Floodplain Coalition	From: Sherry Walden         Sent: Tuesday, October 12, 2021 8:07 AM         To: Lauren Willis <	Respondent: GBRA Staff (Lauren) Response Date: 10/13/21

For Public Meeting November 3, 2021

Comments received September 25, 2021 - October 25, 2021

			-
		Hi Lauren, when you get a chance, please reply to this email with the list of projects for Region 11 GRFP. Thank you!!	
		Sherry Walden (281) 910-3620	
10/7/2021	Joyce Yannuzzi Office of State Senator Donna Campbell M.D.	From: Joyce Yannuzzi Sent: Thursday, October 7, 2021 3:19 PM To: Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group <comments@guadaluperfpg.org> Subject: RE: Upcoming October 6th Public Meeting of Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group</comments@guadaluperfpg.org>	Respondent: B&A Staff (Vanessa) Response Date: 10/7/21
		Good afternoon -	
		I was hoping to make yesterday's meeting and my afternoon got away from me. Please keep me on the email for future meetings.	
		Thank you!	
		Warm regards-	
		Joyce Yannuzzi District Director State Senator Donna Campbell, M.D. Texas Senate District 25 District Office: (830)-626-0065	
10/2/2021	Tara Thompson Public Citizen	From: Tara Thomason Sent: Saturday, October 2, 2021 11:21 PM To: Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group <comments@guadaluperfpg.org> Subject: Meetings</comments@guadaluperfpg.org>	Respondent: B&A Staff (Vanessa) Response Date: 10/4/21

For Public Meeting November 3, 2021

Comments received September 25, 2021 – October 25, 2021

		How can you possibly expect responsible public participation in a meeting held at 2:00 in the afternoon while the majority of homeowners in the region are working to pay for their homes that are affected by these floods? It would be greatly appreciated if these meetings were held after 5:00 or on weekends, so those of us who work can attend.	
9/30/21	Laurie Moyer City of San Marcos	From: Moyer, Laurie         Sent: Thursday, September 30, 2021 5:01 PM         To: Lauren Willis <	Respondent: GBRA Staff (Lauren) Response Date: 9/30/21 Respondent: FNI Staff (Jay) Response Date: 10/1/21

For Public Meeting December 1, 2021

Comments received October 26, 2021 – November 19, 2021

Date Comment Received	Name/Affiliation of Commenter	Comment/Question	Respondent and Response Date
11/15/2021	Virginia Condie San Marcos River Foundation	See attached photo series enclosed with commenter's email. From: Virginia Condie < <u>virginia@sanmarcosriver.org</u> > Sent: Monday, November 15, 2021 2:49 PM To: Lauren Willis < <u>lwillis@gbra.org</u> > Subject: Fwd: Son's blue River video of rising water 12,000 cfs Hello Lauren! I'm sorry it took so long to send you the documentation about the debris issues we are having along the floodplain and flood way on the San Marcos River. I am going to forward you several emails with my photos, but this first one will show you approximately where the water was at 12,000 cfs on the San Marcos River. This is by no means a large flood on this river and you can see how high the water got. My next emails will show you 1) A normal water level at Son's Blue River in Prairie Lea on the San Marcos River 2) The items they normally have on their gravel bar 3) The items that were located in the flood waters 4) Some of the items that floated downstream in the small flood. My hope is that the flood board can help the counties prevent some of these issues for both the health of the river and the downstream neighbors. The potential for loss of life is concerning, along with the risk to the structural integrity of the downstream bridges due to the added materials in the river during high water. Please let me know if there is anything else you need from me or any of the downstream landowners. Thank you! -Virginia	Respondent: GBRA Staff (Lauren) Response Date: 11/16/21

For Public Meeting December 1, 2021

Comments received October 26, 2021 – November 19, 2021 Comments Received Via comments@guadaluperfpg.org or via lwillis@gbra.org

11/10/2021	Bill Barker, Great Springs Project	See attached letter enclosed with commenter's email. From: Bill Barker < <u>barker@greatspringsproject.org</u> >	Respondent: GBRA Staff (Lauren) Response Date: 11/11/21
		Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2021 3:22 PM To: Lauren Willis < <u>lwillis@gbra.org</u> > Subject: Great Springs Project (GSP) and the current Texas State Flood Planning effort.	
		Ms. Willis,	
		Please find attached a letter from the Great Springs Project regarding collaboration with the Region 11 Regional Flood Planning.	
		Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you for your attention to this matter.	
		Bill Barker	
11/6/2021	Doug Sethness, Flood Planning Group Member	From: Doug Sethness <u>dsethness@reagan.com</u> Sent: Saturday, November 6, 2021 10:30 AM To: Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group <comments@guadaluperfpg.org> Cc: Lauren Willis <u>lwillis@gbra.org</u>; 'Jay Scanlon' <u>JWS@freese.com</u>; Velma Danielson <u>velma.danielson@blantonassociates.com</u>; 'Morgan White' <u>Morgan.White@twdb.texas.gov</u> Subject: RE: Follow up Answers to Questions from November 3 RFPG Meeting</comments@guadaluperfpg.org>	Respondent: FNI Staff (Jay) Response Date: 11/16/21
		With reference to the question on the definition of LWC:	
		<ol> <li>Is "overtopping" defined? For example, is it any amount of water across the travel way?</li> <li>Where roads are used to channel water to a drainage location, is a road considered flooded with any amount of water across the travel way, whether from a 10-year event or less?</li> </ol>	
		I believe there needs to be some defining of terms to differentiate the typical LWC which would be commonly thought of as an at-grade dip in a road intended to allow	

For Public Meeting December 1, 2021

Comments received October 26, 2021 – November 19, 2021

		passage of water over the roadway running across a recognized "stream" bed in rain events instead of building a bridge. There are also roads (mostly county and FMs) with curbs where water gets trapped and also areas where the road gets flooded from ponding water, both of which cause accidents but these areas are typically not thought of as low water crossings. Is the data we are using differentiating between these different "road flooding" conditions?	
11/3/2021	Alan Montemayor Alamo Group of Sierra Club	Written Public Comment Received at Nov 3 <sup>rd</sup> Flood Planning Group Public Meeting. See attached written comments.	Respondent: GBRA Staff (Lauren) Response Date: 11/3/21

For Public Meeting February 9, 2022

Comments received November 20, 2021 – February 1, 2022

Date Comment Received	Name/Affiliation of Commenter	Comment/Question	Respondent and Response Date
Received	Rick Tobolka	From: Rick Tobolka <rtobolka@co.kendall.tx.us>         Sent: Tuesday, December 7, 2021 4:40 PM         To: Jay Scanlon          Subject: Cypress Creek Feasibility Study         Mr. Scanlon,         Thank you for returning my call.         Kendall County wishes to propose a project consisting of a feasibility study on Cypress Creek and North Creek (tributaries of the Guadalupe River). I believe the study would be classified as a FME. Possibly a future FMP depending on the benefit cost analysis. The proposed study is substantially situated in Kerr County. Kendall County has coordinated with Kerr County Commissioner, Pct. #3, Jonathan Letz pertaining to the proposed study. Commissioner Letz supports the feasibility study.         I have attached a proposed scope and location map of the proposed project. Kendall County planned to move forward with the feasibility study in the next 12 months.         Please let me know if you have any questions or comments or need additional information.         Thank you for your consideration,         Richard Tobolka, P.E.         201 East San Antonio Avenue, Suite 101         Boerne, Texas 78006         830-331-8250</rtobolka@co.kendall.tx.us>	Respondent: FNI (Jay)
12/7/21	Kendall County		Response Date: 12/7/21

For Public Meeting February 9, 2022

Comments received November 20, 2021 – February 1, 2022

11/20/21 to 12/1/21	Voting Members, Non- Voting Members and Public	The following individuals provided written comments to the technical consultant on the draft technical memorandum:	Respondent: FNI (Jay) Response Dates: 11/20 to 12/1
		Voting Members	
		• 11/23/21 Brian Perkins – GBRA	
		<ul> <li>11/29/21 Annalisa Peace – Great Edwards Aquifer Alliance</li> </ul>	
		• 11/30/21 Ken Gill – City of Victoria	
		<ul> <li>12/1/21 Gian Villarreal – WEAT/Seagull PME</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>12/1/21 Joe Pantalion – City of San Marcos</li> </ul>	
		Non-Voting Member • 12/7/21 Don Durden – Kendall County <u>Public</u> • None	

For RFPG Public Meeting March 30, 2022

Comments received February 2, 2022 – March 22, 2022

Date Comment Received	Name/Affiliation of Commenter	Comment/Question	Respondent and Response Date
3/16/22	JP Fancher Private Citizen	SEE ATTACHED ARTICLE IN BACKUP MATERIALS         From: jpfancher@earthlink.net <jpfancher@earthlink.net>         Sent: Wednesday, March 16, 2022 10:59 AM         To: Sarah Weber &lt;<u>sweber@doucetengineers.com</u>&gt;         Subject: RE: Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning GroupRequesting Your Feedback         Howdy!         Today's SA Express-News has a lead article on conservation efforts around the region of Camp Bullis that is important to this group. The boundaries discussed border on the Guadalupe region, and the efforts to encourage rainwater retention by berms and other means throughout the region are very important. Please pass on to all involved! The article starts on Page 1. Thanks!         JP Fancher paradox out</jpfancher@earthlink.net>	Respondent: Doucet Engineers (Sarah) Response Date: 3/16/22
3/6/22	JP Fancher Private Citizen	From: jpfancher@earthlink.net <jpfancher@earthlink.net> Sent: Sunday, March 6, 2022 9:35 AM To: Sarah Weber &lt;<u>sweber@doucetengineers.com</u>&gt; Subject: RE: Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning GroupRequesting Your Feedback Howdy! I do not represent a governmental, public, or business entity that can give specific feedback to the planning group document. However, my views as a private citizen who lives on a water way reflects public concerns in the planning process. A key concept that is on the dance floor is simply that historic floods are the result of heavy rains in unpopulated areas of the Guadalupe regions, largely open ranch/farming land that has never been included in the planning process. Water runs off into the natural drainage conduits that are thousands of years old. There is now rampant development, especially in these natural drainage plains. Getting a</jpfancher@earthlink.net>	Respondent: Doucet Engineers (Sarah) Response Date: 3/7/22

#### Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) - Public Comment Tracking Matrix For RFPG Public Meeting March 30, 2022 Comments received February 2, 2022 – March 22, 2022

		<ul> <li>piece of the hill country is a goal of real estate development in this region, as fast as possible before regulations can shift the burden of responsible planning. Rainfall that lands in and around Blanco and Johnson City flows into the river basins and drops ~1000 ft of elevation as it rushes through the exploding communities along the I-35 corridor. Most of the actions planned are aimed at protecting these communities, not preventing the spread of flood risk.</li> <li>We have a double entendre of water management: #1 not enough potable water due to over pumping of our aquifers and periodic drought and #2 Poor to non-existent flood planning, especially in the rural areas and overdeveloped basins. Somehow these problems can be married to some common solutions; slowing and retaining rainwater to mitigate flooding and increase availability of potable water at the same time. This will be a lot less expensive than massive ditch and concrete projects and buyouts. Unfortunately, I see none of these concepts in the planning document.</li> </ul>	
		I attended several meetings last fall, and I will attempt to attend meetings in the future to monitor progress in this planning group. So far I simply have seen very little substantial progress in public. I hope there is more to come! JP Fancher, DDS, PhD 210-896-8575 345 Buie Lane Guadalupe County, TX 78655 paradox out	
3/6/22	Lisa Arceneaux EA Environmental Consulting	From: Lisa Arceneaux <	Respondent: Doucet Engineers (Sarah) Response Date: 3/7/22
		Hi Sarah,	

For RFPG Public Meeting March 30, 2022 Comments received February 2, 2022 – March 22, 2022 Comments Received Via <u>comments@guadaluperfpg.org</u> or via <u>lwillis@gbra.org</u>

		It was great talking to you last week about your understanding of the list being compiled for the Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group. I mentioned an initiative here in San Marcos that is vetting through a pilot scale test that is in process to build in 2023. The concept is to activate San Marcos Alleys using permeable pavers as a baseline to improve storage of rain events that cause localized flooding (24-hour 2-5 year return frequency). Sarah Simpson, Aspen Navarro and myself were the primary contributors to the voluntarily prepared initiative (they are cc'ed here).	
		Kissing Alley ( <u>https://downtownsmtx.squarespace.com/kissing-alley</u> ) in San Marcos is the pilot scale project and the larger vision is called The San Marcos Green Alley Initiative ( <u>https://www.color-space.com/the-san-marcos-green-alley-initiative</u> ). If fully implemented the alley network with permeable pavers could capture, slow down, clean and slowly release up to 500,000 gallons of rainfall and runoff each rain event. By using stormwater mitigation funding, the downtown area could realize economic vitality, and improvements to pedestrian mobility all while managing/mitigating localized flooding. A win-win project that would be a good example for the TWDB to support and others communities to consider.	
		It may be too soon to add this initiative, but if you need projects, it could be perfect timing. The city of course will want to chime in to say if they want it include now or not. I'm including Laurie Moyer, P.E. on this e-mail to comment, and for my part, I'm just providing the link (above). I would love to meet up with you when you get the Doucet office set up on Corporate Drive and we can walk through Kissing Alley and see the vision of the initiative together. Plus answer questions.	
		Thank you! Lisa Arceneaux, P.E., CISEC, CPESC 512-644-1927 (cell)	
2/28/22	Sydney Beckner Hill Country Alliance	SEE ATTACHED LETTER IN BACKUP MATERIALS         From: Sydney Beckner < <u>Sydney@hillcountryalliance.org</u> >         Sent: Monday, February 28, 2022 1:45 PM         To: Lauren Willis <lwillis@gbra.org></lwillis@gbra.org>	Respondent: GBRA (Lauren) Response Date: 2/28/22

For RFPG Public Meeting March 30, 2022

Comments received February 2, 2022 – March 22, 2022

1       the work this group does to create a comprehensive flood plan for the Guadalupe River Basin planning area. I'm happy to answer any questions you may have.       Gratefully, Sydney         2       Sydney Beckner Water Program Manager Hill Country Alliance   P.O. Box 151675   Austin, TX 78715 (cell) 903-238-3179   sydney@hillcountryalliance.org   she/her       Respondent: FNI (Jay) Response Dates: 2/2/22 to 2/11/22         2       Voting Members, Non- Voting Members and Public       The following individuals provided written comments to the technical consultant on the draft technical memorandum #2: Voting Members       Respondent: FNI (Jay) Response Dates: 2/2/22 to 2/14/22         Voting Members of Public       2/8/22 Brian Perkins – GBRA       Non-Voting Member • None
---

## Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) - Public Comment Tracking Matrix For RFPG Public Meeting May 10, 2022

Comments received March 23, 2022 - May 2, 2022

Date Comment Received	Name/Affiliation of Commenter	Comment/Question	Respondent and Response Date
4/1/22	Karen Brennan Private Citizen	<ul> <li>From: <u>kbrennan@hhep.com</u></li> <li>Sent: Friday, April 1, 2022 2:38 PM</li> <li>To: <u>comments@guadaluperfpg.org</u></li> <li>Comment: City of New Braunfels - Notice of Public Hearing 740 &amp; 750 Rusk. SUP22- 073 requested rezoning from R2 to SUP to allow 440 plus RV park and event center on Guadalupe waterfront approximately 50% is floodway and 50% is 100 year flood zone. Please join us in opposition to this SUP. Public Hearing before Planning Commission Tuesday April 5, 2022 @ 6 pm. This development would be in district 5 Jason Hurta, phone - (830) 221-4659 then press option 4 Email - <u>jhurta@nbtexas.org</u></li> </ul>	Respondent: Lauren Response Date: 4/1/2022
4/1/22 to 4/18/22	Voting Members, Non- Voting Members and Public	The following individuals provided written comments to Draft Chapter 1:         Voting Members         4/17/22 Gian Villarreal – Seagull PME         4/15/22 Brian Perkins – Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority         4/14/22 Raymond Buck/ Tara Bushnoe – Upper Guadalupe River Authority         4/14/22 Joe Pantalion/John Espinoza – City of San Marcos         4/14/22 Steven Fonville – Martindale Water Supply Corporation         Non-Voting Member         4/15 Sue Reilly – Texas Parks and Wildlife Department         Public         None	Respondent: FNI Response Dates: 4/1/22 to 4/18/22

## Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) - Public Comment Tracking Matrix For RFPG Public Meeting June 1, 2022

Comments received May 3, 2022 – May 26, 2022

Date Comment Received	Name/Affiliation of Commenter	Comment/Question	Respondent and Response Date
5/18/22	Virginia Parker	SEE ATTACHMENT IN BACKUP	Respondent: Lauren (GBRA
		From: Virginia Parker < <u>virginia@sanmarcosriver.org</u> >	Response Date: 5/18/2022
		Sent: Wednesday, May 18, 2022 1:03 PM	
		To: Lauren Willis < <u>lwillis@gbra.org</u> >	
		Subject: Updated SMRF Flood Group Project proposals	
		Hello Lauren!	
		Based on my conversation with Freese and Nichols last week I wanted to update the projects SMRF is putting forward.	
		Since a few of the "projects" I proposed were actually tactics, I'd like to put them forth here	
		in the email so that they are recorded.	
		1) Coordinate with other flood groups to propose legislation that allows counties the ability	
		to be more protective with regards to flood mitigation and water quality. (An example of this	
		would be to allow counties the opportunity to prevent breakaway structures in the	
		floodplain.)	
		2) Require all commercial outfitters to properly store equipment (such as busses, tubes, tents, pop-up tents, picnic tables, kayaks, trailers, hammocks and stands, coolers, etc) out of	
		the floodplain during non-working hours.	
		3) Require commercial outfitters to bring equipment (listed above) out of the floodplain	
		during major rain events, and fine operators if this does not occur.	
		4) Collaborate with Texas Parks and Wildlife Dept, or another state agency, to create a policy	
		that allows 30 foot wide access points to the river, and restricts mechanical grazing of the	
		riparian zone within 100 feet of the river elsewhere. Create a maximum number of access	
		points per property (such as 2 per every 0.5 mile of river frontage) in order to properly	
		protect the riparian zone to mitigate flood impacts due to sheetflow runoff.	
		5) Create a list of appropriate nature-based solutions along streams and rivers, and allocate	
		funding for these processes in order to mitigate flood impacts before it occurs.	
		-Virginia	
		Thank you!	
		Executive Director, San Marcos River Foundation	
		P.O. Box 1393, San Marcos, TX 78667, 210-860-4575	

# Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) - Public Comment Tracking Matrix For RFPG Public Meeting June 29, 2022

Comments received May 27, 2022 – June 22, 2022

Date Comment Received	Name/Affiliation of Commenter	Comment/Question	Respondent and Response Date
6/8/2022	Frank Davis Hill Country Conservancy	From: Frank Davis <frank@hillcountryconservancy.org> Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 4:48 PM To: Lauren Willis <li>Willis <li>Willis Qbra.org&gt; Cc: Virginia Condie (virginia@sanmarcosriver.org) <virginia@sanmarcosriver.org> Subject: Application for funding: Edwards Aquifer Recharge Conservation Easement Hello, Please accept this application for funding a critical conservation project in the Edwards Aquifer Recharge Zone, in San Marcos. Details follow. Project Sponsor: Hill Country Conservancy Project Name: Wootan Recharge Conservation Property Hays County CAD: R16076 Property Description: A0287 ISAAC LOWE SURVEY, TRACT 5, ACRES 84.49 Project Description: A0287 ISAAC LOWE SURVEY, TRACT 5, ACRES 84.49 Project Description: A0287 ISAAC LOWE SURVEY, TRACT 5, ACRES 84.49 Project Description: A0287 ISAAC LOWE SURVEY, TRACT 5, ACRES 84.49 Project Description: A0287 ISAAC LOWE SURVEY, TRACT 5, ACRES 84.49 Project Description: A0287 ISAAC LOWE SURVEY, TRACT 5, ACRES 84.49 Project Description: A0287 ISAAC LOWE SURVEY, TRACT 5, ACRES 84.49 Project Description: A0287 ISAAC LOWE SURVEY, TRACT 5, MILL, AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND</virginia@sanmarcosriver.org></li></li></frank@hillcountryconservancy.org>	Respondent: Lauren (GBRA) Response Date: 6/9/2022

# Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) - Public Comment Tracking Matrix For RFPG Public Meeting June 29, 2022

Comments received May 27, 2022 – June 22, 2022

		Frank H. Davis Chief Conservation Officer www.hillcountryconservancy.org Cell: 512-947-3920 <u>Mail:</u> PO Box 163125 Austin, TX 78716-3125	
5/31/22	Lyda Creus Molanphy Great Springs Project	SEE ATTACHMENT         From: Lyda Creus Molanphy <lyda@greatspringsproject.org>         Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2022 3:43 PM         To: Lauren Willis <lwillis@gbra.org>         Subject: Great Springs Project submission of FME for Region 11         Good afternoon Lauren,         Attached please find a Flood Management Evaluation (FME) proposal to the Region 11         Guadalupe Flood Planning Group. We understand proposals are due today but may be         updated in the coming weeks should that be necessary.         We appreciate consideration of this FME and look forward to next steps.         Please advise if we need to provide any additional information.         Thank you,         Lyda</lwillis@gbra.org></lyda@greatspringsproject.org>	Respondent: Lauren (GBRA) Response Date: 5/31/2022

# **Appendix 10-D |** Notice and Documentation of September 7, 2022, Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan

- D.1 Legal Notice for September 7, 2022, Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan
- D.2 Public Presentation for September 7, 2022, Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan
- D.3 Minutes for September 7, 2022, Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan

**Appendix 10-E |** Public Comments from September 7, 2022, Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan

Appendix 10-F | Responses to Public Comments from September 7, 2022, Public Hearing on Draft Flood Plan

# Appendix 10-G | State Agency Comments on Draft Flood Plan

Appendix 10-H | Response to State Agency Comments on Draft Flood Plan

Appendix 10-I | Public Involvement Plan

### REGION 11 GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP – PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT PLAN

Prepared for the Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group

Prepared by Freese and Nichols, Inc.



TWDB Contract No. 2101792496

September 2021

#### **Table of Contents**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.	1 Background	1
1.	2 Public Involvement Summary	1
2.0	PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT STRATEGIES	4
2.	1 Public and Stakeholder Contact List	4
2.	2 Interactive Mapping Tool and Surveys	5
2.	3 GBRA Website Information	6
2.	.4 Social Media	6
2.	5 Virtual Public Meeting Format	6
2.	.6 Public Comment Tracking, Response, and Reporting	6
3.0	GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP MEETINGS	6
3.	1 Monthly Meetings	6
3.	2 Supplemental Support for Pre-Planning Public Meetings and Other Required Meetings	7
3.	3 Draft Regional Flood Plan Public Meeting	9
4.0	CONCLUSION	11

#### List of Attachments

Attachment A TWDB *Regional Flood Planning Public Notification Quick Reference*Attachment B List of RFPG, GBRA and FNI Team Roles and Responsibilities

#### **List of Figures**

Figure 1. Fifteen Flood Planning Regions in Texas	2
Figure 2. Region 11 Guadalupe Flood Planning Region	3

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Freese and Nichols, Inc. Team (FNI Team) was retained by the Guadalupe-Blanco River Authority (GBRA), on behalf of the Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG), to develop the 2023 Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan (the Project) through a transparent process where public input and participation is welcomed and encouraged. GBRA is the project sponsor. As part of this process, the Texas Water Code (TWC) Section 16.062 and Title 31 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapter 361 require public notice and input opportunities. GBRA is responsible for ensuring all public notice and participation activities are carried out as required by the TWC and 31 TAC. The FNI Team prepared this Public Involvement Plan (PIP) for the RFPG to supplement those legally required efforts with opportunities to encourage and obtain meaningful public and stakeholder input throughout the planning process. As a member of the FNI Team, Blanton & Associates, Inc. (B&A) will provide support in implementation of this PIP.

#### 1.1 <u>Background</u>

In 2019, the Texas Legislature created and funded the first-ever regional and state flood planning process in response to historic flooding and the need for flood planning. The regional flood plans are to be delivered to the Texas Water Development Board (TWDB) by January 10, 2023, and then every five years thereafter. The state flood plan will be adopted by September 1, 2024, and then every five years thereafter. The planning process is intended to be a "bottom up" approach with the regional flood plans informed by the local communities. The planning process is also intended to be a transparent process with opportunities for public input. The objectives of the regional flood plans (RFPs) are to: 1) document existing flood mitigation/management goals; 2) identify current and future flood risk and hazard; 3) develop flood mitigation/management goals; 4) identify and evaluate flood planning area regions, including the Region 11 Guadalupe Flood Planning Region (see **Figure 1**). The planning area boundaries for each region are based upon watersheds (e.g., river basins) rather than political boundaries. The Project study area extends from the Hill Country in Real and Kerr counties in the northern part of the river basin, southeastward to the Texas Coast in Calhoun County (See **Figure 2**).

The flood planning process for Region 11 is administered by GBRA and led by a committee of volunteer members, or the RFPG. The RFPG is composed of 15 members, with one member representing each of the following interests: general public, agriculture, small business, industries, environmental, electric generating utility, water utility, flood districts, and water districts; and two members representing each of the following interests: municipalities, counties, and river authorities. The members represent the interests of organizations throughout the Guadalupe River Basin. The RFPG meetings are held monthly.

#### 1.2 <u>Public Involvement Summary</u>

Public involvement and participation are critical to the success of the regional flood planning process. The *Regional Flood Planning Public Notification Quick Reference* (Attachment A) was prepared by the TWDB and identifies all of the TWC and 31 TAC requirements for public notice and public comment.





Figure 1. Fifteen Flood Planning Regions in Texas Source: TWDB 2020 https://data.tnris.org/894ad055-a134-470a-a133-55f0818aaceb/assets/7452fc9b-4848-4630-88b2-1476123a9680-FPR 8.5x11.pdf



Source: TWDB 2020 https://data.tnris.org/894ad055-a134-470a-a133-55f0818aaceb/assets/35b2e2ad-4c5b-4df6-8f0f-8528f17af542-FPR 11 Guadalupe 8.5x11.pdf

As mentioned previously, all legal notice requirements are being met by the GBRA<sup>1</sup>. In addition, the RFPG has expressed a desire to encourage public input and comment in a manner that exceeds the requirements in the TWC and 31 TAC. Towards this end, the FNI Team will implement the following strategies:

- Develop an extensive public and stakeholder contact list;
- Develop and implement an interactive map tool to place on the RFPG website to gather information about flood prone areas and existing flood management efforts through the use of forms and surveys;
- Identify and evaluate opportunities to enhance available information on the RFPG website;
- Use social media accounts to post messages about upcoming RFPG meetings and activities;
- Develop and implement a virtual public meeting tool to supplement the in-person RFPG meetings, as applicable; and
- Review and report on all public comments received through either the RFPG website.

Each of these strategies are discussed in detail below in Section 3.0.

#### 2.0 PUBLIC AND STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT STRATEGIES

The public and stakeholder involvement will emphasize two-way communication between the public and stakeholders and the RFPG. The RFPG will strive to maintain proactive communication and information dissemination during the planning process so the public and stakeholders are informed and know where to find information or who to speak with should they have any information, comments, questions, or concerns.

Through this PIP, the public and stakeholders will be informed and provided opportunities to express their views, opinions, and concerns, and to share data and information relevant to the flood planning process. This PIP provides an outline of proposed public and stakeholder involvement throughout the planning process. A general list of RFPG, GBRA and FNI Team roles and responsibilities is included as **Attachment B.** A public and stakeholder involvement schedule for each meeting or hearing, as discussed below, will be refined throughout the process. This PIP will be implemented through the strategies and activities described below, which are intended to provide a broad range of opportunities to reinforce public and stakeholder engagement and participation. Adhering to COVID-19 safety protocols for these meetings will be encouraged.

#### 2.1 <u>Public and Stakeholder Contact List</u>

So as not to duplicate efforts with the Texas General Land Office (GLO) regional flood study that includes the Guadalupe River Basin, the FNI Team will prepare a public and stakeholder contact list by starting with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This PIP does not address efforts to comply with the legal requirements for notices in the TWC and the TAC, nor the FNI Team's efforts to draft Chapter 10 of the RFP related to documenting and responding to all comments received during the 60-day public comment period required by TWC §16.062(f) - (g) and 31 TAC §361.21(h)(3).

the list compiled by the GLO. Consistent contacts (e.g., county judges, mayors, etc.) with those included in the GLO study area counties will be added to the list for those counties outside of the GLO study area (e.g., Bandera, Blanco, Gillespie, Hays, Kendall, Kerr, Real, and Wilson counties). The team will also review the list for contact categories that may need to be added (e.g., legislators).

To date, the list includes approximately 400 contacts and reflects the following public and stakeholder contact categories:

- Legislators Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Speaker of the House of Representatives, Senators, and Representatives.
- County Judges and County Commissioners
- Mayors, City Councilmembers, and City Administrators/Managers
- County Floodplain Administrators
- Emergency Management staff
- County Engineers
- County Public Works Directors
- City Public Works Directors
- Fire Chiefs
- River Authorities
- Groundwater Conservation Districts
- Regional Water Planning Group members
- Environmental Organizations

This list will continue to be updated as the Project proceeds and more of the public and stakeholders become aware of the RFPG's efforts and request to be added to the list. This extensive list will be used to carry out the public outreach activities noted below for RFPG meetings.

#### 2.2 Interactive Mapping Tool and Surveys

The FNI Team will create an interactive tool consisting of a map of the Guadalupe River basin. The map will be accompanied by either 1) a form for the public to complete to add their comments and information regarding flood prone areas and flood strategies or projects in their communities: or 2) a survey for agency representatives to complete providing more detailed information about flood risks and projects in their communities. The interactive tool will be linked on the RFPG website and will be "live" for the duration of the Project. Information uploaded to the interactive tool beyond a date to be determined by the FNI Team will not be considered for the 2023 Regional Flood Plan and will be stored for use in the next regional flood planning cycle.

#### 2.3 <u>GBRA Website Information</u>

In addition to the interactive tool to be linked to the Region 11 Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan website, the FNI Team will also look for opportunities to supplement information on the website with information or announcements that will help to inform the public and stakeholders. These include posting a summary announcement of upcoming RFPG meetings and the Project schedule to provide the public and stakeholders information on project progress and opportunities for participation.

#### 2.4 Social Media

The FNI Team will work with GBRA staff to create posts for project social media accounts that are established by GBRA. The FNI Team will submit drafts of the posts to GBRA staff at least ten days before each meeting so that GBRA staff can review and approve the drafts and then post them at least seven days before the event.

#### 2.5 <u>Virtual Public Meeting Format</u>

One of the strategies for this PIP is to support certain in-person RFPG public meetings by enabling participation across the entire Project area through a Virtual Public Meeting (VPM) format. The FNI Team, at the direction of the RFPG, will present the RFPG in-person meeting content (Project information) in a "virtual meeting room" with information stations located throughout the room. At the start of the meeting, meeting attendees (e.g., elected officials, agency representatives, members of the public, etc.) will enter the meeting on-line at the "sign-in" station, where they will be asked to sign in to record their attendance. They will be greeted by a narrator who will guide them through the virtual meeting room and provide information regarding the meeting content (e.g., presentations, display boards, videos, etc.) presented at each station. The meeting attendees will move through the meeting content at their own pace, including re-visiting stations as needed. The final station will provide an opportunity for meeting attendees to post questions or comments. The meeting content can be made available in Spanish or other languages, if requested. This meeting option will go "live" as close to the in-person meeting time as possible and will remain active for two weeks after the date of the in-person meeting.

#### 2.6 <u>Public Comment Tracking, Response, and Reporting</u>

The FNI Team will develop a system for receiving and reviewing all public and stakeholder comments received through either the RFPG website or during a RFPG meeting, responding to each comment, and providing monthly reports to the RFPG of comments and responses. A system for providing a written update to the RFPG and a complete summary of all comments received will be developed.

#### 3.0 GUADALUPE REGIONAL FLOOD PLANNING GROUP MEETINGS

#### 3.1 <u>Monthly Meetings</u>

Awareness of RFPG monthly meetings, which will typically be held on the first Wednesday of every month at GBRA's offices, is critical to encouraging and obtaining public and stakeholder input and support, and

the meetings provide an understandable and convenient means to comment and ask questions. The public and stakeholders will be notified of the opportunity to visit the RFPG's website (<u>http://guadaluperfpg.org/Meetings.aspx</u>) for specific dates, times and locations of all meetings.

To supplement the seven-day meeting notices required by the TWC and 31 TAC and to promote awareness, the FNI Team may perform the following tasks:

Seven days in advance of the meeting:

- Send an email meeting announcement to those on the public and stakeholder distribution list;
- Send an email to the councils of governments that cover some portion of Region 11 to request they post the announcement on their websites;
- Send an email to the San Antonio River Authority, as the South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group (Region L) Administrator, to request that they post the announcement of the upcoming meeting on the Region L website, and send a copy of the announcement to their Region L members;
- Send an email to the Lower Colorado River Authority, as the Region 10 Lower Colorado River Basin RFPG (Region 10) Sponsor and the San Antonio River Authority as the Region 12 San Antonio River Basin RFPG (Region 12) Sponsor to request that they post the announcement of the upcoming meeting on their websites, and send a copy of the announcement to their RFPG members;
- Send meeting announcement text to GBRA for both the Region 11 RFPG and the GBRA websites; and
- Draft social media post text for GBRA to post on their social media accounts and distribute the message to the RFPG members for them to post on their accounts.

The FNI Team may prepare draft email announcements listed above for each monthly meeting. The team will submit each announcement to GBRA staff for review prior to their notice deadline. The announcements will include information about the meeting, a link to the Region 11 website, and an email address for submitting comments or questions, as applicable. After GBRA staff has approved each announcement, the team will work with GBRA staff to distribute the email announcements. Requests to receive announcements by USPS mail, if any, will be handled accordingly.

#### 3.2 <u>Supplemental Support for Pre-Planning Public Meetings and Other Required Meetings</u>

Texas Water Code §16.062(d), and 31 TAC §§361.12(a)(4) and 361.21(h)(2)(A) require the RFPG to hold two or more pre-planning public meetings to obtain input from the public regarding suggestions and recommendations as to issues, provisions, projects, and strategies to be considered for inclusion during the flood planning cycle and the regional flood plan.

In addition to the pre-planning public meetings, the TWDB's *Technical Guidelines for Regional Flood Planning*, the TWC and/or 31 TAC require the RFPG to obtain public input on: 1) identified flood risk in the region and developed a map summarizing the risk; 2) flood mitigation and floodplain management goals

as they relate to existing flood risk per the TWC; 3) a process for identifying potential flood management evaluations (FMEs) and potentially feasible flood management strategies (FMSs) and flood management projects (FMPs); 4) the final RFP; 5) amendments to the RFP; and 6) changes to the RFPG membership.

To supplement the 14-day meeting notices required by the TWC and 31 TAC, to promote awareness of these public meetings, and to help encourage public and stakeholder participation and input, the FNI Team may perform the following tasks:

#### 21 days in advance of the meeting:

• Send an email meeting announcement to those on the public and stakeholder distribution list;

#### Seven days in advance of the meeting

- Send a reminder email meeting announcement to those on the public and stakeholder distribution list;
- Send an email to the councils of governments that cover some portion of Region 11 to request they post the announcement on their websites;
- Send an email to the San Antonio River Authority, as the South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group (Region L) Administrator, to request that they post the announcement of the upcoming meeting on the Region L website, and send a copy of the announcement to their Region L members;
- Send an email to the Lower Colorado River Authority, as the Region 10 Lower Colorado River Basin RFPG (Region 10) Sponsor and the San Antonio River Authority as the Region 12 San Antonio River Basin RFPG (Region 12) Sponsor to request that they post the announcement of the upcoming meeting on their websites, and send a copy of the announcement to their RFPG members;
- Send meeting announcement text to GBRA for both the Region 11 RFPG and the GBRA websites; and
- Draft social media post text for GBRA to post on their social media accounts and distribute the message to the RFPG members for them to post on their accounts.

#### Three days in advance of the meeting

• Send text to GBRA staff to incorporate into media advisories announcing upcoming meeting.

The FNI Team may prepare draft email announcements listed above for each pre-planning public meeting. The team will submit each announcement to GBRA staff for review prior to their notice deadline. The announcements will include information about the meeting, a link to the RFPG website, and an email address for submitting comments or questions, as applicable. After GBRA staff has approved each announcement, the team will work with GBRA staff to distribute the email announcements. Requests to receive announcements by USPS mail, if any, will be handled accordingly.

A general checklist of action items to be completed and RFPG, GBRA and FNI Team roles and responsibilities are included as **Attachment B.** The meeting facilities will be selected and reserved by GBRA staff, in close coordination with the RFPG. GBRA will attempt to identify facilities that provide adequate capacity, ample parking, and ample room/space to disseminate information, and ideally, the meeting facilities will be located within the Project study area. The team will endeavor to secure meeting facilities that are free of charge.

These meetings will be conducted so that attending stakeholders and the public can listen to the information being presented and view the presentation by the FNI Team. The team may distribute informational materials, such as Project-related handouts, and may present Project exhibits/display boards, etc. Informed and easily identifiable FNI Team members will register attendees, address questions and comments, and guide attendees through the public meeting process at the in-person meetings. These meetings will be convened in-person to take place after business hours and may be supplemented by a VPM format.<sup>2</sup> The RFPG will determine when the meetings will go "live." During the two-week VPM comment period, the public and stakeholders will be able to view the same information that was reviewed during the in-person meeting and will be able to leave comments or add their contact information in the virtual meeting room for the Project. After the two-week comment period, the virtual public meeting room information will not be possible after the end of the comment period. The virtual public meeting room information will be available for educational purposes only after the two-week comment period closes (see discussion above in **Section 2.5**).

The virtual public meeting room information will encourage the public and stakeholders to use the interactive map tool (discussed above in **Section 2.2**) to enter comments and sign up to receive information through a link to the RFPG website.

#### 3.3 Draft Regional Flood Plan Public Meeting

Texas Water Code §16.062(f) - (g) and 31 TAC §361.21(h)(3) require the RFPG to hold one or more public meetings to obtain input from the public on the draft RFP. To supplement the 30-day meeting notice and the 60-day public comment period required by the TWC and 31 TAC, to promote awareness of the public meeting(s), and to help encourage public and stakeholder participation and input, the FNI Team may perform the following tasks:

## Seven days in advance of the 30-day meeting notice and the beginning of the 60-day public comment period:

• Send an email announcement to those on the public and stakeholder distribution list;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This VPM supplement will need to be reviewed with TWDB staff to determine if a virtual meeting option is possible and what meeting notice requirements will apply.

#### Seven days in advance of the meeting:

- Send a reminder email meeting announcement to those on the public and stakeholder distribution list;
- Send an email to the councils of governments that cover some portion of Region 11 to request that they post the announcement on their websites;
- Send an email to the San Antonio River Authority, as the South Central Texas Regional Water Planning Group (Region L) Administrator, to request that they post the announcement of the upcoming meeting on the Region L website, and send a copy of the announcement to their Region L members;
- Send an email to the Lower Colorado River Authority, as the Region 10 Lower Colorado River Basin RFPG (Region 10) Sponsor and the San Antonio River Authority as the Region 12 San Antonio River Basin RFPG (Region 12) Sponsor to request that they post the announcement of the upcoming meeting on their websites, and send a copy of the announcement to their RFPG members;
- Send meeting announcement text to GBRA for both the Region 11 RFPG and the GBRA websites; and
- Draft social media post text for GBRA to post on their social media accounts and distribute the message to the RFPG members for them to post on their accounts.

#### Three days in advance of the meeting:

• Send text to GBRA staff to incorporate into media advisories announcing upcoming meeting.

The FNI Team may prepare draft email announcements listed above for the public meeting. The team will submit each announcement to GBRA staff for review prior to their notice deadline. The announcements will include information about the meeting, a link to the draft RFP on the RFPG website, and an email address for submitting comments or questions, as applicable. After GBRA staff has approved each announcement, the team will work with GBRA staff to distribute the email announcements. Requests to receive announcements by USPS mail, if any, will be handled accordingly.

This plan presumes at least one in-person meeting will be held for this purpose after hours. A general checklist of action items to be completed and RFPG, GBRA and FNI Team roles and responsibilities are included as **Attachment B.** The meeting facility will be selected and reserved by GBRA staff, in close coordination with the RFPG.

The public meeting will be conducted so that attending stakeholders and the public can listen to the information being presented and view the presentation by the FNI Team. The team may distribute informational materials, such as Project-related handouts, and may present Project exhibits/display boards, etc. Informed and easily identifiable FNI Team members will register attendees, address questions and comments, and guide attendees through the public meeting process at the in-person meeting.

The in-person meeting may also be supplemented by a virtual public meeting.<sup>3</sup> The virtual public meeting will be made available to access so the "meeting room" content is accessible for the entire 60-day public comment period. During the comment period, the public and stakeholders will be able to view the same information that was reviewed during the in-person meeting and will be able to leave comments or add their contact information in the virtual meeting room.

#### 4.0 CONCLUSION

Flood planning for the Guadalupe River Basin is a transparent, public process where public and stakeholder participation is welcome and encouraged. It is the intent of the RFPG that the public and stakeholders understand that their insight is valuable and with it, the RFPG will be better able to address the flood needs of all communities in the Guadalupe River Basin, and to help identify potential funding for these much-needed projects.

The outreach activities included in this PIP for the Project will allow the public and stakeholders to be informed about the Project and will encourage their interaction with the RFPG, GBRA, and the FNI Team. Overall, implementation of this PIP is intended to increase awareness of the regional flood planning process and allow any interested parties to play a role in the development of the 2023 Guadalupe Regional Flood Plan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>This virtual public meeting supplement will need to be reviewed with TWDB staff to determine if a virtual meeting option is possible and what meeting notice requirements will apply.

#### Attachment A

TWDB Regional Flood Planning Public Notification Quick Reference

# **Regional Flood Planning Public Notification Quick Reference\***

Note: Consult 31 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) Chapters 361 and 362 and Texas Open Meetings Act for details.

Public Notifications       TAC Rule		Regional Flood Planning Group (RFPG) Action														
		Regular RFPG meetings	RFPG committee, subcommittee, and subgoup meetings	Requesting	Amendments to the RFP scope of work or budget	dolivorables to the Roard	Selecting RFPG members to fill voting and non-voting position vacancies	Pre-planning public meetings to obtain input on development of the next RFP	Determining flood mitigation and floodplain management goals	FMEs and potentially	Adoption of the final RFP	Amendments to RFPs	Changing the number of and representation make-up of RFPG membership		Subsequent meetings at which the planning group will take public input related to the RFPG's draft RFP	
-	Meeting Notice Requirements															
OPEN MEETINGS & PUBLIC INFORMATION ACTS	Each RFPG and any committee or subcommittee of an RFPG are subject to Chapters 551 [Open Meetings Act] and 552 [Public Information Act], Government Code.	361.21(a)	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$
	7 days prior to the meeting	361.21(h)(1)	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$								$\checkmark$
MINIMUM NOTICE (calendar days)	14 days prior to the meeting	361.21(h)(2)							✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓		
	30 days prior to the meeting	361.21(h)(3)													$\checkmark$	
CONTENT TO INCLUDE	Date, time, and location of the public meeting or hearing; Summary of the proposed action to be taken; The name, telephone number, email, and address of a RFPG contact to whom questions or requests for additional information may be submitted; A statement of how and when comments will be received from the members and public.	361.21(g)(1-4)	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Information on how the public may submit comments	361.21(h)(3)(E)													$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	Summary of the regional flood plan	361.21(h)(3)(D)													$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	All voting and non-voting RFPG members	361.21(f)	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$
ENTITIES TO NOTIFY	Any person or entity who has requested notice of RFPG activities	361.21(f)	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
	All adjacent RFPGs	361.21(h)(3)(C)													✓	✓
WHERE TO POST	On the website of the RFPG	361.21(g)	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$
WHERE TO POST	Texas Secretary of State website	361.21(g)	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	$\checkmark$
	Other Rule Requirements															
	14 days prior to the meeting	361.21(h)(2)							✓	$\checkmark$	✓	✓	✓	✓		
PERIOD** (calendar days)	30 days prior to and 30 days following the meeting	361.21(h)(3)													✓	
MEETING MATERIALS	3 days prior to and 7 days following the meeting	361.21(h)(2)	✓	✓	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$	✓								$\checkmark$
POSTING (calendar days)	7 days prior and 14 days following the meeting	361.21(h)(2)							✓	✓	✓	✓	✓			
DOCUMENT PROVISION	The draft plan must be made available for public inspection online and a hard copy of the draft plan must be made available for public inspection in at least three publicly accessible locations within the region for at least 30 days prior to the first meeting and 30 days following the first meeting.	361.21(h)(3)(A,F)													$\checkmark$	

#### **\*IMPORTANT NOTES**

All meetings of subsets of the RFPG that constitute a quorum of the RFPG must be noticed appropriately.

The best reference material for RFPG members to ensure that they are in compliance with notice requirements is the Texas Attorney General Office "Open Meetings Handbook 2020" available at: https://www.texasattorneygeneral.gov/sites/default/files/files/divisions/open-government/openmeetings\_hb.pdf The Attorney General's Open Records Division maintains an Open Government Hotline to answer questions regarding open government laws. The Hotline can be reached at (877) 673-6839 (OPENTEX). To the extent an action by the RFPG could qualify under more than one row of this matrix (for instance, a regular meeting), the stricter notice requirements should be used. RFPGs may provide notice for various actions in a single notice. However, a document providing notice for multiple actions should describe all actions individually. RFPGs shall also provide additional region-specific public notice, if any, in accordance with their decision under §361.11(d)(6), including provision of print notices, if applicable. \*\*RFPGs must provide a means by which it will accept written public comment prior to and after all meetings. Specific timelines prescribed by rule are noted in this section.



**UPDATED DECEMBER 2020** 

#### Attachment B

List of RFPG, GBRA and FNI Team Roles and Responsibilities

#### **Attachment B** List of RFPG, GBRA and FNI Team Roles and Responsibilities

Tasks	Notes/Questions	Lead	Deadline	Comments
Region 11: Public meeting - Insert date at				
insert location				
Notification & Location				
Venue	Confirm reservation.	GBRA		
Gather Stakeholder mailing list		B&A		
Develop Legally Required Notice (comply with	B&A to provide input. 31 TAC 361.21	GBRA		
notification requirements)	(g)(1-4)			
Translate Legally Required Notice	TBD			
Publish Legally Required Notice	31 TAC 361.21(h)(2) and 31 TAC 361.21 (h)(3) requires 14 days prior to pre- planning meeting and 30 days prior to public input meetings.	GBRA		
Draft Email Announcement		B&A		
Email Announcement to Stakeholders	21 days (pre-planning meeting) or 37 days (input on draft plan meeting) in advance	B&A		
Identify key stakeholders to post announcement at their office and website		B&A		
Draft Reminder Email Announcement		B&A		
Email Reminder Announcement	7 days in advance	B&A		
Draft Media Advisory		GBRA		
Draft Social Media Posts		B&A		
Social Media blast		GBRA and flood planning group members		
Post Meeting Materials	31 TAC 361.21(h)(2) requires to post meeting materials 7 days prior and 14 days following the meeting. Also, post media advisory	GBRA and FNI		
Meeting Materials				
Draft sign-in sheets (public, elected officials, media)	)	B&A		
Sign-in sheets for Public		B&A		
Sign-in sheets for Elected Officials		B&A		
Sign-in sheets for Media		B&A		
Draft Interactive Tool Questionnaire (English)		FNI and B&A		
Hard Copy of Interactive Tool Questionnaire - English		FNI and B&A		
Draft Interactive Tool Questionnaire (Spanish)	TBD			

#### **Attachment B** List of RFPG, GBRA and FNI Team Roles and Responsibilities

Tasks	Notes/Questions	Lead	Deadline	Comments
Hard Copy of Interactive Tool Questionnaire -	TBD			
Spanish				
Name Tags (if needed)				
Door Signs (if needed)		B&A		
Draft script for Doug Miller	live and virtual	B&A		
Doug Miller Video	Virtual room	B&A		
Draft Presentation		FNI		
Presentation	live and virtual	FNI		
Draft Welcome Board		FNI and GBRA		
Welcome Board	live and virtual	FNI and GBRA		
Darft Map Display Board		B&A		
Map Display Board	live and virtual	FNI and B&A		
Hard Copy of Presentation	for planning group members. Jay to talk to Lauren	GBRA		
Website Postings	B&A to develop the content.	GBRA		
Handouts	-	GBRA		
Pre Meeting Room Setup				
Tables		All		
Chairs		All		
Computer		FNI		
Back-up Computer		B&A		
Projectors	Need to confirm			
Back-up Projector		FNI		
Projector Cables	Need to confirm			
Power Extension Cords		FNI		
Clicker		FNI		
Microphones (Sound System)	Need to confirm			
Easels	how many?	B&A		
Pens		B&A		
Laptops for Web Tool Stations (2)	Confirm with F&N	B&A		
Internet Hotspot	Need to confirm			
During Meeting				
Help at Sign In Tables and Distribute Handouts		B&A		
Facilitate Discussion	Coordinate with Doug Miller and GBRA.	GBRA		
Develop Meeting Facilitation Guidelines for Doug Miller	Need to confirm with GBRA.	B&A		
Note Taking		B&A		
Take Photos		GBRA and B&A		

#### **Attachment B** List of RFPG, GBRA and FNI Team Roles and Responsibilities

	,		1	
Tasks	Notes/Questions	Lead	Deadline	Comments
Audio Recording for note taking purposes		B&A		
COVID-19 Protocol (if required by venues)				
Masks	Masks should be provided at sign-in desk with hand sanitizers.	B&A		
Hand Sanitzers		B&A		
6ft Social Distance Tape Markers	B&A will bring if necessary.	B&A		
Disinfectant Wipes		B&A		
Virtual Meeting (360 room) live August 3	Virtual room will be left online for educational purposes after the two week comment period.			
Exhibits (same as in-person)	B&A would like materials 30-45 days prior to going live.	B&A		
Electronic Comment/Survey form	Comment period will be open for two weeks after the last in-person meeting	B&A		
Electronic Sign-in form		B&A		
Interactive Comment Map		B&A		
Post Planning and Input Meetings and Virtual Meeting				
Compile Meeting Notes		B&A		
Compile Attendee List		B&A		
Gather Comments provided in-person and electronically		B&A		
Provide Meeting Summary		B&A		